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Abstract. The present article provides a novel hash function \( H \) to any elliptic curve of \( j \)-invariant \( \neq 0, 1728 \) over a finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \) of large characteristic. The unique bottleneck of \( H \) consists in extracting a square root in \( \mathbb{F}_q \) as well as for most hash functions. However, \( H \) is designed in such a way that the root can be found by (Cipolla–Lehmer–)Müller’s algorithm in constant time. Violation of this security condition is known to be the only obstacle to applying the given algorithm in the cryptographic context. When the field \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is highly 2-adic and \( q \equiv 1 \mod 3 \), the new batching technique is the state-of-the-art hashing solution except for some sporadic curves. Indeed, Müller’s algorithm costs \( \approx 2 \log_2(q) \) multiplications in \( \mathbb{F}_q \). In turn, (constant-time) Tonelli–Shanks’s square root algorithm has asymptotic complexity \( O(\log(q) + \nu^2) \), where \( \nu \) is the 2-adicity of \( \mathbb{F}_q \). As an example, Müller’s algorithm needs \( \approx 4561 \) fewer multiplications in the field \( \mathbb{F}_q \) (whose \( \nu = 96 \)) of the standardized curve NIST P-224. In other words, there is an acceleration of about 11 times.
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1 Introduction

The idea of this paper came to the author when he was working on the other recent one [25] on the same topic. There and here one addresses the problem of efficient hashing to elliptic curves \( E: y^2 = f(x) := x^3 + ax + b \) over highly 2-adic fields \( \mathbb{F}_q \) of characteristic \( p > 3 \). By definition, \( q - 1 = 2^\nu m \) for \( \nu, m \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \nu \) is quite large. In order not to repeat some thoughts expressed in the previous author’s text, the given introduction is slightly shortened. The only important thing unsaid earlier is that the naïve hashing from [24 Section 8.1] can obviously work in constant linear time \( O(\log(q)) \) as we wish, but it is totally insecure.

Over highly 2-adic fields for square root extraction \( \sqrt{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_q \) (given a square \( \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \)) one usually prefers Müller’s algorithm [30], which is an enhancement of the classical Cipolla–Lehmer’s algorithm [7,28]. Unfortunately, the first stage of the algorithm is not deterministic in contrast to its subsequent one. So, applying
the given algorithm in cryptography is often not safe with regards to timing attacks. That is why in the draft [11] Appendix I, devoted to hashing to elliptic curves, Müller’s algorithm is not contained.

The authors of the mentioned draft are content only with a constant-time version of Tonelli–Shanks’s algorithm (see, e.g., [12] Algorithm 3). The point is that the probabilistic part of the latter (unlike Müller’s algorithm) does not depend on α, but only on q. Meanwhile, at least in elliptic cryptography the field \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is fixed all the time. The problem is that Tonelli–Shanks’s algorithm becomes extremely slow for 2-adicity \( \nu \) tending to \( \log_2(q) \).

In view of the above, in [23] the author tries to bypass painful square root computation during hashing to \( E \). For this purpose, he provides some cubic \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-polynomial in one variable having a unique \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-root. Since its coefficients depend on an element of the field \( \mathbb{F}_q \), this eventually results in a desired hash function. The approach of this article is cardinally opposite. Instead of computing \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-roots of higher-degree \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-polynomials, we will make Müller’s algorithm completely deterministic. This turns out to be possible, because we are free to generate specific quadratic residues in \( \mathbb{F}_q \) equipped with additional data.

Let’s pick once and for all any quadratic non-residue \( v \in \mathbb{F}_q \). Suppose that we possess a quadratic residue \( z^2 \) with the unknown square root \( z \in \mathbb{F}_q \). Recall that Cipolla–Lehmer–Müller’s algorithm of determining \( z \) starts with searching for an element \( x \in \mathbb{F}_q \) such that \( x^2 - z^2 \) is a non-square in \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Put another way, \( x^2 - z^2 = vy^2 \) for some \( y \in \mathbb{F}_q \). In Müller’s paper [30] the expression \( z^2x^2 - 4 \) is instead chosen, but this of course does not play any role. There is a long-standing open problem about how to find \( x \) in constant polynomial time and without assuming unproven conjectures of number theory. By the way, the more malleable problem of constructing an arbitrary quadratic non-residue in \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is solved in [34].

Substituting the separable cubic \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-polynomial \( f(t) \) to the place of \( z^2 \), we get the so-called Châtelet surface \( S_f : x^2 - vy^2 = f(t) \) originating from [6]. We deal with an absolutely irreducible cubic surface different from the cone over a plane cubic curve. Moreover, \( S_f(\mathbb{F}_q) \neq \emptyset \) as the field \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is always large in cryptography. As a result, \( S_f \) is \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-unirational according to [18], that is, there is a rational (not necessarily proper) \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-parametrization \( \pi : \mathbb{A}^2 \to S_f \).

Thereby, we are able to generate for free points \( (x, y, t) \in S_f(\mathbb{F}_q) \) to execute Müller’s algorithm of finding \( \sqrt{f(t)} \). Only the element \( x \) is essentially necessary in the algorithm, but \( y \) will not hurt in its low-level optimizations. The trouble is that \( f(t) \) may be a non-square in \( \mathbb{F}_q \). So, the parametrization \( \pi \) does not give a hash function to \( E(\mathbb{F}_q) \), but just to \( E(\mathbb{F}_q) \cup E^T(\mathbb{F}_q) \), where \( E^T : vy^2 = f(x) \) is the (unique) quadratic twist of \( E \).

To fix the given imperfection, it is suggested to consider a genus 2 curve \( H : s^2 = h(t) \) such that \( H \) has two (quadratic) \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-covers \( \varphi : H \to E \) and \( \varphi^T : H \to E^T \). We will derive the desired \( H \) for all curves \( E \) of \( j \)-invariants \( \neq 0, 1728 \), i.e., of the coefficients \( a, b \neq 0 \). In addition, introduce \( H^T : vs^2 = h(t) \), the quadratic hyperelliptic twist of \( H \). Up to the isomorphism \( (x, y) \mapsto (x, vy) \), formulas of \( \varphi^T \) equally define an \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-cover \( H^T \to E \). By abuse of notation, it
will be also denoted by \( \varphi^T \). It turns out that the \textit{generalized Châtelet surface} \( S_h: x^2 - vy^2 = h(t) \) is still \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-unirational for our degree 6 polynomials \( h(t) \). And the corresponding formulas are elementary. Thus, we will get a way to map into \( E(\mathbb{F}_q) \) through \( H(\mathbb{F}_q) \cup H^T(\mathbb{F}_q) \).

The equation of Châtelet surfaces is very similar to that of elliptic curves. So, it is no wonder that these surfaces occur in the context of elliptic cryptography. In Ska lba’s seminal work [32, Lemma 2] a certain Châtelet surface is also utilized (but in another way) for hashing to elliptic curves provided that \( a \neq 0 \). Curiously, the other remarkable sources [5, Section 3.1], [31, Section 5] on the topic are based on a surface resembling a Châtelet one. Furthermore, whenever \( a = 0 \), the former becomes the latter. This is a rough explanation why Chavez-Saab et al.’s hash function \textit{SWIFTEC} from [5] is always valid for elliptic curves of \( j \)-invariant 0.

To sum up, the hash function \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{old}} \) from [25] is relevant only for curves having an \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-isogeny of degree 3, which is a pretty restrictive condition. Moreover, it requires \( \gtrsim 4 \log_2(q) - \nu \) multiplications in \( \mathbb{F}_q \), which is a fairly large number. We will construct a new hash function \( \mathcal{H} \) improving the former on the both indicators. It is applicable to all elliptic \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-curves of \( j \)-invariants \( \neq 0 \), 1728. At the same time, its running time amounts to that of Müller’s algorithm, namely to \( \approx 2 \log_2(q) \) multiplications in \( \mathbb{F}_q \). As seen, \( \mathcal{H} \) has to perform \( \gtrsim \log_2(q) \) fewer field multiplications than \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{old}} \) even for the largest \( \nu \approx \log_2(q) \).

### 2 Algebraic geometry preliminaries

Let \( \mathbb{F}_q \) be a finite field of characteristic \( p > 3 \) and 2-adicity \( \nu > 1 \). The last assumption means that \( \sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q \). For our objectives, it will be more convenient to work with the more general form

\[
E: y^2 = f(x) := x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6
\]

of an elliptic \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-curve. It still has the unique infinity point \( \infty := (0 : 1 : 0) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \).

It is helpful to have before our eyes the expression of the \( j \)-invariant

\[
j(E) = \frac{-2^{8}(a_2^3 - 3a_4)^3}{4a_3^2a_6 - a_2^2a_4^2 - 18a_2a_4a_6 + 4a_3^2 + 27a_6^2}.
\]

Besides, denote by \( r_0, r_1, r_2 \) the (pairwise distinct) roots of the polynomial \( f(x) \). As usual,

\[
a_2 = -(r_0 + r_1 + r_2), \quad a_4 = r_0r_1 + r_0r_2 + r_1r_2, \quad a_6 = -r_0r_1r_2.
\]

Fix once and forever a quadratic non-residue \( v \in \mathbb{F}_q \). Consider the quadratic twist \( E^T: vy^2 = f(x) \) having the Weierstrass form

\[
y^2 = f^T(x) := x^3 + a_2vx^2 + a_4v^2x + a_6v^3.
\]

By abuse of notation, \( E^T \) will also stand for this form. There is the \( \mathbb{F}_q^2 \)-isomorphism

\[
\theta: E \to E^T \quad (x, y) \mapsto (vx, v\sqrt{v} \cdot y).
\]
Obviously, \( \theta(r_k, 0) = (\nu r_k, 0) \), that is, \( \nu r_k \) are roots of \( f^T(x) \).

As is clear from the introduction, generalized Châtelet surfaces

\[
S_h : x^2 - vy^2 = h(t) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{(x,y,t)},
\]

with separable \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-polynomials \( h(t) \), are main geometric objects of the current article. They are ones of the simplest examples of conic bundles or, alternatively, of conics over the function field \( \mathbb{E}_q(t) \). It is useful to remember that we are primarily interested in elements \( t \in \mathbb{F}_q \) for which trivially \( \mathbb{E}_q(t) = \mathbb{F}_q \). Conic bundles are a fairly common tool in applied mathematics. For instance, as seen in [19,20], they appear in the context of compressing points on elliptic curves of \( j \)-invariant 0.

Below, we will tacitly use the program code [26] written in Magma to verify underlying formulas. Among other things, we need the following folklore result about blowing up and down [13, Section V.3].

**Lemma 1.** Assume that a quadratic \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-polynomial \( Q(t) = t^2 - T t + N \) is irreducible, i.e., its discriminant \( D := T^2 - 4N \) is a quadratic non-residue in \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Then, we have the blow-up \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-maps

\[
bl_{Q, \pm} : S_h \to S_{hQ} \quad (x, y) \mapsto \left( \left( t - \frac{T}{2} \right) x \pm \sqrt{Dv} y, \pm \sqrt{Dv} x + \left( t - \frac{T}{2} \right) y \right),
\]

identical on \( t \). They are linear transformations whose determinant is equal to \( Q(t) \). In particular, the maps \( bl_{Q, \pm} \) are invertible for every \( t \in \mathbb{F}_q \).

**Corollary 1.** For \( T = 0 \) and the non-square \( d := -N \) the blow-up maps from the previous lemma take the form

\[
bl_{Q, \pm} : S_h \to S_{hQ} \quad (x, y) \mapsto \left( tx \pm \sqrt{d} v y, \pm \sqrt{d} v \cdot x + ty \right).
\]

By default, put \( bl_Q := bl_{Q, +} \). The notation \( S_h(\alpha) \) will mean the fiber of \( S_h \) over an arbitrary element \( \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \). Evidently, it is degenerate if and only if \( \alpha \) is a root of \( h(t) \). In this circumstance,

\[
S_h(\alpha) = L_+(\alpha) \cup L_-(-\alpha), \quad \text{where} \quad L_\pm(\alpha) := \begin{cases} x = \pm \sqrt{\nu} \cdot y, \\ t = \alpha. \end{cases}
\]

More concretely, let \( \alpha_\pm := (T \pm \sqrt{D})/2 \) be the roots of \( Q(t) \). In geometric terms, the inverse map \( bl^{-1}_{Q, +} : S_{hQ} \to S_h \) (respectively, \( bl^{-1}_{Q, -} : S_{hQ} \to S_h \)) contracts the two \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-conjugate lines \( L_{\pm}(\alpha_\pm) \) (respectively, \( L_{\pm}(\alpha_\mp) \)) on the surface \( S_{hQ} \) to two \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-conjugate points on the one \( S_h \).

Throughout the section, we will encounter the quadratic cone \( S_c \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{(x,y,t)} \) over the plane conic \( C : x^2 - vy^2 = c \) with \( c \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \). The latter has the \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-point

\[
P_0 := \begin{cases} (\sqrt{c}, 0) & \text{if } \sqrt{c} \in \mathbb{F}_q, \\ (0, \sqrt{-c}/v) & \text{if } \sqrt{c} \not\in \mathbb{F}_q. \end{cases}
\]
It is a classical fact (see, e.g., [3] Section 3.1) that, given an abstract conic $C : ax^2 + by^2 + 1 = 0$ having a point $P_0 = (x_0, y_0)$, the map inverse to the projection of $C$ from $P_0$ has the form

$$pr^{-1}_{P_0} : A^1_u \to C \quad u \mapsto \left(\frac{ax_0u^2 + 2by_0u - bx_0}{au^2 + b}, \frac{ay_0u^2 - 2ax_0u - by_0}{au^2 + b}\right).$$

In our situation, $a = -1/c$ and $b = v/c$. Among other things, the denominator does not vanish for $u \in \mathbb{F}_q$. As a result, acting identically on $t$, we obtain the map $pr^{-1}_{P_0} : A^2_{(u,t)} \to S_c$ with the same notation.

Hereafter, we proceed to analyzing several cases step by step.

### 2.1 The case when $r_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q$

Without loss of generality, put $r_0 = a_6 = 0$ and $O := (0, 0)$. Under this premise, $a_2 = -(r_1 + r_2)$ and $a_4 = r_1r_2$. Let’s glue the curves $E$, $E^T$ along their 2-torsion subgroups as follows:

$$\psi : E[2] \to E^T[2] \quad O \mapsto O, \quad (r_1, 0) \mapsto (vr_2, 0), \quad (r_2, 0) \mapsto (vr_1, 0).$$

No matter $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ or not, the map $\psi$ respects the Frobenius action on $E[2]$ and $E^T[2]$. In addition, note that $\psi \neq \theta|_{E[2]}$.

Owing to [16] Section 3.2, there are two quadratic $\mathbb{F}_q$-covers

$$\varphi : H \to E \quad (t, s) \mapsto \left(\frac{a_4(vt^2 + 1)}{-va_2t^2}, \frac{a_4}{a_2^2}t^3 \cdot s\right)$$

$$\varphi^T : H \to E^T \quad (t, s) \mapsto \left(\frac{a_4(vt^2 + 1)}{-a_2}, \frac{va_4}{a_2^2} \cdot s\right)$$

from a genus 2 curve $H : s^2 = h(t)$. Here,

$$h(t) := c \cdot Q_0(t) \cdot Q_1(t) \cdot Q_2(t) = c(t^6 + b_2t^4 + b_4t^2 + b_6),$$

where $Q_k(t) := t^2 - \delta_k$ and

$$c := -a_2a_4, \quad \delta_0 := -\frac{1}{v}, \quad \delta_1 := \frac{r_1}{vr_2}, \quad \delta_2 := \frac{r_2}{vr_1},$$

$$b_2 := -(\delta_0 + \delta_1 + \delta_2), \quad b_4 := \delta_0\delta_1 + \delta_0\delta_2 + \delta_1\delta_2, \quad b_6 := -\delta_0\delta_1\delta_2 = \frac{1}{v^3}.$$ 

Whenever $j(E) \neq 1728$ (as supposed), it is clear that $r_1 \neq \pm r_2$, i.e., $a_2 \neq 0$. As a consequence, $c \neq 0$ and the covers $\varphi, \varphi^T$ are correctly defined. Keep in mind that $\varphi(0, \sqrt{\delta_0}) = \infty$ and besides $\sqrt{\delta_0} \in \mathbb{F}_q \iff \sqrt{\delta} \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

For the sake of convenience, put $\gamma_k := \sqrt{\delta_k}$. For our polynomial $h(t)$ the generalized Châtelet surface $S_h$ fits with that discussed by Swinnerton-Dyer [33]. Furthermore, the polynomial $Q_0(t)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_q$, i.e., $\gamma_0 \notin \mathbb{F}_q$, hence we are able to eliminate it by virtue of Corollary 1. Thereby, we get an (ordinary) Châtelet surface $S_{h_0}$ for which

$$h_0(t) := c \cdot Q_1(t) \cdot Q_2(t) = c(t^4 + d_2t^2 + d_4),$$

$$d_2 := -(\delta_1 + \delta_2), \quad d_4 := \delta_1\delta_2 = \frac{1}{v^2}.$$
2.1.1 The case when all \( r_k \in \mathbb{F}_q \)

The subcase \( \sqrt{a_4} \in \mathbb{F}_q \) (still \( r_0 = 0 \)). If so, then \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \not\in \mathbb{F}_q \) or, equivalently, the polynomials \( Q_1(t), Q_2(t) \) are irreducible over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Therefore, nothing prevents to likewise eliminate the remaining degenerate fibers of \( S_{h_0} \), arriving at the quadratic cone \( S_c \).

The subcase \( \sqrt{a_4} \not\in \mathbb{F}_q \) (still \( r_0 = 0 \)). It is the most difficult, because the degenerate fibers of the surface \( S_{h_0} \), viz. \( S_{h_0}(\pm \gamma_1), S_{h_0}(\pm \gamma_2) \) cannot be liquidated over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Indeed, \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q \), hence every of them consists of a pair of \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-conjugate lines. Shifting, e.g., \( \gamma_1 \) to the infinity point \((1 : 0) \in \mathbb{P}^1\), we immediately obtain a cubic surface birationally \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-isomorphic to \( S_{h_0} \). Due to [18], we thus have a constructive proof of \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-unirationality of \( S_{h_0} \).

In fact, one can reduce the subcase under consideration to the case from Section 2.1.2 with the help of the next lemma. In this way, we are able to hash into \( E \) through a transitional elliptic \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-curve by analogy with [5, Section 4.3], [36, Section 4.3].

**Lemma 2.** Whenever we are in the subcase conditions (and \( j(E) \neq 1728 \)), there is an elliptic \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-curve \( E' : y^2 = x(x^2 + A_2x + A_4) \) (also of \( j \)-invariant \( \neq 1728 \)) such that \( E, E' \) are 2-isogenous over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) and \( O \) is the only \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-point of order 2 on \( E' \).

**Proof.** As seen in [12, Example 9.6.9], the quotient curve \( E' := E/O \) possesses the coefficients \( A_2 = -2a_2 \) and \( A_4 = a_2^2 - 4a_4 \). In accordance with the formula (1) applied to \( E' \),

\[
\frac{A_2}{2} - \frac{A_4}{9} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad a_2 = 0 \text{ or } a_4 = \frac{a_2^2}{6^2}.
\]

The second equality is never fulfilled, since \( \sqrt{a_4} \not\in \mathbb{F}_q \). So, we do not hit a \( j = 1728 \) curve if the initial curve \( E \) is not. By the same reason, the discriminant \( A_2^2 - 4A_4 = 4a_4^2 \) is a non-square. This is nothing but the lemma’s statement. \( \square \)

2.1.2 The case when \( r_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q \), but \( r_1, r_2 \not\in \mathbb{F}_q \). If so, then \( \delta_1, \delta_2 \not\in \mathbb{F}_q \) as well. However, \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 \), because the norm \( N_{\mathbb{F}_q^2/\mathbb{F}_q}(\delta_k) = d_4 \) is a quadratic residue in \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Not losing generality, let \( \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 \). We have the factorization \( h_0(t) = c \cdot Q_+(t) \cdot Q_-(t) \) into two irreducible quadratic \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-polynomials

\[
Q_{\pm}(t) := (t \mp \gamma_1)(t \mp \gamma_2) = t^2 \mp (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)t + \frac{1}{c}.
\]

Applying twice Lemma 1, we again come to the quadratic cone \( S_c \).
2.2 The case when all $r_k \not\in \mathbb{F}_q$

Suppose that $r_k^2 = r_{k+1}$, where the index $k$ is taken modulo 3. Let’s glue the curves $E$, $E^T$ along their 2-torsion subgroups in the following way:

$$\psi: E[2] \to E^T[2] \quad (r_k, 0) \mapsto (vr_{k+1}, 0).$$

The map $\psi$ respects the Frobenius action on $E[2]$ and $E^T[2]$. Furthermore, note that $\psi \neq \theta|_{E[2]}$. For the sake of compactness, it is worth introducing the new $\mathbb{F}_q$-values

$$\text{den}^T := a_2^2 - 3a_4, \quad R^T := r_0r_2^2 + r_1r_0^2 + 3a_6,$$

$$\text{num}_y := (r_0 - r_1)(r_0 - r_2)(r_1 - r_2), \quad R := r_0r_1^2 + r_1r_2^2 + 2r_2^3 + 3a_6.$$

Owing to \cite[Section 3.2]{16}, there are two quadratic $\mathbb{F}_q$-covers

$$\varphi: H \to E \quad (t, s) \mapsto \left(\frac{vR \cdot t^2 - \text{num}_y}{v \cdot \text{den}^T \cdot t^2}, \frac{\text{num}_y}{(\text{den}^T)^2 \cdot t^3}, s\right),$$

$$\varphi^T: H \to E^T \quad (t, s) \mapsto \left(\frac{v \cdot \text{num}_y \cdot t^2 + R^T}{\text{den}^T}, \frac{v \cdot \text{num}_y}{(\text{den}^T)^2}, s\right)$$

from a genus 2 curve $H: s^2 = h(t)$. Here, $h(t)$ has the same shape as the polynomial \cite{3} except that

$$c := \text{num}_y \cdot \text{den}^T, \quad \delta_k := \frac{r_{k-1} - r_k}{v(r_k - r_{k+1})},$$

and $b_6 = -1/v^3$. In addition, put $\bar{h}(t) := h(t)/c$. Due to the formula \cite{1}, the covers $\varphi, \varphi^T$ are correctly defined (equivalently, $c \neq 0$) if and only if $j(E) \neq 0$ as assumed. Keep in mind that $\varphi(0, \sqrt{c_0}) = \infty$ and besides $\sqrt{c_0} \in \mathbb{F}_q \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{c} \not\in \mathbb{F}_q$.

It is readily seen that $\delta_k^2 = \delta_{k+1} \not\in \mathbb{F}_q$. In turn, $\gamma_k := \sqrt{\delta_k} \not\in \mathbb{F}_q$, because the norm $N_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}(\delta_k) = -b_6$ is a quadratic non-residue in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Consequently, the polynomial $h(t)$ is $\mathbb{F}_q$-irreducible. Without loss of generality, let $\gamma_0 = \gamma_1$, $\gamma_2 = \gamma_2$, and $\gamma_0^2 = -\gamma_0$. The components of the degenerate fibers on the surface $S_h$ constitute two Frobenius orbits, namely

$$\left\{L_+((-1)^k \gamma_k), L_+((-1)^{k+1} \gamma_k)\right\}_{k=0}^2, \quad \left\{L_+((-1)^k + 1 \gamma_k), L_+((-1)^k \gamma_k)\right\}_{k=0}^2.$$

We can contract over $\mathbb{F}_q$ any of them, obtaining the quadratic cone $S_c$ as before. As a consequence, the composition

$$bl_{h, \pm} := bl_{Q_0, \pm} \circ bl_{Q_1, \pm} \circ bl_{Q_2, \pm}: S_c \to S_h$$

is defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ (unlike $bl_{Q_h, \pm}$). More precisely,

$$bl_{h, \pm}: S_c \to S_h \quad (x, y) \mapsto \left(\rho(t) \cdot x \pm \sqrt{v} \cdot g(t) \cdot y, \frac{\rho(t)}{\sqrt{v}} \cdot x + \rho(t) \cdot y\right),$$

where

$$\rho(t) := t^3 + (-\gamma_0 \gamma_1 + \gamma_0 \gamma_2 + \gamma_1 \gamma_3) t, \quad g(t) := (\gamma_0 - \gamma_1 + \gamma_2) t^2 - \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \gamma_2.$$
3 New hash function

Let’s stick to the symbolism of Section 2. In it we established the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Take the polynomial \( h(t) \) of the form (3) with the values (4) or (5) except for the case \( r_0 = 0, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q \), but \( \sqrt{a_4} \notin \mathbb{F}_q \). Then, there is a birational \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-parametrization \( \pi : \mathcal{A}_t \to S_h \) of the generalized Châtelet surface \( S_h \). Moreover, \( \pi \) is well defined on the whole set \( \mathbb{F}_q^2 \).

To be more precise,

\[
\pi = \begin{cases} 
bl_{Q_0} \circ bl_{Q_1} \circ bl_{Q_2} \circ pr_{r_0}^{-1} & \text{if } r_0 = 0 \text{ and } r_1, r_2, \sqrt{a_4} \in \mathbb{F}_q, \\
bl_{Q_0} \circ bl_{Q_+} \circ bl_{Q_-} \circ pr_{r_0}^{-1} & \text{if } r_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q, \text{ but } r_1, r_2 \notin \mathbb{F}_q, \\
bl_{h} \circ pr_{r_0}^{-1} & \text{if } r_k \notin \mathbb{F}_q.
\end{cases}
\]

The exceptional case of the theorem is treated by means of Lemma 2, hence it is excluded from our discussion. For uniformity of notation, \( S := S_h \) henceforth. In fact, the restriction of the map \( \pi \) to the line \( u = t \) gives rise to an \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-section \( \sigma : \mathcal{A}_t \to S \) of the conic bundle \( pr_1 : S \to \mathcal{A}_t \) or, alternatively, to an \( \mathbb{F}_q(t) \)-point of \( S \) as a conic. To further simplify the formulas of \( \pi \) it is reasonable to actually put \( u = t \) as it is originally done for Skalba’s map (3). Denote by \( H^T : us^2 = h(t) \) the hyperelliptic quadratic twist of \( H \). Any cover \( \varphi^T : H \to E^T \) is clearly can be interpreted (up to an \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-isomorphism) as the cover \( \varphi^T : H^T \to E \). Since the curves \( E, E^T \) possess opposite traces and \( H \) is obtained by gluing them, \( H \) (and hence \( H^T \)) is a curve of zero trace, that is,

\[ \#H(\mathbb{F}_q) = \#H^T(\mathbb{F}_q) = q + 1. \]

The polynomial \( h(t) \) with the values (4) fits (3) Section 5, because \( d := b_4/b_2 = 1/v \) is a quadratic non-residue and the coefficient \( b_0 = d^4 \). Therefore, we enjoy bijective maps \( \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) \to H(\mathbb{F}_q) \) and \( \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) \to H^T(\mathbb{F}_q) \) extracting a square root in \( \mathbb{F}_q \). These maps are based on a non-hyperelliptic involution of \( H \), \( H^T \) defined over \( \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \), but not over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). It is not hard to prove that the geometric automorphism group \( \text{Aut}(H) \) of the general \( H \) is isomorphic to the dihedral group \( D_8 \) of order 8. Interestingly, the hash function from (3) is built in a similar way on other genus 2 curves \( H \) having \( \text{Aut}(H) \simeq D_{12} \). By the way, there are no other dihedral groups \( \text{Aut}(H) \) for genus 2 curves (see details in (3)).

The facts of the previous paragraph are wrong if we talk about the values (5). The point is that the (geometric) automorphism group of the general \( H \) is just isomorphic to \((\mathbb{Z}/2)^2 \). Roughly speaking, the curve \( H \) is not sufficiently “symmetric”. That is why instead of mapping separately to \( H(\mathbb{F}_q) \) and \( H^T(\mathbb{F}_q) \) it is suggested to map onto \( U(\mathbb{F}_q) \) from two copies of \( \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) \), where

\[ U := H \sqcup H^T \subset \mathcal{A}_t \times \{0, 1\} \]
for compactness. We purposely introduce the disjoint union, because the curves $H, H^T$ intersect at the points $(\pm \gamma_k, 0)$. Unless stated otherwise, the subsequent exposition is carried out for the both suites $[4, 6]$.

Given $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $x^2 - vy^2 = z^2$ for $z \in \mathbb{F}_q$, denote by $M(x, y)$ Müller’s algorithm returning $z$ by using the values $x, y$. It should be noted that $x = y = 0$ is the only possible situation for $z = 0$. Consider the twisted surface $S^T : v(x^2 - vy^2) = h(t)$. In contrast to the twisted curves $E^T, H^T$, there is the $\mathbb{F}_q$-isomorphism

$$\iota : S \rightarrow S^T \quad (x, y) \mapsto \left( iy, \frac{ix}{v} \right),$$

where $i := \sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Eventually, we get the map

$$\tau : S(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \{0, 1\} \rightarrow U(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

$$\tau(x, y, t, b) := \begin{cases} (t, 0, b) & \text{if } \left( \frac{h(t)}{q} \right) = 0, \\ (t, (-1)^b M(x, y), 0) & \text{if } \left( \frac{h(t)}{q} \right) = 1, \\ (t, (-1)^b M(\iota(x, y)), 1) & \text{if } \left( \frac{h(t)}{q} \right) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Here, $\left( \frac{\cdot}{q} \right)$ is nothing but the Legendre symbol in $\mathbb{F}_q$, supplemented by the equality $\left( \frac{0}{q} \right) = 0$. It is worth emphasizing that it (as well as the inversion in $\mathbb{F}_q^*$) can be implemented in fast constant time in compliance with [5, Section 2.1]. On the same subject, an implementer must call $M(0, 0)$ in the first case to achieve a deterministic execution of $\tau$ for all input arguments.

We also lack the auxiliary map

$$\Phi : U(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow E(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

$$\Phi(P, b) := \begin{cases} \varphi(P) & \text{if } b = 0, \\ \varphi^T(P) & \text{if } b = 1. \end{cases}$$

Lastly, we obtain the map

$$e := \Phi \circ \tau \circ \sigma_{id} : \mathbb{F}_q \times \{0, 1\} \rightarrow E(\mathbb{F}_q),$$

where $\sigma_{id} := \sigma \times \text{id}$. It can be extended to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \{0, 1\}$ by tinkering with the $\mathbb{F}_q$-points of $\mathbb{P}^1$, $S, H, H^T$, and $E$ at infinity. Nonetheless, this is unnecessary in practice. In cryptographic language, we also have the hash function $H := e \circ \eta$, picking any one $\eta : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q \times \{0, 1\}$.

**Example 1.** As far as the author knows, 2-adicity $\nu = 96$ is maximal among the basic fields of standardized elliptic curves (around the world). It is attained by the curve NIST P-224 from the American standard [1] recently updated. As the name indicates, the curve is defined over a field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of length $[\log_2(q)] = 224$. The order $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, hence Icart’s hash function [17] (cf. Table [1]) is not applicable to the curve as opposed to $H$ and $H_{old}$ from the former
work [25]. Before \( H_{\text{old}} \), the so-called simplified SWU hash function \( H_{\text{sSWU}} \) (see, e.g., [36, Section 4.1]) was the best for NIST P-224.

Recall that \( H_{\text{sSWU}} \) extracts a square root in \( \mathbb{F}_q \) as well. From [25, Table 1] we know that a constant-time implementation of Tonelli–Shanks’s algorithm requires \( \approx 5009 \) multiplications in the field \( \mathbb{F}_q \) under consideration. In turn, Müller’s algorithm performs \( \approx 2 \cdot 2^{24} = 448 \) ones (see an optimization in the patent [27]). Finally, \( H_{\text{old}} \) has to compute \( \approx 865 \) field multiplications. To sum up, the new hash function \( H \) carries out \( \approx 417 \) (respectively, \( \approx 4561 \)) fewer multiplications than \( H_{\text{old}} \) (respectively, \( H_{\text{sSWU}} \)). In other terms, there is an acceleration of about 2 and 11 times, respectively.

### 3.1 Indifferentiability from a random oracle

In this section we will encounter some statistical notions, which are common in the current research area. They can be found, e.g., in [1, Sections 2, 3].

**Lemma 3.** For the covers (2) and any affine point \( P = (x, y) \in E(\mathbb{F}_q) \) there is the criterion

\[
\varphi^{-1}(P) \cap H(\mathbb{F}_q) = \emptyset \iff (\varphi^T)^{-1}(P) \cap H^T(\mathbb{F}_q) = \emptyset.
\]

The lemma immediately follows from the simple equality

\[
(pr_x \circ \varphi^T)(t) = (pr_x \circ \varphi)(\frac{1}{vt}).
\]

For the other pair of covers (5) the given lemma is false. In particular, the situation \( \Phi^{-1}(P) \cap U(\mathbb{F}_q) = \emptyset \) occurs quite often. A counterexample can be easily found by sampling randomly the appropriate parameters \( q, r_k, \) and \( P \).

We see that the map \( \Phi \) (and hence \( e \)) is itself far from surjective. This implies non-regularity of the maps \( \Phi, e \). By this reason, we are forced to resort to the tensor squares

\[
\Phi^2 := [+] \circ \Phi \times_2 : U^2(\mathbb{F}_q) \to E(\mathbb{F}_q),
\]

\[
e^2 := \Phi^2 \circ \tau \times_2 \circ \sigma_{u^2} : \mathbb{F}_q^2 \times \{0, 1\}^2 \to E(\mathbb{F}_q),
\]

where

\[
[+] : E^2 \to E \quad (P, P') \mapsto P + P'.
\]

Despite the fact that the original map \( \pi \) acts from the whole plane \( A^2(u, t) \), we cannot benefit from this circumstance. We conclude that restricting \( \pi \) to the diagonal \( u = t \) is actually justified. Otherwise, the output length (and hence the running time) of the auxiliary hash function \( \eta \) would be doubled without any advantage. In comparison, certain maps from \( \mathbb{F}_q^2 \) in the recent works [5,22,23] lead to indifferentiable hash functions requiring only one root extraction.

**Theorem 2.** The map \( \Phi^2 \) is admissible.
Proof. We lack the quantities
\[
\text{WS}(\phi, \chi) := \sum_{P \in S} (\chi \circ \phi)(P), \quad \Delta(\phi) := \sum_{P \in E(F_q)} \left| \frac{\#\phi^{-1}(P)}{\#S} - \frac{1}{\#E(F_q)} \right|,
\]
where $\chi: E(F_q) \to \mathbb{C}^*$ is a complex character and $\phi: S \to E(F_q)$ is any map from a finite set $S$. The first quantity is an analogue of Weil sum [29, Section 5.4]. The second is the statistical distance between the uniform distribution on $E(F_q)$ and that induced by $\phi$ (provided that the distribution on $S$ is also uniform).

Due to [10, Theorem 7], the cover $\varphi$ is 2-well-distributed, i.e., $|\text{WS}(\varphi, \chi)| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$ for every non-trivial character $\chi$. Besides, since $\varphi$ is a quadratic cover, $\varphi^{-1}(P)$ contains at most two $F_q$-points for each $P \in E(F_q)$. The same properties are true for $\varphi^T$. We have the right to suppose (for simplicity) the near-equality $\#E(F_q) \approx q$. So, in accordance with [35, Corollary 1], the tensor products
\[
\varphi^{\otimes 2}, \quad (\varphi^T)^{\otimes 2}, \quad \varphi \otimes \varphi^T, \quad \varphi^T \otimes \varphi
\]
are $\epsilon$-regular, where the value $\epsilon \approx 2\sqrt{2/q}$ is negligible.

Note that
\[
U^2 = H^2 \cup (H^T)^2 \cup H \times H^T \cup H^T \times H
\]
and thereby $\#U^2(F_q) = 4(q + 1)^2$. It is readily seen that
\[
\Delta(\phi^{\otimes 2}) \leq \frac{\Delta((\varphi^T)^{\otimes 2}) + \Delta(\varphi \otimes \varphi^T) + \Delta(\varphi^T \otimes \varphi)}{4} \leq \epsilon.
\]
By definition, the map $\phi^{\otimes 2}$ is also $\epsilon$-regular. Formally speaking, we established regularity when the domain of $\phi^{\otimes 2}$ includes all pairs of $F_q$-points on $H, H^T$ (together with two bits) such that at least one of them lies at infinity. Nonetheless, restricting to $U^2(F_q) \subset F_q^4 \times \{0, 1\}^2$ remains regular, because we discard a negligible number of points, viz. $O(q)$, with respect to $4(q + 1)^2$.

Further, the map $\phi^{\otimes 2}$ is computable in constant time as the “basic” maps $\varphi, \varphi^T$ are of the same degree (two) and have similar formulas. That is why evaluating them can be easily implemented without time difference. Lastly, their pairwise tensor products are samplable according to [35, Algorithm 1]. This entails samplability of $\phi^{\otimes 2}$, because nothing prevents to choose uniformly at random the pairs of $\varphi, \varphi^T$. Eventually, all the admissibility characteristics are proved. 

Let $\Sigma \subset S$ stand for the image of the section $\sigma$. The restriction $\tau: \Sigma(F_q) \times \{0, 1\} \to U(F_q)$ is bijective. Indeed, it is effortlessly checked that the inverse map to $\tau$ has the form
\[
\tau^{-1}: U(F_q) \to \Sigma(F_q) \times \{0, 1\}
\]
\[
\tau^{-1}(t, s, b) = \begin{cases} 
(0, 0, t, b) & \text{if } s = 0, \\
(x, y, t, 0) & \text{if } s = M(t^b(x, y)) \neq 0, \\
(x, y, t, 1) & \text{if } s = -M(t^b(x, y)) \neq 0,
\end{cases}
\]
where \((x,y,t) = \sigma(t)\) and \(\iota^0 := \text{id}\).

In general, the composition operation leads beyond the class of admissible maps as said in [1, Appendix C.1]. However, the bijective maps \(\tau, \sigma\text{id}\) (and hence \(\tau^{\times 2}, \sigma^{\times 2}\)) admit a deterministic evaluation along with their inverses. Consequently, we arrive at the next statement.

**Theorem 3.** The map \(e^{\otimes 2}\) is admissible.

**Corollary 2.** Whenever \(\eta: \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^2 \times \{0,1\}^2\) is an indifferentiable hash function, so is the composition \(e^{\otimes 2} \circ \eta: \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow E(\mathbb{F}_q)\).

The output length of \(\eta\) is only two bits longer than \(2\lceil \log_2(q) \rceil\), hence the executing time of \(\eta\) is (almost) identical to that of hash functions \(\{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^2\) of a more classical kind.

4 Conclusion

Seemingly, the hashing approach of the present article can be extended to elliptic \(\mathbb{F}_q\)-curves of \(j\)-invariants 0, 1728 whose Frobenius trace has a small divisor. To this end, one should study the generalized Châtelet surfaces \(S_h\) with polynomials \(h(t)\) (of degrees 5, 6) written out in [24 Sections 3, 4]. The only potential obstacle on the path is non-unirationality of \(S_h\) over the field \(\mathbb{F}_q\). Further investigation is needed to address the \(\mathbb{F}_q\)-(uni)rationality problem for \(S_h\) or at least to construct a rational \(\mathbb{F}_q\)-curve on \(S_h\) (of geometric genus 0) different from the fibers \(S_h(\alpha)\) over \(\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q\).

Meanwhile, (most) modern elliptic curves of \(j\)-invariants 0, 1728 over highly 2-adic fields are initially equipped with an \(\mathbb{F}_q\)-isogeny \(\chi\) of small degree to another elliptic curve. The SNARK-friendly \(j = 0\) curves from the web pages [14,15] can serve as a confirmation of the given words. Therefore, indirect hashing via \(\chi\) takes place. Let’s repeat again that the hash function \(H_{old}\) is relevant only if \(\deg(\chi) = 3\). So, \(H_{old}\) does not cover any curve of \(j = 0, 1728\) that \(H\) could not cover indirectly. At this stage of development of elliptic cryptography we hereby handled (almost) all real-world elliptic curves over fields of large 2-adicity \(\nu\).

Finally, it is impossible not to mention that there are even more efficient hash functions \(H_k\) represented in Table [1]. More precisely, their bottleneck consists in finding a radical \(\sqrt[\ell]{c} \in \mathbb{F}_q\) of odd degree \(\ell \in \mathbb{N}\). For most fields \(\mathbb{F}_q\) this is nothing but one exponentiation in \(\mathbb{F}_q\) requiring \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) field multiplications, where \(\log_2(q) \leq n \leq 2 \log_2(q)\). Nevertheless, as opposed to \(H\), the hash functions \(H_k\) suffer from specific limitations on \(E\) and \(\mathbb{F}_q\). Surprisingly, \(H_2\) behaves as a random oracle unlike \(H_1, H_3\), though evaluating twice \(H_1\) (or \(H_3\)) suffices to obtain a random oracle (cf. Corollary 2).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Bottleneck</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Is indifferentiable?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Icart</td>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>$\sqrt[3]{q}$</td>
<td>$q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>K.</td>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>$q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $a = 0$, $\sqrt{b} \in \mathbb{F}_q$</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td></td>
<td>[21, Section 2.2]</td>
<td>$\sqrt[3]{q}$</td>
<td>$q \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{7}$, $j$-invariant $-3^55^3$</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Hash functions $H_k : \{0,1\}^* \to E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ to elliptic $\mathbb{F}_q$-curves $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ whose running time amounts to computing a radical $\sqrt[3]{q} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ of odd degree $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$
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