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#### Abstract

In this paper, we focus on the common prime RSA variant and introduces a novel investigation into the partial key exposure attack targeting it. We explore the vulnerability of this RSA variant, which employs two common primes $p$ and $q$ defined as $p=2 g a+1$ and $q=2 g b+1$ for a large prime $g$. Previous cryptanalysis of common prime RSA has primarily focused on the small private key attack. In our work, we delve deeper into the realm of partial key exposure attacks by categorizing them into three distinct cases. We are able to identify weak private keys that are susceptible to partial key exposure by using the lattice-based method for solving simultaneous modular univariate linear equations. To validate the effectiveness and soundness of our proposed attacks, we conduct experimental evaluations. Through these examinations, we demonstrate the validity and practicality of the proposed partial key exposure attacks on common prime RSA.
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Background

The RSA cryptosystem, invented by Rivest, Shamir, and Adleman [RSA78], is a well-known public key encryption algorithm. Over the years, various generalizations of RSA have been proposed in the literature. These generalizations include modifications to the modulus [CHLS98, Tak98], Euler quotient [KKT95], and encryption or decryption processes [Fia97, QC82] to cater to different requirements and constraints. To address the high processing demands of RSA, several RSA variants have been introduced, such as CRT-RSA [QC82], multi-prime RSA [CHLS98], prime-power RSA [Tak98], and common prime RSA [Hin06]. We investigate partial key exposure attacks on common prime RSA using lattice-based solving strategy in this paper. The lattice-based method serves as an effective tool for assessing potential vulnerabilities in cryptanalysis of RSA and its variants.

[^0]Let us consider an instance of RSA with a public key ( $N, e$ ) and a private key ( $p, q, d$ ), where the modulus $N=p q$ is the product of two balanced primes. In the original RSA scheme [RSA78], the public and private exponents $e$ and $d$ are chosen to be inverses of each other modulo $\varphi(N)=(p-1)(q-1)$. However, it is now common to define these exponents modulo Carmichael's lambda function [EPS91], denoted as $\lambda(N)=\operatorname{lcm}(p-1, q-1)$, which is the least common multiple of $p-1$ and $q-1$. In the case of common prime RSA, a more secure variant of RSA first mentioned by Wiener [Wie90] and refined by Hinek [Hin06], the balanced primes $p$ and $q$ exhibit a special structure that provides resistance against small private key attacks.

Let us delve into the mathematical background of common prime RSA as proposed by Hinek [Hin06]. In Hinek's design, the balanced primes $p$ and $q$ are defined as $p=2 g a+1$ and $q=2 g b+1$, where $g$ is a large prime and $a, b$ are positive integers. These primes are referred to as common primes. Two constraints are imposed: $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$ and $h=2 g a b+a+b$ is a prime integer. The first constraint ensures that $\operatorname{gcd}(p-1, q-1)$ is computed as $2 g$, while the second constraint ensures that $(p q-1) / 2=g h$ is a semiprime of approximately the same bit-length as the RSA modulus $N$. We provide a modified version of the common primes generation algorithm from [Hin06, Appendix A] in Algorithm 1.

```
Algorithm 1: Common Primes Generation
    Input: Modulus bit-length \(n\) and \(\gamma\)
    Output: Common primes \(p\) and \(q\)
    \(g \leftarrow\) a random [ \(\gamma n\) ]-bit prime;
    while \(p, q, h\) are not primes do
        while \(\operatorname{gcd}(a, b) \neq 1\) do
            \(a, b \leftarrow\) two random [(1/2- \(\gamma) n-1]\)-bit positive integers;
        end
        \(p \leftarrow 2 g a+1 ;\)
        \(q \leftarrow 2 g b+1 ;\)
        \(h \leftarrow 2 g a b+a+b ;\)
    end
    return \(p\) and \(q\)
```

In the common prime RSA scheme, the public and private exponents $e, d$ are defined modulo

$$
\lambda(N)=\lambda(p q)=\operatorname{lcm}(p-1, q-1)=\operatorname{lcm}(2 g a, 2 g b)=2 g a b .
$$

According to Hinek's design, we have the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=p q=(2 g a+1)(2 g b+1)=2 g(2 g a b+a+b)+1=2 g h+1 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The key equation $e d \equiv 1(\bmod \operatorname{lcm}(p-1, q-1))$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e d \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 2 g a b), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which further leads to

$$
e d=2 g a b k+1,
$$

where $k$ is an unknown positive integer relatively prime to $2 g$. In this paper, we use $\gamma$ and $\delta$ to represent the greatest common divisor $g \simeq N^{\gamma}$ and the private exponent $d \simeq N^{\delta}$, respectively. Since $2 g=\operatorname{gcd}(p-1, q-1)$ for balanced $p$ and $q$, we have $0<\gamma<1 / 2$. Additionally, the bit-length of $e$ is assumed to be roughly the same as $N / g$, which implies $e \simeq N^{1-\gamma}$.

The security of common prime RSA has been intensively studied by several researchers [Hin06, JM06, LZPL15, ML20, SM13, Zhe24]. To achieve a tradeoff between security and efficiency, it is recommended to set $1 / 4 \leq \gamma<1 / 2$ due to previous attacks. However, it is worth noting that partial key exposure attacks, which involve the leakage of certain bits of the private key, are particularly relevant in scenarios where common prime RSA is used in constrained environments like the Internet of Things [ML20]. These attacks exploit side channel information that may be obtained through various means, including cold boot attacks $\left[\mathrm{HSH}^{+} 09\right]$ and other side channel analysis techniques [Koc96, RTSS09, SM12]. Thus, investigating partial key exposure attacks on common prime RSA is of great importance.

Partial key exposure attacks on RSA, where a fraction of the private key bits are known, were first proposed by Boneh et al. [BDF98]. These attacks exploit the knowledge of the most significant bits (MSBs) or least significant bits (LSBs) of the private exponent $d$. In practice, these partial key bits can be obtained through side channel attacks. Subsequently, Blömer and May [BM03] improved upon these attacks using Coppersmith's lattice-based technique [Cop97], demonstrating that RSA is vulnerable to larger public exponents $e$ when some private key bits are exposed. Ernst et al. [EJMdW05] presented several new attacks that work with full-size exponents, i.e., $e \simeq N$ or $d \simeq N$, based on three theorems under a common heuristic assumption. The most powerful attack to date, proposed by Takayasu and Kunihiro [TK19], achieves Boneh-Durfee's bound [BD99] for small private key attacks.

Partial key exposure attacks have also been extended to other RSA variants [MNS21, YYWL22, ZvdPYS22]. However, no research has been conducted on partial key exposure attacks specifically targeting the common prime RSA scheme.

### 1.2 Our Contribution

In this paper, we present the first investigation of partial key exposure attacks on common prime RSA. We begin by exploring the relationship between the values $e$, $d$, and $\lambda(N)$, where $e d \equiv 1(\bmod \lambda(N))$ can be rewritten as $e d \equiv 1(\bmod 2 g a b)$, with $\lambda(N)=2 g a b$. To address the scenario of small private key attack on common prime RSA, it is aimed to solve the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e x-1 \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod g) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=d$ is the small root.
To extend the above analysis to partial key exposure attacks, we first define and formalize specific attack scenarios. These scenarios include situations where the most significant bits (MSBs) of the private key, the least significant bits (LSBs) of the private key, or both the MSBs and LSBs of the private key are leaked. We categorize these scenarios into three cases: MSB case, LSB case, and MSB-LSB case.

In each of the three cases, the private key $d$ takes on different forms based on the known information. By substituting such $d$ into the equation $e x-1 \equiv 0(\bmod g)$ and multiplying by a modular inverse, we obtain a new modular univariate linear equation that applies to our attack scenarios. To solve these modular equations, we utilize the lattice-based method introduced by Coppersmith [Cop97].

Additionally, we employ an additional modular univariate linear equation to improve our analysis results. This equation is derived from $(p-1)(q-1)=$ $2 g a \cdot 2 g b=4 g^{2} a b$, which implies $p q-p-q+1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod g^{2}\right)$. Consequently, we aim to solve the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+N+1 \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod g^{2}\right), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=-(p+q)$ is the small root.
Thus, we deal with the simultaneous solution of two modular univariate linear equations. This work presents the first demonstration of partial key exposure attacks on common prime RSA.

Proposition 1. Let $N=p q$ be a common prime RSA modulus with two balanced common primes $p$ and $q$ of the same bit-length. Let $e \simeq N^{1-\gamma}$ and $d \simeq N^{\delta}$ be its public and private exponents such that ed $\equiv 1(\bmod \operatorname{lcm}(p-1, q-1))$, where $\operatorname{gcd}(p-1, q-1)=2 g$ for a large prime $g \simeq N^{\gamma}$. Given an approximation of $d$ with known MSBs $d_{\mathrm{M}}$ in a $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{M}} \log _{2} N\right)$-bit block along with LSBs $d_{\mathrm{L}}$ in a $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{L}} \log _{2} N\right)$-bit block satisfying $d=d_{\mathrm{M}} M+\bar{d} L+d_{\mathrm{L}}$, where $M=2^{\left(\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}\right) \log _{2} N}, L=2^{\delta_{\mathrm{L}} \log _{2} N}$ for known $\delta_{\mathrm{M}}, \delta_{\mathrm{L}}$ and unknown $\bar{d}$ is bounded by $|\bar{d}| \leq N^{\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}-\delta_{\mathrm{L}}}$. Then $N$ can be efficiently factored in time polynomial in $\log _{2} N$ if

$$
\delta_{\mathrm{M}}+\delta_{\mathrm{L}}<\delta<4 \gamma^{3}+\delta_{\mathrm{M}}+\delta_{\mathrm{L}}, \quad \frac{1}{4} \leq \gamma<\frac{1}{2}
$$

To provide a better understanding of our main result regarding the partial key exposure attack on common prime RSA, it is illustrated in Figure 1.

### 1.3 Organization

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we present a review of important mathematical lemmas and facts related to the lattice-based method. Section 3 provides a detailed explanation of our proposed partial key exposure attacks on common prime RSA. In Section 4, we present the results of our experiments conducted to validate the effectiveness of the proposed attacks. Finally, Section 5 concludes the paper.

## 2 Preliminaries

We introduce the application of Coppersmith's technique [Cop96, Cop97], which is based on the LLL algorithm [LLL82]. This technique proves to be instrumental in cryptanalysis, as it allows one to identify small roots of modular polynomial equations under a critical condition using the lattice-based method.

Consider an irreducible multivariate polynomial $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ having integer roots $\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ modulo a known/unknown integer with upper bounds $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$


Figure 1: The illustration of partial key exposure attack on common prime RSA considering both MSBs and LSBs exposure. The vulnerable $\delta$ is located between the red surface $\delta_{\mathrm{M}}+\delta_{\mathrm{L}}$ and the blue surface $4 \gamma^{3}+\delta_{\mathrm{M}}+\delta_{\mathrm{L}}$ for $1 / 4 \leq \gamma<1 / 2$.
on the roots. The problem is to recover roots $\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ satisfying the above modular equation through a polynomial-time algorithm. One may refer to [JM06, May03, May10] using the lattice-based method for more details.

We then delve into the LLL lattice reduction algorithm. A lattice $\mathcal{L}$ is the set of integer linear combinations of linearly independent vectors $\vec{b}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{b}_{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, denoted as

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(\vec{b}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{b}_{w}\right)=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{w} z_{i} \vec{b}_{i}: z_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

The lattice is generated by the lattice basis matrix $B$, where each $\vec{b}_{i}$ is regarded as a row/column vector. Its determinant is $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{L})=|\operatorname{det}(B)|$ for a full-rank lattice with $w=n$, which is calculated as the product of the diagonal entries if $B$ is a triangular matrix.

The LLL algorithm [LLL82] is commonly used to output approximately shortest vectors in a given lattice. We present the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let given basis vectors $\left(\vec{b}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{b}_{w}\right)$ span a lattice $\mathcal{L}$. The LLL algorithm outputs a reduced basis $\left(\vec{v}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{v}_{w}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\left\|\vec{v}_{1}\right\|,\left\|\vec{v}_{2}\right\|, \ldots,\left\|\vec{v}_{i}\right\| \leq 2^{\frac{w(w-1)}{4(w+1-i)}} \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{L})^{\frac{1}{w+1-i}}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq w$. The running time is a polynomial regarding $w$ and maximal bit-length of $\vec{b}_{i}$.

Howgrave-Graham [How97] refined the original lattice construction and proposed a subtle lemma. Consider a polynomial $h\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}}$. The norm of $h\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}}$ is defined as $\left\|h\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\|=$ $\sqrt{\sum\left|a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right|^{2}}$.

Lemma 2. Let $h\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be an integer polynomial having at most $w$ monomials, and let $R, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be some positive integers. If $\left\|h\left(x_{1} X_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} X_{n}\right)\right\|<R / \sqrt{w}$ and $h\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod R)$ for $\left|x_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq X_{1}, \ldots,\left|x_{n}^{\prime}\right| \leq X_{n}$, then $h\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)=$ 0 holds over the integers.

By connecting Lemma 2 with Lemma 1, a given modular equation can be solved through solving several relevant integer equations. The fundamental concept of the lattice-based method involves the creation of a collection of shift polynomials modulo $R$, all sharing a common root, and subsequently reducing them to a set of integer equations. The basis matrix, derived from the coefficient vectors of these shift polynomials, forms a lattice of dimension $w$. By employing the LLL algorithm, it becomes possible to extract short lattice vectors, which can subsequently be converted into polynomial equations. The equations hold over the integers if the norms of these polynomials are sufficiently small.

When the first $\ell$ reduced vectors are obtained through the LLL algorithm, the solutions can be extracted if If we obtain the first $\ell$ reduced vectors using the LLL algorithm, it is required that

$$
2^{\frac{w(w-1)}{4(w+1-\ell)}} \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{L})^{\frac{1}{w+1-\ell}}<R / \sqrt{w}
$$

to extract the solution. This condition can be simplified to $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{L})<R^{w}$ by disregarding the lower order terms. The common root of the resulting integer equations can be extracted by employing resultant computation or Gröbner basis computation [BWK93].

In summary, the lattice-based method for solving a given multivariate modular equation consists of four steps. First, we generate a collection of shift polynomials using the given polynomial $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and given modulus. These shift polynomials are designed to have a common root modulo $R$ with the form $\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)$. Next, we generate a lattice by deriving row vectors $\vec{b}_{i}$ from the coefficient vector of each shift polynomial $f_{i}\left(x_{1} X_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} X_{n}\right)$. This lattice, denoted as $\mathcal{L}$, is defined as the set of all possible integer linear combinations of these vectors. To simplify the lattice, we apply the LLL reduction algorithm to obtain the first $n$ reduced basis vectors $\vec{v}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{v}_{n}$. These vectors are then transformed into polynomials $h_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \ldots, h_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ that share the common root $\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ over the integers. Finally, if the derived integer polynomials $h_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ are algebraically independent, we can solve the equation system $h_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ using Gröbner basis computation. This allows us to extract the desired root $\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)$.

It is important to note that the process of solving multivariate equations using the lattice-based method is heuristic because there is no guarantee that the derived polynomials will be algebraically independent. However, in the literature of latticebased attacks, it is commonly assumed that the polynomials obtained from the LLL algorithm are algebraically independent. While there is limited research
contradicting this assumption, it is generally accepted. Therefore, we make the following assumption.

Assumption 1. The obtained integer polynomials are algebraically independent, allowing for the efficient recovery of their common root using Gröbner basis computation.

In addition to the lattice construction originally introduced in [Cop97, How97], there are other improved and simplified constructions available, such as those presented in [JM06, LZPL15, TK13]. In this paper, we have chosen to utilize the lattice construction proposed in [LZPL15] for our attack scenarios. This particular construction allows for the easier creation of a triangular lattice matrix, while also yielding superior analysis results. The method is specifically designed to solve the problem of finding small roots of extended simultaneous modular univariate linear equations,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}+a_{1} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod u^{r_{1}}\right) \\
\vdots \\
f_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)=x_{n}+a_{n} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod u^{r_{n}}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The given parameters are positive integers $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}, r, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, U$, and bounding reals $\eta, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n} \in(0,1)$, where $U \equiv 0\left(\bmod u^{r}\right)$ for unknown $u \simeq U^{\eta}$. The goal is to extract all roots $\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\left|x_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq U^{\gamma_{1}}, \ldots,\left|x_{n}^{\prime}\right| \leq U^{\gamma_{n}}$.

We briefly mention the relevant shift polynomials step, as it plays a crucial role in the lattice-based method. In this step, we define a suitable polynomial collection $\mathcal{F}$ for a predetermined positive integer $t$.

$$
\mathcal{F}=\left\{f_{\left[i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right]}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right): 0 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_{j} i_{j} \leq \eta t\right\}
$$

where each polynomial $f_{\left[i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right]}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ for $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{1}+a_{1}\right)^{i_{1}} \cdots\left(x_{n}+a_{n}\right)^{i_{n}} \cdot U^{\max \left\{\left[\left(t-\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{j} i_{j}\right) / r\right\rceil, 0\right\} . . ~ . ~} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modulus $R$ involved in the above lattice-based construction is $u^{t}$. With the parameters mentioned above, we present the following lemma. For a detailed explanation, please refer to [LZPL15, Theorem 10] and its accompanying proof.

Lemma 3. The extended simultaneous modular univariate linear equations can be solved if

$$
\sqrt[n]{\frac{\gamma_{1} \cdots \gamma_{n}}{r r_{1} \cdots r_{n}}}<\eta^{\frac{n+1}{n}}
$$

provided that $\eta \gg 1 / \sqrt{\log U}$ and $\gamma_{i} \leq r_{i} \eta$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The running time is polynomial in $\log U$ but exponential in $n$.

## 3 Partial Key Exposure Attack

In this section, we analyze and propose partial key exposure attacks on common prime RSA. We consider three distinct situations for the given leakage of the
private key, which we refer to as the MSB case, LSB case, and MSB-LSB case. Let $N$ be the product of two common primes $p$ and $q$, both having the same bit-length. Let $e \simeq N^{1-\eta}$ and $d \simeq N^{\delta}$ satisfy $e d \equiv 1(\bmod \lambda(N))$, where $\lambda(N)=$ $\operatorname{lcm}(p-1, q-1)=2 g a b$ is described in Section 1 .

MSB Case. Given $N, e$ and $\operatorname{MSBs} d_{\mathrm{M}}$ in a $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{M}} \log _{2} N\right)$-bit block of $d$ satisfying $d=d_{\mathrm{M}} M+\bar{d}$, where $M=2^{\left(\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}\right) \log _{2} N}$ for known $\delta_{\mathrm{M}}$, and unknown $\bar{d}$ is bounded by $|\bar{d}| \simeq N^{\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}}$, the target is to efficiently factor $N$ in polynomial.

LSB Case. Given $N, e$ and LSBs $d_{\mathrm{L}}$ in a $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{L}} \log _{2} N\right)$-bit block of $d$ satisfying $d=\bar{d} L+d_{\mathrm{L}}$, where $L=2^{\delta_{\mathrm{L}} \log _{2} N}$ for known $\delta_{\mathrm{L}}$, and unknown $\bar{d}$ is bounded by $|\bar{d}| \simeq N^{\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{L}}}$, the target is to efficiently factor $N$ in polynomial.

MSB-LSB Case. Given $N, e$ and MSBs $d_{\mathrm{M}}$ in a $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{M}} \log _{2} N\right)$-bit block along with LSBs $d_{\mathrm{L}}$ in a $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{L}} \log _{2} N\right)$-bit block of $d$ satisfying $d=d_{\mathrm{M}} M+\bar{d} L+d_{\mathrm{L}}$, where $M=2^{\left(\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}\right) \log _{2} N}, L=2^{\delta_{\mathrm{L}} \log _{2} N}$ for known $\delta_{\mathrm{M}}, \delta_{\mathrm{L}}$, and unknown $\bar{d}$ is bounded by $|\bar{d}| \simeq N^{\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}-\delta_{\mathrm{L}}}$, the target is to efficiently factor $N$ in polynomial.

### 3.1 MSB Case

According to the property of common prime RSA, we have $N-1=2 g h$ for two primes $g, h$ from relation (1), which implies $N-1 \equiv 0(\bmod g)$ and further

$$
\frac{N-1}{2} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod g) .
$$

Besides, we have $e d-1 \equiv 0(\bmod g)$ from equation (2). Since $d=d_{\mathrm{M}} M+\bar{d}$ for $M=2^{\left(\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}\right) \log _{2} N}$ with known $\delta_{\mathrm{M}}$ and unknown $\bar{d}$, we substitute $d$ into $e d-1 \equiv 0$ $(\bmod g)$ and obtain

$$
e d_{\mathrm{M}} M+e \bar{d}-1 \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod g) .
$$

Because $(N-1) / 2=g h$ is a product of two primes, the inverse of $e$ modulo ( $N-$ 1) $/ 2$ must exist, which is denoted by $e^{-1} \bmod (N-1) / 2$. Multiplying the above equation by $e^{-1} \bmod (N-1) / 2$, we have a modular univariate linear equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}+a_{1} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod g), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{1}$ represents the unknown $\bar{d}$ and

$$
a_{1}=\left(e d_{\mathrm{M}} M-1\right)\left(e^{-1} \bmod \frac{N-1}{2}\right) \bmod (N-1) .
$$

Moreover, since $(p-1)(q-1)=2 g a \cdot 2 g b=4 g^{2} a b$ and $(p-1)(q-1)=$ $p q-p-q+1=N+1-(p+q)$, we have another modular univariate linear equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}+a_{2} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod g^{2}\right), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{2}$ represents the unknown $-(p+q)$ and $a_{2}=N+1$. Thus, combining two simultaneous modular univariate linear equations (6) and (7), we have the following equation system,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}+a_{1} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod g)  \tag{8}\\
x_{2}+a_{2} \equiv 0
\end{array} \quad\left(\bmod g^{2}\right)\right.
$$

We focus on the above equation system with roots $x_{1}^{\prime}=\bar{d}$ and $x_{2}^{\prime}=-(p+q)$. Once we discover an integer pair $\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ satisfying (8), we can factor the RSA modulus $N$ via $p+q$. From (8) and $U \equiv 0(\bmod g)$ with $g \simeq N^{\gamma}$, we have $n=2$, $r_{1}=1, r_{2}=2, r=1, u=g, U=N-1$, and $\eta=\gamma$. Therefore, all the shift polynomials in our attack scenario are specified as

$$
f_{\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right]}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}+a_{1}\right)^{i_{1}}\left(x_{2}+a_{2}\right)^{i_{2}} U^{\max \left\{t-i_{1}-2 i_{2}, 0\right\}}
$$

induced from definition 5 (here we use $U$ instead of $N-1$ for simplicity).
These shift polynomials share a common root $(\bar{d},-(p+q))$ modulo $g^{t}$ for a predetermined integer $t$. By a straightforward polynomial arrangement, we can construct a triangular basis matrix with diagonal entries,

$$
X_{1}^{i_{1}} X_{2}^{i_{2}} U^{\max \left\{t-i_{1}-2 i_{2}, 0\right\}}
$$

for each polynomial in $\mathcal{F}=\left\{f_{\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right]}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right): 0 \leq \gamma_{1} i_{1}+\gamma_{2} i_{2} \leq \gamma t\right\}$. A lattice matrix example is shown in Table 1, where other non-zero off-diagonal entries are denoted by '-'.

Table 1: A lattice matrix example with $\gamma_{1}=0.35, \gamma_{2}=0.5, \gamma=0.45$, and $t=3$

| $f_{\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right]}$ | 1 | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{1}^{2}$ | $x_{1} x_{2}$ | $x_{2}^{2}$ | $x_{1}^{3}$ | $x_{1}^{2} x_{2}$ | $x_{1} x_{2}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{[0,0]}$ | $U^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $f_{[1,0]}$ | - | $X_{1} U^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $f_{[0,1]}$ | - |  | $X_{2} U$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $f_{[2,0]}$ | - | - |  | $X_{1}^{2} U$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $f_{[1,1]}$ | - | - | - |  | $X_{1} X_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $f_{[0,2]}$ | - |  | - |  |  | $X_{2}^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| $f_{[3,0]}$ | - | - |  | - |  |  | $X_{1}^{3}$ |  |  |
| $f_{[2,1]}^{2}$ | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | $X_{1}^{2} X_{2}$ |  |
| $f_{[1,2]}$ | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |  | $X_{1} X_{2}^{2}$ |

Following the solving strategy for extended simultaneous modular univariate linear equations, we compute the lattice dimension,

$$
w=\sum_{0 \leq \gamma_{1} i_{1}+\gamma_{2} i_{2} \leq \gamma t} 1=\frac{\gamma^{2} t^{2}}{2 \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}+o\left(t^{2}\right)
$$

The lattice determinant is $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{L})=X_{1}^{s_{1}} X_{2}^{s_{2}} U^{s_{U}}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}=\sum_{0 \leq \gamma_{1} i_{1}+\gamma_{2} i_{2} \leq \gamma t} i_{1}=\frac{\gamma^{3} t^{3}}{6 \gamma_{1}^{2} \gamma_{2}}+o\left(t^{3}\right) \\
& s_{2}=\sum_{0 \leq \gamma_{1} i_{1}+\gamma_{2} i_{2} \leq \gamma t} i_{2}=\frac{\gamma^{3} t^{3}}{6 \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}^{2}}+o\left(t^{3}\right) \\
& s_{U}=\sum_{0 \leq i_{1}+2 i_{2} \leq t}\left(t-i_{1}-2 i_{2}\right)=\frac{t^{3}}{12}+o\left(t^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Ignoring low order terms of $t$, the crucial condition $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{L})<R^{w}$ with $R=$ $g^{t} \simeq U^{\gamma t}$ leads to

$$
X_{1}^{\frac{\gamma^{3} t^{3}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{ }_{1}^{2} \gamma_{2}} X_{2}^{\frac{\gamma^{3} t^{3}}{\sigma_{1} 1_{2}^{2}}} U^{\frac{t^{3}}{12}}<U^{\gamma t \cdot \frac{\gamma^{2} t^{2}}{2 \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}} .
$$

The unknown variables $x_{1}, x_{2}$ are bounded by $X_{1}=U^{\gamma_{1}}, X_{2}=U^{\gamma_{2}}$, respectively. Therefore, we deal with the exponents over $U$ for simplicity to obtain

$$
\frac{\gamma^{3} t^{3}}{6 \gamma_{1}^{2} \gamma_{2}} \cdot \gamma_{1}+\frac{\gamma^{3} t^{3}}{6 \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}^{2}} \cdot \gamma_{2}+\frac{t^{3}}{12}<\frac{\gamma^{3} t^{3}}{2 \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}
$$

which reduces to $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}<2 \gamma^{3}$.
Because $X_{1}=N^{\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}} \simeq U^{\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}}$ and $X_{2}=N^{1 / 2} \simeq U^{1 / 2}$, we have $\gamma_{1}=\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}$, $\gamma_{2}=1 / 2$ and hence

$$
\left(\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2}<2 \gamma^{3},
$$

which leads to

$$
\delta<4 \gamma^{3}+\delta_{\mathrm{M}}
$$

Actually, we can directly apply Lemma 3 with $n=2, r_{1}=1, r_{2}=2, r=1$, $U=N-1 \simeq N, \eta=\gamma$. Thus, we have

$$
\sqrt[n]{\frac{\gamma_{1} \cdots \gamma_{n}}{r r_{1} \cdots r_{n}}}<\eta^{\frac{n+1}{n}} \Rightarrow \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}<2 \gamma^{3} .
$$

Substituting $\gamma_{1}=\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}$ and $\gamma_{2}=1 / 2$, we finally have

$$
\delta<4 \gamma^{3}+\delta_{\mathrm{M}}
$$

Moreover, we must ensure that $0<\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}} \leq \gamma$ and $1 / 2 \leq 2 \gamma$, which imply that $\gamma \geq 1 / 4$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{M}}<\delta<\gamma+\delta_{\mathrm{M}}$. Gathering them together with $0<\gamma<1 / 2$, we derive the final condition,

$$
\delta_{\mathrm{M}}<\delta<4 \gamma^{3}+\delta_{\mathrm{M}}, \quad \frac{1}{4} \leq \gamma<\frac{1}{2} .
$$

Since we deal with two simultaneous modular univariate linear equations, the running time is polynomial in $\log _{2} N$. For completeness, we conclude partial key exposure attack on common prime RSA under the MSB case with the following proposition.

Proposition 2. Let $N=p q$ be a common prime RSA modulus with two balanced common primes $p$ and $q$ of the same bit-length. Let $e \simeq N^{1-\gamma}$ and $d \simeq N^{\delta}$ be its public and private exponents such that ed $\equiv 1(\bmod \operatorname{lcm}(p-1, q-1))$, where $\operatorname{gcd}(p-1, q-1)=2 g$ for a large prime $g \simeq N^{\gamma}$. Given an approximation of $d$ with known MSBs $d_{\mathrm{M}}$ in a $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{M}} \log _{2} N\right)$-bit block satisfying $d=d_{\mathrm{M}} M+\bar{d}$, where $M=2^{\left(\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}\right) \log _{2} N}$ for known $\delta_{\mathrm{M}}$ and unknown $\bar{d}$ is bounded by $|\bar{d}| \leq N^{\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}}$. Then $N$ can be efficiently factored in time polynomial in $\log _{2} N$ if

$$
\delta_{\mathrm{M}}<\delta<4 \gamma^{3}+\delta_{\mathrm{M}}, \quad \frac{1}{4} \leq \gamma<\frac{1}{2} .
$$

### 3.2 LSB Case

According to the property of common prime RSA, we have $N-1=2 g h$ for two primes $g, h$ from relation (1), which implies $N-1 \equiv 0(\bmod g)$ and further

$$
\frac{N-1}{2} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod g) .
$$

Besides, we have $e d-1 \equiv 0(\bmod g)$ from equation (2). Since $d=\bar{d} L+d_{\mathrm{L}}$ for $L=2^{\delta_{\mathrm{L}} \log _{2} N}$ with known $\delta_{\mathrm{L}}$ and unknown $\bar{d}$, we substitute $d$ into $e d-1 \equiv 0$ $(\bmod g)$ and obtain

$$
e \bar{d} L+e d_{\mathrm{L}}-1 \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod g) .
$$

Because $(N-1) / 2=g h$ is a product of two primes, the inverse of $e L$ modulo $(N-1) / 2$ must exist, which is denoted by $(e L)^{-1} \bmod (N-1) / 2$. Multiplying the above equation by $(e L)^{-1} \bmod (N-1) / 2$, we have a modular univariate linear equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}+a_{1} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod g), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{1}$ represents the unknown $\bar{d}$ and

$$
a_{1}=\left(e d_{\mathrm{L}}-1\right)\left((e L)^{-1} \bmod \frac{N-1}{2}\right) \bmod (N-1) .
$$

Moreover, since $(p-1)(q-1)=2 g a \cdot 2 g b=4 g^{2} a b$ and $(p-1)(q-1)=$ $p q-p-q+1=N+1-(p+q)$, we have another modular univariate linear equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}+a_{2} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod g^{2}\right), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{2}$ represents the unknown $-(p+q)$ and $a_{2}=N+1$. Thus, combining two simultaneous modular univariate linear equations (9) and (10), we have the following equation system,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}+a_{1} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod g)  \tag{11}\\
x_{2}+a_{2} \equiv 0
\end{array} \quad\left(\bmod g^{2}\right)\right.
$$

We focus on the above equation system with roots $x_{1}^{\prime}=\bar{d}$ and $x_{2}^{\prime}=-(p+q)$. Once we discover an integer pair ( $x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}$ ) satisfying (11), we can factor the RSA modulus $N$ via $p+q$. Similarly, since $U \equiv 0(\bmod g)$ with $g \simeq N^{\gamma}$, and $X_{1}=$ $N^{\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{L}}}, X_{2}=N^{1 / 2}$, we have $n=2, r_{1}=1, r_{2}=2, r=1, U=N-1 \simeq N, \eta=\gamma$. Therefore, we directly apply Lemma 3 and obtain

$$
\sqrt[n]{\frac{\gamma_{1} \cdots \gamma_{n}}{r r_{1} \cdots r_{n}}}<\eta^{\frac{n+1}{n}} \Rightarrow \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}<2 \gamma^{3} .
$$

Substituting $\gamma_{1}=\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\gamma_{2}=1 / 2$, we finally have

$$
\delta<4 \gamma^{3}+\delta_{\mathrm{L}} .
$$

Moreover, we must ensure that $0<\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{L}} \leq \gamma$ and $1 / 2 \leq 2 \gamma$, which imply that $\gamma \geq 1 / 4$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{L}}<\delta<\gamma+\delta_{\mathrm{L}}$. Gathering them together with $0<\gamma<1 / 2$, we derive the final condition,

$$
\delta_{\mathrm{L}}<\delta<4 \gamma^{3}+\delta_{\mathrm{L}}, \quad \frac{1}{4} \leq \gamma<\frac{1}{2} .
$$

The detailed analysis and lattice construction is similar to that of MSB case and we omit it here. For completeness, we conclude partial key exposure attack on common prime RSA under the LSB case with the following proposition.

Proposition 3. Let $N=p q$ be a common prime RSA modulus with two balanced common primes $p$ and $q$ of the same bit-length. Let $e \simeq N^{1-\gamma}$ and $d \simeq N^{\delta}$ be its public and private exponents such that ed $\equiv 1(\bmod \operatorname{lcm}(p-1, q-1))$, where $\operatorname{gcd}(p-1, q-1)=2 g$ for a large prime $g \simeq N^{\gamma}$. Given an approximation of $d$ with known LSBs $d_{\mathrm{L}}$ in a $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{L}} \log _{2} N\right)$-bit block satisfying $d=\bar{d} L+d_{\mathrm{L}}$, where $L=2^{\delta_{\mathrm{L}} \log _{2} N}$ for known $\delta_{\mathrm{L}}$ and unknown $\bar{d}$ is bounded by $|\bar{d}| \leq N^{\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{L}}}$. Then $N$ can be efficiently factored in time polynomial in $\log _{2} N$ if

$$
\delta_{\mathrm{L}}<\delta<4 \gamma^{3}+\delta_{\mathrm{L}}, \quad \frac{1}{4} \leq \gamma<\frac{1}{2} .
$$

### 3.3 MSB-LSB Case

According to the property of common prime RSA, we have $N-1=2 g h$ for two primes $g, h$ from relation (1), which implies $N-1 \equiv 0(\bmod g)$ and further

$$
\frac{N-1}{2} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod g)
$$

Besides, we have $e d-1 \equiv 0(\bmod g)$ from equation (2). Since $d=d_{\mathrm{M}} M+\bar{d} L+d_{\mathrm{L}}$ for $M=2^{\left(\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}\right) \log _{2} N}, L=2^{\delta_{\mathrm{L}} \log _{2} N}$ with known $\delta_{\mathrm{M}}$, $\delta_{\mathrm{L}}$ and unknown $\bar{d}$, we substitute $d$ into $e d-1 \equiv 0(\bmod g)$ and obtain

$$
e d_{\mathrm{M}} M+e \bar{d} L+e d_{\mathrm{L}}-1 \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod g) .
$$

Because $(N-1) / 2=g h$ is a product of two primes, the inverse of $e L$ modulo $(N-1) / 2$ must exist, which is denoted by $(e L)^{-1} \bmod (N-1) / 2$. Multiplying the above equation by $(e L)^{-1} \bmod (N-1) / 2$, we have a modular univariate linear equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}+a_{1} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod g), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{1}$ represents the unknown $\bar{d}$ and

$$
a_{1}=\left(e d_{\mathrm{M}} M+e d_{\mathrm{L}}-1\right)\left((e L)^{-1} \bmod \frac{N-1}{2}\right) \bmod (N-1) .
$$

Moreover, since $(p-1)(q-1)=2 g a \cdot 2 g b=4 g^{2} a b$ and $(p-1)(q-1)=$ $p q-p-q+1=N+1-(p+q)$, we have another modular univariate linear equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}+a_{2} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod g^{2}\right), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{2}$ represents the unknown $-(p+q)$ and $a_{2}=N+1$. Thus, combining two simultaneous modular univariate linear equations (12) and (13), we have the following equation system,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}+a_{1} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod g)  \tag{14}\\
x_{2}+a_{2} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod g^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We focus on the above equation system with roots $x_{1}^{\prime}=\bar{d}$ and $x_{2}^{\prime}=-(p+q)$. Once we discover an integer pair ( $x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}$ ) satisfying (14), we can factor the RSA modulus $N$ via $p+q$. Similarly, since $U \equiv 0(\bmod g)$ with $g \simeq N^{\gamma}$, and $X_{1}=$ $N^{\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}-\delta_{\mathrm{L}}}, X_{2}=N^{1 / 2}$, we have $n=2, r_{1}=1, r_{2}=2, r=1, U=N-1 \simeq N$, $\eta=\gamma$. Therefore, we directly apply Lemma 3 and obtain

$$
\sqrt[n]{\frac{\gamma_{1} \cdots \gamma_{n}}{r r_{1} \cdots r_{n}}}<\eta^{\frac{n+1}{n}} \Rightarrow \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}<2 \gamma^{3} .
$$

Substituting $\gamma_{1}=\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}-\delta_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\gamma_{2}=1 / 2$, we finally have

$$
\delta<4 \gamma^{3}+\delta_{\mathrm{M}}+\delta_{\mathrm{L}}
$$

Moreover, we must ensure that $0<\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}-\delta_{\mathrm{L}} \leq \gamma$ and $1 / 2 \leq 2 \gamma$, which imply that $\gamma \geq 1 / 4$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{M}}+\delta_{\mathrm{L}}<\delta<\gamma+\delta_{\mathrm{M}}+\delta_{\mathrm{L}}$. Gathering them together with $0<\gamma<1 / 2$, we derive the final condition,

$$
\delta_{\mathrm{M}}+\delta_{\mathrm{L}}<\delta<4 \gamma^{3}+\delta_{\mathrm{M}}+\delta_{\mathrm{L}}, \quad \frac{1}{4} \leq \gamma<\frac{1}{2}
$$

The detailed analysis and lattice construction is similar to that of MSB case and we omit it here. For completeness, we conclude partial key exposure attack on common prime RSA under the MSB-LSB case with the following proposition.

Proposition 4. Let $N=p q$ be a common prime RSA modulus with two balanced common primes $p$ and $q$ of the same bit-length. Let $e \simeq N^{1-\gamma}$ and $d \simeq N^{\delta}$ be its public and private exponents such that $e d \equiv 1(\bmod \operatorname{lcm}(p-1, q-1))$, where $\operatorname{gcd}(p-1, q-1)=2 g$ for a large prime $g \simeq N^{\gamma}$. Given an approximation of $d$ with known MSBs $d_{\mathrm{M}}$ in a $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{M}} \log _{2} N\right)$-bit block along with LSBs $d_{\mathrm{L}}$ in a $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{L}} \log _{2} N\right)$-bit block satisfying $d=d_{\mathrm{M}} M+\bar{d} L+d_{\mathrm{L}}$, where $M=2^{\left(\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}\right) \log _{2} N}, L=2^{\delta_{\mathrm{L}} \log _{2} N}$ for known $\delta_{\mathrm{M}}, \delta_{\mathrm{L}}$ and unknown $\bar{d}$ is bounded by $|\bar{d}| \leq N^{\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{M}}-\delta_{\mathrm{L}}}$. Then $N$ can be efficiently factored in time polynomial in $\log _{2} N$ if

$$
\delta_{\mathrm{M}}+\delta_{\mathrm{L}}<\delta<4 \gamma^{3}+\delta_{\mathrm{M}}+\delta_{\mathrm{L}}, \quad \frac{1}{4} \leq \gamma<\frac{1}{2} .
$$

As observed, the MSB-LSB case described in Proposition 4 covers both MSB case and LSB case as two specific scenarios. More precisely, when $\delta_{\mathrm{L}}=0$, Proposition 4 is identical to Proposition 2, and when $\delta_{\mathrm{M}}=0$, it is identical to Proposition 3.

## 4 Experimental Results

To validate the validity and effectiveness of our proposed partial key exposure attacks on common prime RSA, which exploits Proposition 2, Proposition 3, and Proposition 4, we conducted a series of numerical experiments. These experiments were performed on a computer running a 64 -bit Windows 10 operating system with Ubuntu 22.04 installed on WSL 2. The system had a CPU operating at 2.80 GHz and 16 GB of RAM. The experiments were conducted using SageMath [The23], and the parameters for generating the common prime RSA instances were randomly chosen.

We generated a common prime RSA modulus $N$ with $\log _{2} N=1024$ and a private exponent $d$ with a predetermined bit-length and known most significant bits (MSBs) and/or least significant bits (LSBs) in each experiment. We then derived the corresponding public exponent $e$ using its key equation $e d \equiv 1(\bmod \operatorname{lcm}(p-$ $1, q-1)$ ). Furthermore, we gradually increased the bit-length of $d$ to achieve a larger $\delta$ for performing a successful partial key exposure attack.

To execute the proposed attacks, we selected a suitable parameter $t$ to construct a lattice. The experimental results are presented in Table 2. The Type column indicates the specific exposure case discussed earlier. The $\gamma$ column indicates the size of $g$ in the generated common prime RSA instance. The $\delta_{\mathrm{M}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{L}}$ columns indicate the size of the known MSBs and LSBs exposures used in the experiments, respectively. The $\delta_{t}$ column provides the theoretical upper bound of $d$, while the $\delta_{e}$ column presents the corresponding experimental results. The lattice settings are controlled by $t$, and the lattice dimension is provided in the $w$ column. The time consumption of the LLL algorithm and the Gröbner basis computation is recorded in the Time column (measured in seconds).

Table 2: Experimental partial key exposure attacks on common prime RSA

| $\log _{2} N$ | Type | $\gamma$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{M}}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\delta_{t}$ | $\delta_{e}$ | $t$ | $w$ | Time |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1024 | MSB | 0.36 | 0.117 | 0 | 0.304 | 0.252 | 4 | 22 | 0.52 s |
| 1024 | MSB | 0.40 | 0.127 | 0 | 0.383 | 0.314 | 4 | 20 | 0.35 s |
| 1024 | MSB | 0.44 | 0.132 | 0 | 0.473 | 0.388 | 5 | 25 | 0.82 s |
| 1024 | MSB | 0.48 | 0.068 | 0 | 0.511 | 0.422 | 6 | 31 | 1.53 s |
| 1024 | LSB | 0.36 | 0 | 0.146 | 0.333 | 0.290 | 5 | 32 | 3.20 s |
| 1024 | LSB | 0.40 | 0 | 0.156 | 0.412 | 0.350 | 5 | 29 | 0.86 s |
| 1024 | LSB | 0.44 | 0 | 0.144 | 0.484 | 0.412 | 6 | 34 | 3.15 s |
| 1024 | LSB | 0.48 | 0 | 0.125 | 0.567 | 0.479 | 7 | 41 | 5.57 s |
| 1024 | MSB-LSB | 0.36 | 0.098 | 0.137 | 0.421 | 0.337 | 3 | 18 | 0.13 s |
| 1024 | MSB-LSB | 0.40 | 0.132 | 0.127 | 0.515 | 0.450 | 5 | 29 | 1.56 s |
| 1024 | MSB-LSB | 0.44 | 0.102 | 0.144 | 0.586 | 0.518 | 7 | 44 | 10.53 s |
| 1024 | MSB-LSB | 0.48 | 0.144 | 0.165 | 0.751 | 0.691 | 10 | 72 | 101.46 s |

During each experiment, we collected sufficient polynomials that satisfied the solvable requirements after running the LLL algorithm. As indicated in Table 2, the running time increases as the dimension of the lattice becomes larger. The reason is that it is mainly influenced by the lattice dimension and the lattice basis matrix entries. We obtained the integer polynomial equations having a shared root by transforming the derived vectors into polynomials.

We provide a concise explanation of the root extraction procedure used in our attacks. We recovered $x_{2}^{\prime}=-(p+q)$, which allows us to factorize $N$ by putting obtained integer polynomials into Gröbner basis computation. The common root was successfully recovered in all generated common prime RSA instances. However, the experimental results fell slightly short of reaching the theoretical insecure bound due to limited computing resources. We believe that the practical attack results can be further improved by constructing lattices with higher dimension. Additionally, we provide the following toy example to aid in numerical understanding.

Example 1. We provide a numerical example to illustrate a partial key exposure attack on common prime RSA, utilizing Proposition 4. In this example, we consider a toy scenario where we have set $\gamma=0.4$, and we are working with two 256 -bit common primes, denoted as $p$ and $q$, resulting in a composite modulus $N$ with a bit-length of $\log _{2} N=512$.

Additionally, we have a private exponent $d$ consisting of 159 bits, of which the most significant bits (MSBs) and least significant bits (LSBs) have been leaked, revealing 20 and 30 bits, respectively. The specific values for this example instance are as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
N= & 966120857795737898441900327346112149442377380808224817224826 \backslash \\
& 800979666486862766830639351539831632698875636431664821747818 \backslash \\
& 3954539327105043455767039958608711, \\
e= & 115433086372808160455444404036033993932712328130078246652048 \backslash \\
& 341119657335100876426570999532131, \\
d_{M}= & 700237, \\
d_{L}= & 856799747 .
\end{aligned}
$$

To conduct the partial key exposure attack, we utilize the derived parameters $a_{1}, a_{2}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1}= & 339435056389007461382995828393378087822726708759137592568410 \backslash \\
& 311579754228258366362973498272326193741451030909579746435335 \backslash \\
& 3007008342224368098868455268561086, \\
a_{2}= & 966120857795737898441900327346112149442377380808224817224826 \backslash \\
& 800979666486862766830639351539831632698875636431664821747818 \backslash \\
& 3954539327105043455767039958608712 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We set $t=10$ to construct a 90-dimensional lattice. After approximately 397 seconds, we successfully extract the desired root $\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. The obtained root values are as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}^{\prime}= & 527730494143743683881059337157557, \\
x_{2}^{\prime}= & -19677828023959178714983516714110724666172874735048140391260 \backslash \\
& 0015507712845340600 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $x_{2}^{\prime}$, we compute $p+q=-x_{2}^{\prime}$, which allows us to factorize $N=p q$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
p= & 940057264323314171429706366514839509339492710090002422148482 \backslash \\
& 07760523437055033, \\
q= & 102772553807260370006864530489623295727779476341481161697751 \backslash \\
& 807747189408285567 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It can be easily verified that $N=p q$ does hold, confirming the success of applying Proposition 4 to the partial key exposure attack on common prime RSA.

## 5 Concluding Remarks

The literature extensively examines the vulnerability of common prime RSA to small private key attacks. However, an unexplored area is the partial key exposure attack, which assumes knowledge of some significant bits of the private key due to side channel leakage. In this paper, we conduct the first study on partial key exposure attack on common prime RSA. We present three attack propositions, each based on a distinct attack scenario: the most significant bit (MSB) case, the least significant bit (LSB) case, and the MSB-LSB case. Our results demonstrate further advancements in security assessment on common prime RSA compared to previous small private key attacks.

It is worth mentioning that there are several approaches that can be employed to enhance our primary results, such as using more efficient lattice-based strategy, considering multiple private keys or leaking the middle bits of the private key. These possibilities remain to be explored in future work to generalize partial key exposure attacks on common prime RSA.
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