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#### Abstract

In this paper, we analyze the Espresso cipher from a related key chosen IV perspective. More precisely, we explain how one can obtain Key-IV pairs such that Espresso's keystreams either have certain identical bits or are shifted versions of each other. For the first case, we show how to obtain such pairs after $2^{32}$ iterations, while for the second case, we present an algorithm that produces such pairs in $2^{28}$ iterations. Moreover, we show that by making a minor change in the padding used during the initialization phase, it can lead to a more secure version of the cipher. Specifically, changing the padding increases the complexity of our second attack from $2^{28}$ to $2^{34}$. Finally, we show how related IVs can accelerate brute force attacks, resulting in a faster key recovery. Although our work does not have any immediate implications for breaking the Espresso cipher, these observations are relevant in the related-key chosen IV scenario.


## 1 Introduction

With the growth of Internet of Things (IoT) applications, lightweight ciphers are becoming highly demanded in the IoT industry. Lightweight ciphers are required to offer users a high level of assurance, while running in resource-constrained devices. Additionally, with the rise of 5G networks, traffic volume is estimated to increase by 1000 times [11]. Hence, besides being implemented in IoT devices that usually have limited computing power and strict power constraints, lightweight ciphers should also offer low propagation delays in implementation.

Since previously cipher designs focused either on hardware size or speed, a new class of lightweight ciphers had to be introduced. Such a class was introduced in [8] and was designed to be a trade-off between hardware size and speed for a given security level. The basic idea of this new design is to combine the short propagation delays of the Galois Non-Linear Feedback Shift Registers (NFSRs) with the advantage of Fibonacci NFSRs, which are more easily analyzed from a security point of view. More precisely, the authors of [8] employ a NFSR in Gallois configuration and carry out their security analysis on a transformed NFSR which resembles a Fibonacci NFSR. They also provide a concrete construction, called Espresso, that is a representative of their design.

The only independent security analyses that we are aware of can be found in [12,13]. In [12], the authors propose a related key chosen IV attack on a variant of Espresso, denoted Espresso-a. Similar to [8], they transform the Galois NFSR to a Fibonacci one, however the output function is the same as that of Espresso. The authors of [13], state that the transformed NFSR studied in [8,12] are not equivalent to the original Galois NFSR, unless the output function is changed accordingly. Hence, the security analyses are not conducted on the actual cipher. To support their claim, the authors introduce a novel transformation that converts Espresso-like ciphers into LFSR filter generators. Then they provide several algebraic and fast correlation attacks that can be applied to the resulting filter generators. In light of their results, they also urge researchers to reassess Espresso's resistance against chosen IV attacks, differential attacks and weak key attacks.

Compared to previous approaches, instead of studying the equivalent Fibonacci NFSR, we propose three related key chosen IV attacks by working directly with the Galois NFSR. We will first study the differential properties of the initialization algorithm and we will show how to construct related Key-IV pairs that produce identical bits on certain positions. Our methods are influenced by the differential attacks, previously
published in $[4,10]$, designed against the Grain family. Secondly, we show a sliding property of the initialization algorithm that allows an attacker to construct related Key-IV pairs that generate shifted keystreams. Again, we were influenced by the sliding attacks devised against the Grain family (presented in [4-6,9, 10]). To increase the complexity of our proposed slide attacks, we suggest a slight change to Espresso's padding. Thirdly, we propose a guess and determine attack that takes as input two or four related IV's and outputs the secret key. A similar approach ${ }^{3}$ can be found for Grain-128a in [7] and Espresso-a in [12]. We finally note that we do not consider any of the attacks presented in this paper to be a serious threat in practice. However, they certainly expose some non-ideal behavior of the Espresso initialization algorithm.

Structure of the Paper. We introduce notations and preliminaries in Section 2. In Section 3 we present differential attacks, in Section 4 we propose several constructions for generating related Key-IV pairs and in Section 5 we suggest several key recovery algorithms. We conclude in Section 6.

## 2 Preliminaries

Notations. Throughout the paper, the notation $\|$ denotes string concatenation, $\oplus$ denotes bitwise XOR and $\mid$ denotes bitwise OR. The $x \ggg i$ operator causes the bits in $x$ to be rotated to the right by $i$ positions. The subset $\{0, \ldots, s\} \in \mathbb{N}$ is denoted by $[0, s]$. The action of selecting a random element $x$ from a sample space $X$ is represented by $x \in_{R} X$. Hexadecimal strings are marked by the prefix 0 x . We define $M I D_{\left[\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}\right]}(Q)=$ $q_{\ell_{1}}\|\ldots\| q_{\ell_{2}}$ and $L S B_{\ell_{1}}(Q)=M I D_{0, \ell_{1}}(Q)$, where $Q=q_{0}\|\ldots\| q_{\ell_{1}}\|\ldots\| q_{\ell_{2}}\|\ldots\| q_{\ell}$.

### 2.1 Description of Espresso

We further provide the specifications of Espresso as presented in [8]. One of the main building blocks of Espresso is a 256 -bit NFSR in the Galois configuration. Let $X_{i}=\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{i+255}\right]$ denote the state of the NFSR at time $i$ and let $g_{j}\left(X_{i}\right)$, where $j \in[0,255]$, be the feedback functions of the NFSR. The nonlinear feedback functions are defined as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{255}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i} \oplus x_{i+41} x_{i+70} \\
& g_{251}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i+252} \oplus x_{i+42} x_{i+83} \oplus x_{i+8} \\
& g_{247}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i+248} \oplus x_{i+44} x_{i+102} \oplus x_{i+40} \\
& g_{243}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i+244} \oplus x_{i+43} x_{i+118} \oplus x_{i+103} \\
& g_{239}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i+240} \oplus x_{i+46} x_{i+141} \oplus x_{i+117} \\
& g_{235}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i+236} \oplus x_{i+67} x_{i+90} x_{i+110} x_{i+137} \\
& g_{231}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i+232} \oplus x_{i+50} x_{i+159} \oplus x_{i+189} \\
& g_{217}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i+218} \oplus x_{i+3} x_{i+32} \\
& g_{213}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i+214} \oplus x_{i+4} x_{i+45} \\
& g_{209}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i+210} \oplus x_{i+6} x_{i+64} \\
& g_{205}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i+206} \oplus x_{i+5} x_{i+80} \\
& g_{201}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i+202} \oplus x_{i+8} x_{i+103} \\
& g_{197}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i+198} \oplus x_{i+29} x_{i+52} x_{i+72} x_{i+99} \\
& g_{193}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i+194} \oplus x_{i+12} x_{i+121}
\end{aligned}
$$

The remaining feedback functions are of type $g_{j}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i+j+1}$.

[^0]Another building block of the Espresso cipher is a non-linear output function $z\left(X_{i}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
z\left(X_{i}\right)= & x_{i+80} \oplus x_{i+99} \oplus x_{i+137} \oplus x_{i+227} \oplus x_{i+222} \oplus x_{i+187} \oplus x_{i+243} x_{i+217} \oplus x_{i+247} x_{i+231} \\
& \oplus x_{i+213} x_{i+235} \oplus x_{i+255} x_{i+251} \oplus x_{i+181} x_{i+239} \oplus x_{i+174} x_{i+44} \oplus x_{i+164} x_{i+29} \\
& \oplus x_{i+255} x_{i+247} x_{i+243} x_{i+213} x_{i+181} x_{i+174}
\end{aligned}
$$

We further describe the main algorithms used by the Espresso cipher in the initialization and keystream generation phases.

Key Loading Algorithm (KLA). Espresso uses a 128-bit key $K$, a 96 -bit initialization vector $I V$ and a fixed 32-bit padding $P=0 x f f f f f f f e$. The key is loaded in the NFSR as follows: $X_{0}=K\|I V\| P$.

Key Scheduling Algorithm (KSA). After running KLA, the output ${ }^{4} z_{i}=z\left(X_{i}\right)$ is XOR-ed to $g_{255}\left(X_{i}\right)$ and $g_{217}\left(X_{i}\right)$ update functions, i.e., during one clock the update functions are updated as $g_{255}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i} \oplus$ $x_{i+41} x_{i+70} \oplus z_{i}$ and $g_{217}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i+218} \oplus x_{i+3} x_{i+32} \oplus z_{i}$.

Pipeline Key Scheduling Algorithm (PKSA). Due to the pipelining of the output function some extra clocks are needed before producing the keystream. Hence, the PKSA algorithm instead of outputting ${ }^{4} z_{i}$ simply ignores it. Note that after each generated bit the NFSR's internal state is updated using the KSA routine with $g_{255}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i} \oplus x_{i+41} x_{i+70}$ and $g_{217}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i+218} \oplus x_{i+3} x_{i+32}$.

Pseudorandom Keystream Generation Algorithm (PRGA). After performing the KSA routine for 256 clocks and the PKSA routine for 3 clocks, bit $z_{i}$ is used as the output keystream bit. After each generated bit the NFSR's internal state is updated as in the PKSA routine.

### 2.2 Security Model

In this paper, we will work in the Related Key Chosen $I V$ security model. In this model, according to [5, Section 2.1], the adversary $\mathcal{A}$ is given access to an encryption oracle $\mathcal{O}$ that has access to the key $K$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}$ can query $\mathcal{O}$ and thus obtain valid ciphertexts.

More precisely, for each query $i$, the adversary first chooses the oracle's parameters: an initialization vector $I V_{i}$, a function $\mathcal{F}_{i}:\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{n}$ and a message $m_{i}$. Then $\mathcal{O}$ encrypts $m_{i}$ using the Key-IV pair $\left(\mathcal{F}_{i}(K), I V_{i}\right)$. After repeating this process several times, the adversary's task is to distinguish the keystream output from a random stream or to compute the secret key efficiently.

## 3 Related Key-IV Pairs

Our first goal is to construct a family of related Key-IV functions such that the adversary can distinguish the resulting keystreams from random ones with high probability. An important step to construct such pairs is the observation that the KSA and PKSA routines are invertible. More precisely, if a state $X_{i}$ is obtained by applying either KSA or PKSA to $X_{i-1}$, we can recover $X_{i-1}$ from $X_{i}$ by rolling back one clock. We further refer to the transition functions from $X_{i}$ to $X_{i-1}$ as $\mathrm{KSA}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{PKSA}^{-1}$. The exact details of $\mathrm{KSA}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{PKSA}^{-1}$ are given in Algorithms 1 and 2.

We further denote by $\mathrm{KSA}_{256}$ and $\mathrm{KSA}_{256}^{-1}$ the KSA and $\mathrm{KSA}^{-1}$ routines performed for 256 clocks. Similarly, we define $\mathrm{PKSA}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PKSA}_{3}^{-1}$. We also define $\mathrm{KLA}^{-1}(X)=\left(L S B_{127}(X), M I D_{[128,223]}(X)\right)$ and $\Delta(X)=X \oplus \delta$, where $\delta \in\{0,1\}^{256}$. Using these routines we can obtain a pair of related Key-IVs $(K, I V)$ and $(K, I V)_{\Delta}$ such that they produce almost similar initial keystreams. A high level description of the construction is provided in Figure 1.

We further present an algorithm that checks which keystream positions produced by the states $X_{0}$ and $X_{0, \Delta}$ are identical. Before stating our result, we first introduce a small modification to the keystream generation algorithm. Note that this modification is only used as part of Algorithm 3 and is needed to aid us find identical positions. We also make an assumption about Espresso's keystream bits.

[^1]```
Algorithm 1: \(\mathrm{PKSA}^{-1}\) routine for Espresso
    Input: State \(X_{i}=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{255}\right)\)
    Output: The preceding state \(X_{i-1}=\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{255}\right)\)
    for \(t=0\) to 254 do
        \(y_{t+1}=x_{t}\)
    \(y_{0}=x_{255} \oplus x_{40} x_{69}\)
    \(y_{252}=x_{251} \oplus x_{41} x_{82} \oplus x_{7}\)
    \(y_{248}=x_{247} \oplus x_{43} x_{101} \oplus x_{39}\)
    \(y_{244}=x_{243} \oplus x_{42} x_{117} \oplus x_{102}\)
    \(y_{240}=x_{239} \oplus x_{45} x_{140} \oplus x_{116}\)
    \(y_{236}=x_{235} \oplus x_{66} x_{89} x_{109} x_{136}\)
    \(y_{232}=x_{231} \oplus x_{49} x_{158} \oplus x_{188}\)
    \(y_{218}=x_{217} \oplus x_{2} x_{31}\)
    \(y_{214}=x_{213} \oplus x_{3} x_{44}\)
    \(y_{210}=x_{209} \oplus x_{5} x_{63}\)
    \(y_{206}=x_{205} \oplus x_{4} x_{79}\)
    \(y_{202}=x_{201} \oplus x_{7} x_{102}\)
    \(y_{198}=x_{197} \oplus x_{28} x_{51} x_{71} x_{98}\)
    \(y_{194}=x_{193} \oplus x_{11} x_{120}\)
```

```
Algorithm 2: \(\mathrm{KSA}^{-1}\) routine for Espresso
    Input: State \(X_{i}=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{255}\right)\)
    Output: The preceding state \(X_{i-1}=\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{255}\right)\)
    \(X_{i-1}=\operatorname{PKSA}^{-1}\left(X_{i}\right)\)
    \(z=y_{80} \oplus y_{99} \oplus y_{137} \oplus y_{227} \oplus y_{222} \oplus y_{187} \oplus y_{243} y_{217} \oplus y_{247} y_{231} \oplus y_{213} y_{235} \oplus y_{255} y_{251} \oplus y_{181} y_{239} \oplus y_{174} y_{44} \oplus\)
    \(y_{164} y_{29} \oplus y_{255} y_{247} y_{243} y_{213} y_{181} y_{174}\)
    \(y_{0}=y_{0} \oplus z\)
    \(y_{218}=y_{218} \oplus z\)
```



Fig. 1: Construction of the Related Key-IV function

Modified Pseudorandom Keystream Generation Algorithm ( $\mathrm{PRGA}^{\prime}$ ). To obtain our modified PRGA we replace $\oplus(\mathrm{XOR})$ and $\cdot(\mathrm{AND})$ operations in the original PRGA with $\mid$ (OR) operations.

Assumption. Based on the experimental results we obtained, we further assume that the output of $\mathrm{PRGA}^{5}$ is independently and uniformly distributed. To obtain these results 100 keystream were statistically tested using the NIST Test Suites [1,2]. During our experiments we used the default pseudorandom numbers generator implemented in the GMP library [3] to randomly generate 100 Key-IV pairs.

Theorem 1. Let $\delta \in\{0,1\}^{256}$, $q_{1}$ the number of desired identical positions in the keystream and $q_{2}$ the maximum number of search trials. Then, Algorithm 3 finds at most $q_{1}$ identical positions in a maximum of $q_{2}$ trials.

[^2]Proof. Let $\omega$ be the Hamming weight of $\delta$. We note that in Algorithm 3 the bits $b_{i_{1}}, \ldots, b_{i_{\omega}}$ on position $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\omega}$ are set. For $j \in[1, \omega]$, if bit $b_{i_{j}}$ is taken into consideration while computing the output bit of PRGA then the output of PRGA' is also set due to the replacement of the original operations $\oplus$ and $\cdot$ with | operations. The same argument is valid if a bit of Espresso's internal state is influenced by $b_{i_{j}}$.

Remark 1. Note that if we run Algorithm 3 we do not obtain all the identical positions. This is due to the fact that Algorithm 3 is prone to producing internal collisions, and thus eliminate certain positions that are identical in both keystreams. Although we do not find all the positions, our algorithm has the advantage of finding identical keystream positions automatically.

```
Algorithm 3: Search for identical keystream positions
    Input: Integers \(\delta \in\{0,1\}^{256}\) and \(q_{1}, q_{2}>0\)
    Output: Keystream positions \(\varphi\)
    Set \(s \leftarrow 0\) and \(\varphi \leftarrow \varnothing\)
    Let \(X_{0} \in\{0,1\}^{256}\) be the zero state \((0, \ldots, 0)\)
    Construct \(X_{0, \Delta}=X_{0} \oplus \delta\)
    while \(|\varphi| \leq q_{1}\) and \(s<q_{2}\) do
        Set \(b \leftarrow \operatorname{PRGA}^{\prime}\left(X_{0, \Delta}\right)\) and update state \(X_{0, \Delta}\) with the current state
        if \(b=0\) then
            Update \(\varphi \leftarrow \varphi \cup\{s\}\)
        Set \(s \leftarrow s+1\)
    return \(\varphi\)
```

Based on Algorithm 3, in Table 1 we present some examples. More precisely, two initial states $X_{0}$ and $X_{0, \Delta}$ which differ only in the position presented in Table 1, Column 1, produce identical output bits in the positions found in Table 1, Column 3, among the initial 160 key stream bits obtained during the PRGA.

| Flipped <br> Bit <br> Position | Number of <br> Identical <br> Keystream <br> Bits | Positions of Identical Keystream Bits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | 25 | $0-15,19,22,23,27,34,42,55,58,71$ |
| 47 | 10 | $0,1,25,36,39,43,47,51,66,82$ |
| 71 | 21 | $0,1,3,4,7,8,11,12,15-17,19,20,21,24,25,49,60,67,71,75$ |
| 95 | 32 | $0-5,7-9,11,12,16,18,20,22,23,27,31,32,35,36,39,41,43-45,48,49,73,91,95,99$ |
| 119 | 22 | $0,1,4,5,8,9,12,13,16,19,24,27,35,36,40,42,46,51,56,59,65,67$ |
| 143 | 32 | $0-2,4,5,8-10,12-14,16-19,21-24,33,36,40,43,48,51,59,60,64,66,70,83,91$ |
| 167 | 51 | $0-2,4-8,10-12,14-17,19-22,24-26,28,29,32-34,36-38,40-43,45-48,57,60,64,67$, <br> $72,75,83,84,88,90,94,107,115$ |
| 191 | 58 | $0-2,5,6,8,9,11,13-16,18-20,22-26,28-32,34-36,38-41,43-45,48,49,52,56-58,61$, <br> $62,65-67,69,71,72,81,84,88,91,99,108,112,114,131$ |
| 215 | 81 | $0,1,3-26,29,30,32,33,35,37-40,42-44,46-50,52-56,58-60,62-65,67-69,72,73,76$, <br> $80-82,85,86,89-91,93,95,96,105,108,112,115,123,132,136,138,155$ |
| 239 | 96 | $1-3,5-7,9-11,13-16,18-21,23-25,27-50,53,54,56,57,59,61-64,66-68,70-74,76-80$, <br> $82-84,86-89,91-93,96,97,100,104-106,109,110,113-115,117,119,120,129,132$, <br> $136,139,147,156$ |

Table 1: Propagation of a Single Bit Differential

### 3.1 Multiple Key-IV Trials with a Fixed Differential

We further consider that the adversary is allowed to produce any related Key-IV pairs for a given fixed differential. In this case, the while loop of our proposed algorithm (Algorithm 4) has to run an expected $2^{32}$ times with different randomly chosen $(K, I V)$ pairs, until $X_{0, \Delta}$ has the correct padding. Once this happens, we output a related Key-IV pair $(K, I V)$ and $\left(K^{\prime}, I V^{\prime}\right)$. In Table 2 we provide one such an example.

```
Algorithm 4: Search for Key-IV pairs that produce almost similar initial keystreams for a given \(\delta\)
    Input: An integer \(\delta \in\{0,1\}^{256}\)
    Output: Key-IV pairs \((K, I V)\) and ( \(K^{\prime}, I V^{\prime}\) )
    Set \(s \leftarrow 0\)
    while \(s=0\) do
        Choose \(K \in_{R}\{0,1\}^{128}\) and \(I V \in_{R}\{0,1\}^{96}\)
        Run \(\mathrm{KSA}_{256}(K \| I V)\) and \(\mathrm{PKSA}_{3}(K \| I V)\) routines to obtain an initial state \(X_{0} \in\{0,1\}^{256}\)
        Compute the state \(X_{0, \Delta}=X_{0} \oplus \delta\)
        Run \(\mathrm{PKSA}_{3}^{-1}\left(X_{0, \Delta}\right)\) and \(\mathrm{KSA}_{256}^{-1}\left(X_{0, \Delta}\right)\) routines to produce state \(X_{0, \Delta}^{k}=K^{\prime}\left\|I V^{\prime}\right\| P^{\prime}\)
        if \(P^{\prime}=0 x f f f f f f f e\) then
            Set \(s \leftarrow 1\)
            return \((K, I V)\) and ( \(\left.K^{\prime}, I V^{\prime}\right)\)
```

| Key | IV | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0xd17117b8c5f9042 | 0x96a2736a408 | 0x7a53d74a086602e4943e052d9fc6865 |
| 43a69b7db0a535d2b | 208e40e4ce2e9 | b37d9c35fb68b0cf78e8b5bcba7f0a273 |
| 0xcee2d9eee6c6da3 | 0x52385c5ecfd | 0x7a53d74a086602e4943e052d9fc6865 |
| 625309eb7737e3f4d | 2fa898bf48b67 | b37d9c35fb68b0cf78e8b5bcba7f1a273 |

Table 2: Key-IV pairs which differ only in the $239^{t h}$ position

### 3.2 Single Key-IV Trials with Multiple Differentials

In practice, the attacker has access to a single Key-IV pair and he has to produce a second Key-IV pair related to the one given. In this case, the attacker has to try around $2^{32}$ different values for $\delta$, until Algorithm 5 outputs a pair.

In Figure 2a we can see how cardinality of $\varphi$ fluctuates depending on the iteration step $i$ and the Hamming weight $\omega$ of $\delta$. In [10], the authors introduce an algorithm that computes Key-IV pairs that produce similar initial Grain-128a keystreams for $\delta$ 's of the form $0 \ldots 010 \ldots 0$. Our proposal (Algorithm 5) can be easily adapted to Grain-128a, and thus for comparison we also provide in Figure 2b the evolution of $|\varphi|$ in the case of Grain-128a.

For a given $\Delta$, let $X_{1}$ be a random state such that $X_{1} \neq X_{0, \Delta}$. Note that in Algorithm 5 parameter $\ell$ controls the probability of obtaining identical keystream bits for states $X_{0}$ and $X_{1}$ on the positions included in $\varphi$. More precisely, the probability of obtaining a collision for $X_{0}$ and $X_{1}$ is $1 / 2^{\ell}$. In Table 3 we can see the number of $\delta$ 's such that $|\varphi| \geq 16$. Hence, for $\ell=16$ in Algorithm 5 it is sufficient to run the while loop until $j \neq 5$ since $239 \cdot 137 \cdot 110 \cdot 69 \cdot 18 \geq 2^{32}$. In the case of Grain-128a it is sufficient to run the while loop until $j \neq 4$ since $256^{4} \geq 2^{32}$.

```
Algorithm 5: Search for a Key-IV pair that produces an almost similar initial keystream with a
given Key-IV pair \((K, I V)\)
    Input: A Key-IV pair \((K, I V)\) and an integer \(\ell>0\)
    Output: A related Key-IV pair ( \(K^{\prime}, I V^{\prime}\) )
    Run \(\mathrm{KSA}_{256}(K \| I V)\) and \(\mathrm{PKSA}_{3}(K \| I V)\) routines to obtain an initial state \(X_{0} \in\{0,1\}^{256}\)
    Set the integer \(j \leftarrow 0\) and the state \(\delta=0\)
    while \(j \neq 256\) do
        Set the bit \(\delta_{j}=1\) and compute \(j \leftarrow j+1\)
        for \(i \in[0,255]\) do
            Compute \(\varphi \leftarrow\) Algorithm \(3(\delta, 160,160)\)
            if \(|\varphi|<\ell\) then
                    Skip the next instructions and go to the next \(i\)
                Compute the state \(X_{0, \Delta}=X_{0} \oplus \delta\)
                Run \(\mathrm{PKSA}_{3}^{-1}\left(X_{0, \Delta}\right)\) and \(\mathrm{KSA}_{256}^{-1}\left(X_{0, \Delta}\right)\) routines to produce state \(X_{0, \Delta}^{k}=K^{\prime}\left\|I V^{\prime}\right\| P^{\prime}\)
                if \(P^{\prime}=0 x f f f f f f f e\) then
                    Set \(s \leftarrow 1\)
                    return ( \(K^{\prime}, I V^{\prime}\) )
                Rotate to the right \(\delta=\delta \ggg 1\)
```



Fig. 2: The evolution of $|\varphi|$

| Cipher | $\omega$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Espresso | 239 | 137 | 110 | 69 | $56(18)$ | 51 | 49 | 48 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grain-128a | 256 | 256 | 256 | 256 | 256 | 247 | 233 | 185 | 164 | 158 | 133 | 121 |

Table 3: Number of valid possibilities for $\ell=16$

## 4 Key-IV Pairs That Produce Shifted Keystreams

In this section, we will show how an attacker can obtain related Key-IV pairs that produce 4-bit shifted keystreams. Our algorithm's main idea is that we can obtain a valid padding after running $\mathrm{KSA}^{-1}$ for 4 clocks if we fix the last four bits of the IV. We also provide a slower algorithm that uses the KSA routine, which will be useful in the next section. Our results are presented in Theorem 2. To increase the complexity of these attacks and consequently increase the security of the Espresso cipher, we recommend using the padding $0 x 7 f f f f f f f$ instead of $0 x f f f f f f f e$. To support our claim we adapted Theorem 2 to the $0 x 7 f f f f f f f$ padding and we presented the attacks' complexity in Theorem 3. Note that in all the attacks the $P R N G$ routine is composed of PKSA and PRGA.

Theorem 2. There are two attack strategies that an adversary can use to produce 4-bit shifted keystreams. He can use either the KSA algorithm (see Algorithm 6) or the KSA ${ }^{-1}$ algorithm (see Algorithm 7). The algorithms' have an average running time of $2^{32}$ and $2^{28}$ iterations, respectively.

Proof. In the first case, the attacker can use the algorithm described in Algorithm 6 to obtain 4-bit shifted keystreams. For simplicity, we present in Table 4 the evolution of bits 255 to 224 of state $X_{0}$ after each run of the KSA routine. We highlighted with red the positions that are updated after each run ${ }^{6}$ and we denote by ? the bits that are unknown to the attacker. We can easily see that after 4 clocks the bits from 255 to 228 are unknown to the attacker and are randomly distributed ${ }^{7}$. Hence, we should obtain a correct padding after $2^{28}$ iterations.

To obtain a shifted keystream we need an extra restriction. More precisely, when we run the KSA routine for 256 clocks state $X_{0}$ evolves to state $X_{256}$, but state $X_{0}^{\prime}=X_{4}$ evolves to state $X_{256}^{\prime}=X_{260}$. Hence, to obtain the shifted keystream we need $z_{257}=z_{258}=z_{259}=z_{260}=0$. The probability of this happening is $1 / 2^{4}$. Therefore, the average running time of Algorithm 6 is $2^{28} \cdot 2^{4}=2^{32}$.

```
Algorithm 6: Constructing Key-IV pairs that generate 4-bit shifted keystream (forward construc-
tion)
    Output: Key-IV pairs \(\left(K^{\prime}, I V^{\prime}\right)\) and \((K, I V)\)
    Set \(s \leftarrow 0\)
    while \(s=0\) do
        Choose \(K \in_{R}\{0,1\}^{128}\) and \(I V \in_{R}\{0,1\}^{96}\)
        Run \(\operatorname{KSA}(K \| I V)\) routine for 4 clocks to obtain a state \(X_{0}^{\prime}=K^{\prime}\left\|I V^{\prime}\right\| P^{\prime}\)
        if \(P^{\prime}=0 x f f f f f f f e\) then
            Run \(\operatorname{KSA}\left(K^{\prime} \| I V^{\prime}\right)\) and PRNG routine for 252 clocks and 4 clocks, respectively, to obtain bits
                \(z_{257}, z_{258}, z_{259}, z_{260}\)
                if \(z_{257}=z_{258}=z_{259}=z_{260}=0\) then
                    Set \(s \leftarrow 1\)
                    return \((K, I V)\) and \(\left(K^{\prime}, I V^{\prime}\right)\)
```

A more efficient strategy is described in Algorithm 7. In this case, we set the last four bits of the initialization vector to 1 . In Table 5 we can see the state evolution of bits 255 to 220 after running the $\mathrm{KSA}^{-1}$ routine. We separated the extra four bits of the IV by a straight line and we denoted by $\times$ the bits that are unknown to the attacker, but are irrelevant for our attack. In this case, the updated positions are $252,248,244,240,236,232$. We can easily see that after 4 clocks we have 24 unknown positions. Thus, the expected running time until we obtain a correct padding is $2^{24}$.

As in the first case, we need some additional restrictions. We can see that after running the KSA routine for 256 clocks state $X_{0}$ evolves to state $X_{256}$, but state $X_{0}^{\prime}=X_{-4}$ evolves to state $X_{256}^{\prime}=X_{252}$. Hence, to

[^3]```
0
?}0
? ? 0
? ? ? 0 ? ? ? 1 ? ? ? 1 ? ? ? 1 ? ? ? 1 ? ? ? 1 ? ? ? 1 1 1 1 1 1
? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? 1 1 1 1 1
```

Table 4: State evolution of bits 255 to 224 after applying the KSA routine (Algorithm 6)
obtain the shifted keystream we need $z_{253}=z_{254}=z_{255}=z_{256}=0$. Therefore, the average running time of Algorithm 7 is $2^{24} \cdot 2^{4}=2^{28}$.

```
Algorithm 7: Constructing Key-IV pairs that generate 4-bit shifted keystream (backward con-
struction)
    Output: Key-IV pairs ( \(\left.K^{\prime \prime}, I V^{\prime \prime}\right)\) and ( \(K, I V\) )
    Set \(s \leftarrow 0\)
    while \(s=0\) do
        Choose \(K \in_{R}\{0,1\}^{128}\) and \(V \in_{R}\{0,1\}^{92}\)
        Set \(I V \leftarrow V \| 0 \mathrm{xf}\)
        Run \(\mathrm{KSA}^{-1}(K \| I V)\) routine for 4 clocks to obtain a state \(X_{0}^{\prime \prime}=K^{\prime \prime}\left\|I V^{\prime \prime}\right\| P^{\prime \prime}\)
        if \(P^{\prime \prime}=0 x f f f f f f f e\) then
            Run \(\operatorname{KSA}(K \| I V)\) and PRNG routine for 252 clocks and 4 clocks, respectively, to obtain bits
                \(z_{253}, z_{254}, z_{255}, z_{256}\)
            if \(z_{253}=z_{254}=z_{255}=z_{256}=0\) then
                Set \(s \leftarrow 1\)
                return \((K, I V)\) and \(\left(K^{\prime \prime}, I V^{\prime \prime}\right)\)
```

| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\times$ |
| 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |

Table 5: State evolution of bits 255 to 220 after applying the $\mathrm{KSA}^{-1}$ routine (Algorithm 7)

We further consider the padding $0 x 7 f f f f f f f$ and we study its impact on the average time needed to obtain shifted keystreams. We can easily see that this small change increases the complexity of finding shifted keystreams. Hence, we suggest using this padding instead of the classical one. Note that due to the attacks presented in Section 3, it is sufficient to devise a padding scheme that induces an average running time greater than $2^{32}$.

Theorem 3. There are two attack strategies that an adversary can use to produce 8-bit shifted keystreams. He can use either the KSA algorithm (see Algorithm 8) or the KSA ${ }^{-1}$ algorithm (see Algorithm 9). The algorithms' have an average running time of $2^{40}$ or $2^{34}$ iterations, respectively.

Proof (sketch). The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2 and thus we omit some details.

In the first case, the attacker can use the algorithm described in Algorithm 8 to obtain 8-bit shifted keystreams. The evolution of bits 255 to 224 of state $X_{0}$ is presented in Table 6 . We can easily see that after 8 clocks the bits from 255 to 224 are unknown to the attacker and thus he will obtain a correct padding after $2^{32}$ iterations. Note that, when we run the KSA routine for 256 clocks state $X_{0}$ evolves to state $X_{256}$, but state $X_{0}^{\prime}=X_{8}$ evolves to state $X_{256}^{\prime}=X_{264}$. Hence, to obtain the shifted keystream we need $z_{257}=\ldots=z_{264}=0$. Therefore, the average running time of Algorithm 8 is $2^{32} \cdot 2^{8}=2^{40}$.

```
Algorithm 8: Constructing Key-IV pairs that generate 8-bit shifted keystream (forward construc-
tion)
    Output: Key-IV pairs \(\left(K^{\prime}, I V^{\prime}\right)\) and \((K, I V)\)
    Set \(s \leftarrow 0\)
    while \(s=0\) do
        Choose \(K \in_{R}\{0,1\}^{128}\) and \(I V \in_{R}\{0,1\}^{96}\)
        Run \(\operatorname{KSA}(K \| I V)\) routine for 8 clocks to obtain a state \(X_{0}^{\prime}=K^{\prime}\left\|I V^{\prime}\right\| P^{\prime}\)
        if \(P^{\prime}=0 x 7 f f f f f f f\) then
            Run KSA \(\left(K^{\prime} \| I V^{\prime}\right)\) and PRNG routine for 248 clocks and 8 clocks, respectively, to obtain bits
            \(z_{257}, \ldots, z_{264}\)
            if \(z_{257}=\ldots=z_{264}=0\) then
                Set \(s \leftarrow 1\)
                return \((K, I V)\) and \(\left(K^{\prime}, I V^{\prime}\right)\)
```

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 |
| $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 |
| $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ |

Table 6: State evolution of bits 255 to 224 after applying the KSA routine (Algorithm 8)

The second strategy is described in Algorithm 9. In this case, we set the last six bits of the initialization vector to 1. In Table 7 we can see the state evolution of bits 255 to 218. Note that we also have position 218 updated. We can easily see that after 8 clocks we have 26 unknown positions. Thus, the expected running time until we obtain a correct padding is $2^{26}$. Note that, after running the KSA routine for 256 clocks state $X_{0}$ evolves to state $X_{256}$, but state $X_{0}^{\prime}=X_{-8}$ evolves to state $X_{256}^{\prime}=X_{248}$. Hence, to obtain the shifted keystream we need $z_{249}=\ldots=z_{256}=0$. Therefore, the average running time of Algorithm 9 is $2^{26} \cdot 2^{8}=2^{34}$.

In Table 8 we present a set of examples for Algorithms 6 to 9 .

```
Algorithm 9: Constructing Key-IV pairs that generate 8-bit shifted keystream (backward con-
struction)
    Output: Key-IV pairs ( \(\left.K^{\prime \prime}, I V^{\prime \prime}\right)\) and ( \(K, I V\) )
    Set \(s \leftarrow 0\)
    while \(s=0\) do
        Choose \(K \in_{R}\{0,1\}^{128}\) and \(V \in_{R}\{0,1\}^{90}\)
        Set \(I V \leftarrow V \| 0 \times 3 \mathrm{f}\)
        Run \(\mathrm{KSA}^{-1}(K \| I V)\) routine for 8 clocks to obtain a state \(X_{0}^{\prime \prime}=K^{\prime \prime}\left\|I V^{\prime \prime}\right\| P^{\prime \prime}\)
        if \(P^{\prime \prime}=0 x 7 f f f f f f f\) then
            Run KSA \((K \| I V)\) and PRNG routine for 248 clocks and 8 clocks, respectively, to obtain bits
            \(z_{249}, \ldots, z_{256}\)
            if \(z_{249}=\ldots=z_{256}=0\) then
                            Set \(s \leftarrow 1\)
                            return \((K, I V)\) and \(\left(K^{\prime \prime}, I V^{\prime \prime}\right)\)
```

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ |
| 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ |
| 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $\times$ |
| $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |

Table 7: State evolution of bits 255 to 218 after applying the $\mathrm{KSA}^{-1}$ routine (Algorithm 9)

|  | Key | IV | Keystream |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algorithm 6 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 x 2 a 13 a 9539900630 \\ \mathrm{f} 7 \mathrm{a} 721 \mathrm{a} 25 \mathrm{e} 2193026 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 x 2 c 112 e b 15 a d \\ \text { d58ec3a99599a } \end{array}$ | 0x6757b665d8a3e72 bd2bdfdc326a93404 |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 x a 13 a 9539900630 f \\ 7 a 721 a 25 e 21930262 \end{array}$ | 0xc112eb15add 58ec3ad959aef | 0x757b665d8a3e72b d2bdfdc326a934043 |
| Algorithm 7 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0 x b 1 d 331 f 900270 d 5 \\ f 6 a 43069 b 404888 c f \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 x 7 e 8 b 7 f d 12 f e \\ b f 7 c 2 f 17 d 86 f f \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0x6172f847028df4f } \\ & \text { eb0906ea001fc6d1f } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0xfb1d331f900270d 5f6a43069b404888c | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0xf7e8b7fd12f } \\ & e b f 7 c 2 b 17684 f \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0x56172f847028df4 } \\ & \text { feb0906ea001fc6d1 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Algorithm 8 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { 0xb64e24eddec37cf } \\ 8 \mathrm{~B} 30970 c 2155 \mathrm{~d} 30 \mathrm{cf} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0xaee197ec26b } \\ & 76484 b c e b 639 d \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 x 0261 c 57 c 8 b 0238 e \\ & 469 f 8 e 67299 c 3 e d 57 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0x4e24eddec37cf8a 30970c2155d30cfae | $\begin{aligned} & 0 x e 197 e c 26 b 76 \\ & 4849 c 49 c 2 f 33 f \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0x61c57c8b0238e46 } \\ & 9 f 8 e 67299 c 3 e d 5742 \end{aligned}$ |
| Algorithm 9 | 0xd90e03c9fdcf7ce <br> 231f9ac4c322ad987 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 x b 6 a 7 a 25 b 255 \\ & b 956 c 9672467 f \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 x c a 75 a c a b 22 d 4 c 9 e \\ & e 1 f b 6 c 9045 f 1379 e 0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 x d 7 d 90 e 03 c 9 f d c f 7 \\ c e 231 f 9 a c 4 c 322 a d 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 x 87 b 6 a 7 a 25 b 2 \\ 55 b 954817 e e 18 \end{array}$ | 0x05ca75acab22d4c 9ee1fb6c9045f1379 |

Table 8: Key-IV pairs that produce shifted keystreams

## 5 Key Recovery Algorithms

According to the results presented in Section 4, we know that related IV's exist. Note that we also know the average running time $\tau$ needed to find such a pair $\left(I V, I V^{\prime}\right)$ and the keystream shift $\sigma$ that they produce. Since we do not have access to the secret key, a simple strategy to finding such a pair is to choose a random $I V$ and use it to generate $\alpha$ bits that are stored in memory. Then clock the NFSR either forward or backwards, and then randomly generate ${ }^{8} I V^{\prime}$ until we obtain a keystream with the desired shift $\sigma$. Note that the probability of randomly obtaining the desired shift is $1 / 2^{\alpha-\sigma}$. Therefore, if we choose a large enough $\alpha$ the probability is small enough. ${ }^{9}$

We further assume that we are in possession of two related IV's and we want to recover the secret key. Using a related IV pair, we can use a guess and determine attack ${ }^{10}$ to recover the secret key. We propose three key recovery attacks. The first one (forward construction) uses IV pairs generated using the KSA routine, while the second (backward construction) use IV-pairs created using the KSA ${ }^{-1}$ routine. The last attack (mixed construction) assumes that we are in possession of two IV-pairs and is a combination of the forward and backward constructions.

### 5.1 Forward Construction

Before presenting our attack, we want to see which NFSR positions are modified ${ }^{11}$ by the KSA routine after each clock. These positions are presented in Table 9.

| Clock | Cells |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $193,197,201,205,209,213,217,231,235,239,243,247,251,255$ |
| 2 | $192,193,196,197,200,201,204,205,208,209,212,213,216,217,230,231,234,235$, |
|  | $238,239,242,243,246,247,250,251,254,255$ |
| 3 | $191-193,195-197,199-201,203-205,207-209,211-213,215-217,229-231$, |
|  | $233-235,237-239,241-243,245-247,249-251,253-255$ |
| 4 | $190-217,228-255$ |
| 5 | $189-217,227-255$ |
| 6 | $188-217,226-255$ |
| 7 | $187-217,225-255$ |
| 8 | $186-217,224-255$ |

Table 9: Modified cells after running the KSA routine

We first start our study with the classical Espresso cipher. Hence, looking at the KLA and KSA routines, we can see that on clock $i+1 K$ 's bits used by the feedback functions and the output function are found on positions $0-(127-i)$, where $i \in[0,3]$. According to Table 9 , none of $K$ 's bits are modified. Similarly, we can see that $I V$ 's bits used by the feedback functions are not modified. In the case of the output function, we can see that the only positions that are modified are 213 and 217 at clocks $2-4$. Luckily we can recover them from $I V^{\prime}$ 's bits. Also, note that for $i=2,3$ the value found on position 222 is 1 (due to the shifting of the initial padding).

As stated in Table 9, some positions between 223 and 255 are modified. But we are working with two related IV's that produce 4 -bit shifted keystreams. Hence, we know that after 4 clocks we end up with a valid padding. Hence, we know their values.

[^4]Rewriting the feedback functions we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{255}\left(X_{i+1}\right)=k_{i} \oplus k_{i+41} k_{i+70} \oplus z\left(X_{i+1}\right) \\
& g_{251}\left(X_{i+1}\right)= \begin{cases}1 \oplus k_{i+42} k_{i+83} \oplus k_{i+8} & \text { if } i \neq 3 \\
k_{i+42} k_{i+83} \oplus k_{i+8} & \text { if } i=3\end{cases} \\
& g_{247}\left(X_{i+1}\right)=1 \oplus k_{i+44} k_{i+102} \oplus k_{i+40} \\
& g_{243}\left(X_{i+1}\right)=1 \oplus k_{i+43} k_{i+118} \oplus k_{i+103} \\
& g_{239}\left(X_{i+1}\right)=1 \oplus k_{i+46} i v_{i+13} \oplus k_{i+117} \\
& g_{235}\left(X_{i+1}\right)=1 \oplus k_{i+67} k_{i+90} k_{i+110} i v_{i+9} \\
& g_{231}\left(X_{i+1}\right)=1 \oplus k_{i+50} i v_{i+31} \oplus i v_{i+61} \\
& g_{217}\left(X_{i+1}\right)=i v_{i+90} \oplus k_{i+3} k_{i+32} \oplus z\left(X_{i+1}\right) \\
& g_{213}\left(X_{i+1}\right)=i v_{i+86} \oplus k_{i+4} k_{i+45} \\
& g_{209}\left(X_{i+1}\right)=i v_{i+82} \oplus k_{i+6} k_{i+64} \\
& g_{205}\left(X_{i+1}\right)=i v_{i+78} \oplus k_{i+5} k_{i+80} \\
& g_{201}\left(X_{i+1}\right)=i v_{i+74} \oplus k_{i+8} k_{i+103} \\
& g_{197}\left(X_{i+1}\right)=i v_{i+70} \oplus k_{i+29} k_{i+52} k_{i+72} k_{i+99} \\
& g_{193}\left(X_{i+1}\right)=i v_{i+66} \oplus k_{i+12} k_{i+121}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right)=k_{i+80} \oplus k_{i+99} \oplus i v_{i+9} \oplus i v_{i+59} \oplus i v_{i+53} \oplus i v_{i+46} k_{i+44} \oplus i v_{i+36} k_{i+29} \\
& z\left(X_{i+1}\right)= \begin{cases}z^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus i v_{85} i v_{89} \oplus i v_{94+i} & \text { if } i=0 \\
z^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus i v_{81+i}^{\prime} i v_{85+i}^{\prime} \oplus 1 \oplus i v_{81+i}^{\prime} i v_{53+i} i v_{46+i} \oplus i v_{94+i} & \text { if } i=1 \\
z^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus i v_{81+i}^{\prime} i v_{85+i}^{\prime} \oplus i v_{81+i}^{\prime} i v_{53+i} i v_{46+i} & \text { if } i=2 \\
z^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus i v_{81+i}^{\prime} i v_{85+i}^{\prime} \oplus i v_{81+i}^{\prime} i v_{53+i} i v_{46+i} & \text { if } i=3\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Espresso's feedback functions we can see that the only functions containing retrievable key bits are $g_{255}, g_{251}, g_{247}, g_{243}, g_{239}$ and $g_{217}$. Note that all positions, except 217 , can be recovered from the padding (see Table 4). In the case of $g_{217}$, the value can be recovered from $I V^{\prime}$ 's bits. Therefore, we obtain Algorithm 10 for recovering some of $K$ 's bits. To ease understanding, in Algorithm 10 we marked at each step the recovered key bits \%rec and the used key bits \%use.

We further develop a key recovery algorithm for our proposed version of Espresso. To simplify description, we first write some intermediary feedback function

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{251}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & =1 \oplus k_{i+42} k_{i+83} \oplus k_{i+8} \\
g_{247}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & =1 \oplus k_{i+44} k_{i+102} \oplus k_{i+40} \\
g_{243}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & =1 \oplus k_{i+43} k_{i+118} \oplus k_{i+103} \\
g_{239}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & =1 \oplus k_{i+46} i v_{i+13} \oplus k_{i+117} \\
g_{235}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & =1 \oplus k_{i+67} k_{i+90} k_{i+110} i v_{i+9} \\
g_{231}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & =1 \oplus k_{i+50} i v_{i+31} \oplus i v_{i+61} \\
g_{217}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & =k_{i+3} k_{i+32} \\
g_{213}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & =i v_{i+86} \oplus k_{i+4} k_{i+45} \\
g_{209}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & =i v_{i+82} \oplus k_{i+6} k_{i+64} \\
g_{205}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & =i v_{i+78} \oplus k_{i+5} k_{i+80}
\end{aligned}
$$

```
Algorithm 10: Key bits recovery algorithm for the 0xfffffffe padding (forward construction)
    Input: Chosen IV's \(I V\) and \(I V^{\prime}\) and key bits \(k_{j}\), where
            \(j \in\{4-6,29-35,44-49,70-72,84-86,99-102,121\}\)
    Output: 24 key bits \(k_{j}\), where \(j \in\{0-3,8-11,40-43,80-83,103-106,117-120\}\)
    for \(i \in[0,3]\) do
        \(k_{i+117} \leftarrow k_{i+46} i v_{i+13} \%\) rec : \(117-120\) use : \(46-49\)
    \(k_{105} \leftarrow k_{45} k_{120} \%\) rec : 105 use : 45, 120
    \(k_{43} \leftarrow k_{47} k_{105} \%\) rec : 43 use : 47,105
    for \(i \in[0,3]\) do
        if \(i \neq 2\) then \(k_{i+103} \leftarrow k_{i+43} k_{i+118} \%\) rec : 103, 104, 106 use : \(43,44,46,118,119,121\)
    for \(i \in[0,2]\) do
        \(k_{i+40} \leftarrow k_{i+44} k_{i+102} \%\) rec : 40-42 use : 44-46, 102-104
    \(o_{3} \leftarrow k_{102} \oplus i v_{12} \oplus i v_{62} \oplus i v_{84}^{\prime} \oplus i v_{88}^{\prime} \oplus i v_{56} \oplus i v_{49} k_{47} \oplus i v_{39} k_{32} \oplus i v_{i+81}^{\prime} \oplus i v_{i+81}^{\prime} i v_{i+53} i v_{i+46} \% u s e: 102,47,32\)
    \(k_{83} \leftarrow o_{3} \oplus i v_{89}^{\prime} \oplus i v_{93} \oplus k_{6} k_{35} \%\) rec : 83 use : 6,35
    \(k_{3} \leftarrow o_{3} \oplus k_{83} \oplus k_{44} k_{73} \%\) rec : 3 use : \(83,44,73\)
    for \(i \in[0,2]\) do
        \(o_{i} \leftarrow k_{i+99} \oplus i v_{i+9} \oplus i v_{i+59} \oplus i v_{i+81}^{\prime} \oplus i v_{i+85}^{\prime} \oplus i v_{i+53} \oplus i v_{i+46} k_{i+44} \oplus i v_{i+36} k_{i+29}\)
        \%use : \(99-101,44-46,29-31\)
        if \(i \neq 0\) then \(o_{i} \leftarrow o \oplus 1 \oplus i v_{i+81}^{\prime} \oplus i v_{i+81}^{\prime} i v_{i+53} i v_{i+46}\)
        if \(i=2\) then \(o_{i} \leftarrow o \oplus 1\)
        else \(o_{i} \leftarrow o \oplus i v_{i+94}\)
        \(k_{i+80} \leftarrow o_{i} \oplus i v_{i+86}^{\prime} \oplus i v_{i+90} \oplus k_{i+3} k_{i+32} \%\) rec : \(80-82\) use : \(3-5,32-34\)
    for \(i \in[0,2]\) do
        \(k_{i+0} \leftarrow o_{i} \oplus k_{i+80} \oplus k_{i+41} k_{i+70} \%\) rec : \(0-2\) use : 80-82, 41-43, 70-72
    for \(i \in[0,3]\) do
        \(k_{i+8} \leftarrow k_{i+42} k_{i+83} \%\) rec \(: 8-11\) use : \(42-45,83-86\)
        if \(i=3\) then \(k_{i+8} \leftarrow k_{i+8} \oplus 1\)
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{201}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right)=i v_{i+74} \oplus k_{i+8} k_{i+103} \\
& g_{197}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right)=i v_{i+70} \oplus k_{i+29} k_{i+52} k_{i+72} k_{i+99} \\
& g_{193}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right)= \begin{cases}i v_{i+66} \oplus k_{i+12} k_{i+121} & \text { if } i \leq 6 \\
i v_{i+66} \oplus k_{i+12} i v_{i-6} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using arguments similar to the classical case, we obtain the following feedback functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{255}\left(X_{i+1}\right)=k_{i} \oplus k_{i+41} k_{i+70} \oplus z\left(X_{i+1}\right) \\
& g_{251}\left(X_{i+1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{251}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & \text { if } i \leq 3 \\
g_{251}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus 1 \oplus k_{i-4} \oplus k_{i+37} k_{i+66} \oplus z\left(X_{i-3}\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{247}\left(X_{i+1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{247}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & \text { if } i \leq 3 \\
g_{247}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus k_{i+38} k_{i+79} \oplus k_{i+4} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{243}\left(X_{i+1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{243}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & \text { if } i \leq 3 \\
g_{243}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus k_{i+40} k_{i+98} \oplus k_{i+36} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{239}\left(X_{i+1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{239}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & \text { if } i \leq 3 \\
g_{239}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus k_{i+39} k_{i+114} \oplus k_{i+99} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{235}\left(X_{i+1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{235}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & \text { if } i \leq 3 \\
g_{235}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus k_{i+42} i v_{i+9} \oplus k_{i+113} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{231}\left(X_{i+1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{231}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & \text { if } i \leq 3 \\
g_{231}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus k_{i+63} k_{i+86} k_{i+106} v_{i+5} \oplus k_{i+7} k_{i+116} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{217}\left(X_{i+1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{217}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus i v_{i+90} \oplus z\left(X_{i+1}\right) & \text { if } i \leq 5 \\
g_{217}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus z\left(X_{i+1}\right) & \text { if } i=6 \\
g_{217}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus 1 \oplus z\left(X_{i+1}\right) & \text { if } i=7\end{cases} \\
& g_{213}\left(X_{i+1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{213}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & \text { if } i \leq 3 \\
g_{213}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus k_{i-1} k_{i+28} \oplus z\left(X_{i-3}\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{209}\left(X_{i+1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{209}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & \text { if } i \leq 3 \\
g_{209}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus k_{i} k_{i+41} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{205}\left(X_{i+1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{205}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & \text { if } i \leq 3 \\
g_{205}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus k_{i+2} k_{i+60} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{201}\left(X_{i+1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{201}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & \text { if } i \leq 3 \\
g_{201}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus k_{i+1} k_{i+76} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{197}\left(X_{i+1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{197}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & \text { if } i \leq 3 \\
g_{197}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus k_{i+4} k_{i+99} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{193}\left(X_{i+1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{193}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) & \text { if } i \leq 3 \\
g_{193}^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus k_{i+25} k_{i+48} k_{i+68} k_{i+95} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right)= & k_{i+80} \oplus k_{i+99} \oplus i v_{i+9} \oplus 1 \oplus i v_{i+59} \oplus b_{243} b_{217} \oplus b_{247} b_{231} \oplus b_{213} b_{235} \oplus b_{255} b_{251} \\
& \oplus i v_{i+53} b_{239} \oplus i v_{i+46} k_{i+44} \oplus i v_{i+36} k_{i+29} \oplus b_{255} b_{247} b_{243} b_{213} i v_{i+53} i v_{i+46} \\
z\left(X_{i+1}\right) & = \begin{cases}z^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus i v_{i+94} & \text { if } i<2 \\
z^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus 1 & \text { i } i=3,4 \\
z^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus 1 \oplus i v_{i+56} \oplus k_{i+45} i v_{i+26} & \text { if } 4<i<7 \\
z^{\prime}\left(X_{i+1}\right) \oplus 1 \oplus i v_{i+56} \oplus k_{i+45} i v_{i+26} \oplus k_{12} k_{121} & \text { if } i=7\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{255}= \begin{cases}k_{i-1} \oplus k_{i+40} k_{i+69} \oplus z\left(X_{i}\right) & \text { if } 0<i \leq 4 \\
1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& b_{251}
\end{aligned}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 \oplus k_{i+41} k_{i+82} \oplus k_{i+7} & \text { if } 0<i \leq 4 \\
1 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{235}= \begin{cases}1 \oplus k_{i+66} k_{i+89} k_{i+109} i v_{i+8} & \text { if } 0<i \leq 4 \\
1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& b_{231}= \begin{cases}1 \oplus i v_{i+60} \oplus k_{i+49} i v_{i+30} & \text { if } 0<i \leq 4 \\
1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& b_{217}= \begin{cases}i v_{i+89} & \text { if } i=0 \\
i v_{i+89} \oplus k_{i+2} k_{i+31} \oplus z\left(X_{i}\right) & \text { if } 0<i \leq 4 \\
i v_{i+81}^{\prime} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& b_{213}= \begin{cases}i v_{i+85} & \text { if } i=0 \\
i v_{i+85} \oplus k_{i+3} k_{i+44} & \text { if } 0<i \leq 4 \\
i v_{i+77}^{\prime} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can see that the only feedback function that contain retrievable bits are $g_{255}, g_{251}, g_{247}, g_{243}, g_{239}$, $g_{235}$ and $g_{217}$. When we tried to recover the key bits, we found some loops that prevented us from recovering two bits. More precisely, we found the following dependencies

$$
k_{87} \hookleftarrow k_{12} \hookleftarrow k_{87} \quad \text { and } \quad k_{8} \hookleftarrow k_{83} \hookleftarrow k_{85} \hookleftarrow k_{8},
$$

where $a \hookleftarrow b$ denotes "to compute the value of $a$ we need to know $b$ ". Also, we could not find a method for recovering $k_{9}$ to $k_{10}$, since their dependencies interfered with $k_{87}$ to $k_{84}$. Hence, we do not claim that this is the optimal solution for recovering the key bits. Our solution is presented in Algorithm 11.

### 5.2 Backward Construction

In this case, we want to see how the $\mathrm{KSA}^{-1}$ routine affects the NFSR positions after each clock. The results are presented in Table 10.

With respect to the classical Espresso, we can see that the $\mathrm{KSA}^{-1}$ routine on clock $i-1 K^{\prime}$ 's and $I V$ 's bits used by the feedback functions are unchanged, where $i \in\{0,-1,-2,-3\}$. Moreover, we can see that the first 4 bits of $I V^{\prime}$ coincide with the last 4 bits of $K$. The only problem that we encounter is on position 218. Here on the last clock the feedback function uses $x_{-1}$, but the value can be easily obtained from $k_{40}$, $k_{69}$ and the output function.

In the case of the output function, the only problematic positions are 213 and 217 from the -4 clock. The two bits coincide with bits 210 and 214 from clock - 1 . Lastly, for positions 232 to 255 we know the exact values due to related Key-IV pairs used by the algorithm. Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{0}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}x_{i+40} x_{i+69} \oplus z^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } i=0 \\
1 \oplus x_{i+40} x_{i+69} \oplus z^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } i \neq 0\end{cases} \\
& g_{252}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=1 \oplus k_{i+41} k_{i+82} \oplus k_{i+7} \\
& g_{248}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=1 \oplus k_{i+43} k_{i+101} \oplus k_{i+39} \\
& g_{244}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=1 \oplus k_{i+42} k_{i+117} \oplus k_{i+102} \\
& g_{240}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=1 \oplus k_{i+45} i v_{i+12} \oplus k_{i+116} \\
& g_{236}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=1 \oplus k_{i+66} k_{i+89} k_{i+109} i v_{i+8} \\
& g_{232}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=1 \oplus k_{i+49} i v_{i+30} \oplus i v_{i+60} \\
& g_{218}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}i v_{i+89} \oplus k_{i+2} k_{i+31} \oplus z^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } i \neq-3 \\
i v_{i+89} \oplus g_{0}^{-1}\left(X_{-1}\right) k_{i+31} \oplus z^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } i=-3\end{cases} \\
& g_{214}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=i v_{i+85} \oplus k_{i+3} k_{i+44}
\end{aligned}
$$

```
Algorithm 11: Key bits recovery algorithm for the 0xeffffffff padding (forward construction)
    Input: Chosen IV's \(I V\) and \(I V^{\prime}\) and key bits \(k_{j}\), where
                \(j \in\{0-3,8-12,29-53,67-77,88-102,111-116,121-125\}\)
    Output: 27 key bits \(k_{j}\), where \(j \in\{4-7,13-15,80-87,103-110,117-120\}\)
    Function update_bits():
        \(b_{213} \leftarrow b_{213} \oplus k_{i+3} \oplus k_{i+44}\) \%use : \(4-7,45-48\)
        \(b_{217} \leftarrow i v_{i+89} \oplus k_{i+2} k_{i+31} \oplus o_{i-1} \%\) use : \(3-6,32-35\)
        \(b_{231} \leftarrow b_{231} \oplus k_{i+49} i v_{i+30} \oplus i v_{i+60} \%\) use : \(50-53\)
        \(b_{235} \leftarrow b_{235} \oplus k_{i+66} k_{i+89} k_{i+109} i v_{i+8} \%\) use : \(67-70,90-93,110-113\)
        \(b_{239} \leftarrow b_{239} \oplus k_{i+45} i v_{i+12} \oplus k_{i+116} \%\) use \(: 46-49,117-120\)
        \(b_{243} \leftarrow b_{243} \oplus k_{i+42} k_{i+117} \oplus k_{i+102} \%\) use : \(43-46,118-121,103-106\)
        \(b_{247} \leftarrow b_{247} \oplus k_{i+43} k_{i+101} \oplus k_{i+39} \%\) use : 44-47, 102-105, 40-43
        \(b_{251} \leftarrow b_{251} \oplus k_{i+41} k_{i+82} \oplus k_{i+7} \%\) use : \(42-45,83-86,8-11\)
        \(b_{255} \leftarrow k_{i-1} \oplus k_{i+40} k_{i+69} \oplus o_{i-1} \%\) use : \(0-3,41-44,70-73\)
    Function update_o \((i)\) :
        \(o \leftarrow k_{i+99} \oplus i v_{i+9} \oplus 1 \oplus i v_{i+59} \oplus b_{243} b_{217} \oplus b_{247} b_{231} \oplus b_{213} b_{235} \oplus b_{255} b_{251} \oplus i v_{i+53} b_{239} \oplus i v_{i+46} k_{i+44} \oplus\)
        \(i v_{i+36} k_{i+29} \oplus b_{255} b_{247} b_{243} b_{213} i v_{i+53} i v_{i+46} \%\) use : \(99-106,44-51,29-36\)
    Function main():
        for \(i \in[4,7]\) do
            \(k_{i+113} \leftarrow k_{i+67} k_{i+90} k_{i+110} i v_{i+9} \oplus k_{i+42} i v_{i+9} \%\) rec : \(117-120\) use : \(71-74,94-98,114-118\),
            46-49
        for \(i \in[4,7]\) do
            \(k_{i+99} \leftarrow k_{i+46} i v_{i+13} \oplus k_{i+117} \oplus k_{i+39} k_{i+114} \%\) rec : \(103-106\) use : \(50-53,121-124,43-46\),
                118-121
        for \(i \in[4,7]\) do
            \(k_{i+103} \leftarrow k_{i+43} k_{i+118} \oplus k_{i+40} k_{i+98} \oplus k_{i+36} \%\) rec : \(107-110\) use : \(47-50,122-125,44-47\),
                \(102-105,40-43\)
        for \(i \in[7,4]\) do
                \(b_{213}, b_{217} \leftarrow i v_{i+85}, i v_{89}\)
                \(b_{231}, b_{235}, b_{239}, b_{243}, b_{247}, b_{251}, b_{255} \leftarrow 1,1,1,1,1,1,1\)
                if \(i=4\) then update_bits()
                if \(i>4\) then \(b_{213}, b_{217} \leftarrow i v_{i+77}^{\prime}, i v_{i+81}^{\prime}\)
                update_o(i)
                if \(i>4\) then \(o \leftarrow o \oplus i v_{i+56} \oplus k_{i+45} i v_{i+26} \%\) use : \(52-50\)
                if \(i=7\) then \(o \leftarrow o \oplus k_{12} k_{121}\) \%use: 12,121
                \(k_{i+80} \leftarrow i v_{i+82}^{\prime} \oplus k_{i+3} k_{i+32}\) \%rec : 87-84 use : \(10-7,39-36\)
                switch \(i\) do
                    case \(\leq 5\) do \(k_{i+80} \leftarrow k_{i+80} \oplus i v_{i+90} \oplus 1 \oplus o\)
                    case 5 do \(k_{i+80} \leftarrow k_{i+80} \oplus 1 \oplus o\)
                    otherwise do \(k_{i+80} \leftarrow k_{i+80} \oplus o\)
                \(k_{i} \leftarrow k_{i+41} k_{i+70} \oplus k_{i+80} \oplus o \%\) rec \(: 7-4\) use \(: 48-45,77-74,87-84\)
        for \(i \in[3,0]\) do
                \(b_{213}, b_{217} \leftarrow i v_{i+85}, i v_{89}\)
                \(b_{231}, b_{235}, b_{239}, b_{243}, b_{247}, b_{251}, b_{255} \leftarrow 1,1,1,1,1,1,1\)
                if \(1 \leq i\) then update_bits()
                update_o(i)
                if \(i<2\) then \(o \leftarrow o_{i} \oplus i v_{i+94}\)
                else if \(i=3\) then \(o \leftarrow o_{i} \oplus 1\)
                \(k_{i+80} \leftarrow i v_{i+82}^{\prime} \oplus i v_{i+90} \oplus k_{i+8} k_{i+49} \oplus k_{i+3} k_{i+32} \oplus o \%\) rec : 83-80 use : \(11-8,52-49,6-3,35-32\)
                if \(i \neq 0\) then \(k_{i+12} \leftarrow 1 \oplus k_{i+46} k_{i+87} \oplus k_{i} \oplus k_{i+41} k_{i+70} \oplus k_{i+80} \oplus o \%\) rec : \(15-13\) use : 49-47,
            \(90-88,3-1,44-42,73-71,83-80\)
```

| Clock | Cells |
| :---: | :---: |
| -1 | $0,194,198,202,206,210,214,218,232,236,240,244,248,252$ |
| -2 | $0,1,194,195,198,199,202,203,206,207,210,211,214,215,218,219,232,233,236$, |
|  | $237,240,241,244,245,248,249,252,253$ |
| -3 | $0-2,194-196,198-200,202-204,206-208,210-212,214-216,218-220$, |
|  | $232-234,236-238,240-242,244-246,248-250,252-254$ |
| -4 | $0-3,194-221,232-255$ |
| -5 | $0-4,194-222,232-255$ |
| -6 | $0-5,194-223,232-255$ |
| -7 | $0-6,194-224,232-255$ |
| -8 | $0-7,194-225,232-255$ |

Table 10: Modified cells after running the $\mathrm{KSA}^{-1}$ routine

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{210}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=i v_{i+81} \oplus k_{i+5} k_{i+63} \\
& g_{206}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=i v_{i+77} \oplus k_{i+4} k_{i+79} \\
& g_{202}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=i v_{i+73} \oplus k_{i+7} k_{i+102} \\
& g_{198}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=i v_{i+69} \oplus k_{i+28} k_{i+51} k_{i+71} k_{i+98} \\
& g_{194}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=i v_{i+65} \oplus k_{i+11} k_{i+120}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=k_{i+79} \oplus k_{i+98} \oplus i v_{i+8} \oplus i v_{i+58} \oplus i v_{i+52} \oplus i v_{i+45} k_{i+43} \oplus i v_{i+35} k_{i+28} \\
& z^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}z^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus i v_{i+88} \oplus i v_{i+84} \oplus i v_{i+84} i v_{i+52} i v_{i+45} & \text { if } i=0 \\
z^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus i v_{i+88} \oplus i v_{i+84} \oplus i v_{i+84} i v_{i+52} i v_{i+45} & \text { if } i=-1 \\
z^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus i v_{i+88} \oplus i v_{i+84} \oplus i v_{i+93} \oplus 1 \oplus i v_{i+84} i v_{i+52} i v_{i+45} & \text { if } i=-2 \\
z^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus i v_{81} \oplus k_{5} k_{63} \oplus i v_{85} \oplus k_{3} k_{44} \oplus i v_{i+93} & \text { if } i=-3\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Espresso's reverse feedback functions we can see that the only functions containing retrievable key bits are $g_{252}^{-1}, g_{248}^{-1}, g_{244}^{-1}, g_{240}^{-1}$ and $g_{218}^{-1}$. Note that all positions, except 217 , can be recovered from the padding (see Table 5). In the case of $g_{218}^{-1}$, the value can be recovered from $I V^{\prime}$ 's bits. Therefore, we obtain Algorithm 12 for recovering some of $K$ 's bits.

In the case of our proposed version of Espresso, we can carry out a similar analysis. Again, for simplicity, we will first write some intermediary feedback function

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{0}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & =1 \oplus x_{i+40} x_{i+69} \\
g_{252}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & =1 \oplus k_{i+41} k_{i+82} \oplus k_{i+7} \\
g_{248}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & =1 \oplus k_{i+43} k_{i+101} \oplus k_{i+39} \\
g_{244}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & =1 \oplus k_{i+42} k_{i+117} \oplus k_{i+102} \\
g_{240}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & =1 \oplus k_{i+45} i v_{i+12} \oplus k_{i+116} \\
g_{236}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & =1 \oplus k_{i+66} k_{i+89} k_{i+109} i v_{i+8} \\
g_{218}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & = \begin{cases}i v_{i+89} \oplus k_{i+2} k_{i+31} & \text { if } i \geq-2 \\
i v_{i+89} \oplus g_{0}^{-1}\left(X_{i+4}\right) k_{i+31} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
g_{214}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & = \begin{cases}i v_{i+85} \oplus k_{i+3} k_{i+44} & \text { if } i \geq-3 \\
i v_{i+85} \oplus g_{0}^{-1}\left(X_{i+5}\right) k_{i+44} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

```
Algorithm 12: Key bits recovery algorithm for the 0xfffffffe padding (backward construction)
    Input: Chosen IV's \(I V\) and \(I V^{\prime}\) and key bits \(k_{j}\), where \(j \in\{0-3,25-31,40-45,63,69,76-82,117\}\)
    Output: 24 key bits \(k_{j}\), where \(j \in\{4-7,36-39,95-102,113-116,124-127\}\)
    for \(i \in[0,-2]\) do
        \(k_{i+116} \leftarrow k_{i+45} i v_{i+12} \%\) rec : 116-114 use : 45-43
        \(k_{i+102} \leftarrow k_{i+42} k_{i+117} \%\) rec : \(102-100\) use : \(42-40,117-115\)
    \(k_{113} \leftarrow k_{42} i v_{9} \%\) rec : 113 use : 42
    \(k_{99} \leftarrow k_{43} k_{101} k_{114} \%\) rec : 99 use : 43, 101, 114
    for \(i \in[0,-3]\) do
        \(k_{i+127} \leftarrow i v_{i+3}^{\prime} \%\) rec : \(127-124\)
        \(k_{i+7} \leftarrow k_{i+41} k_{i+82} \%\) rec : \(7-4\) use : \(41-38,82-79\)
        if \(i=0\) then \(k_{i+7} \leftarrow k_{i+7} \oplus 1\)
        \(k_{i+39} \leftarrow k_{i+43} k_{i+101} \%\) rec : 39-36 use : 43-40, \(101-98\)
        \(o \leftarrow k_{i+79} \oplus i v_{i+8} \oplus i v_{i+58} \oplus i v_{i+52} \oplus i v_{i+45} k_{i+43} \oplus i v_{i+35} k_{i+28} \%\) use : 79-76, 43-40, 28-25
        if \(i=3\) then \(o \leftarrow o \oplus i v_{81} \oplus k_{5} k_{63} \oplus i v_{85} \oplus k_{3} k_{44} \oplus i v_{90} \% u s e: 5,63,3,44\)
        else \(o \leftarrow o \oplus i v_{i+88} \oplus i v_{i+84} \oplus 1 \oplus i v_{i+84} i v_{i+52} i v_{i+45}\)
        if \(i=0\) or \(i=1\) then \(o \leftarrow o \oplus 1\)
        else \(o \leftarrow o \oplus i v_{i+93}\)
        \(k_{i+98} \leftarrow o \oplus i v_{i+93}^{\prime} \oplus i v_{i+89} \oplus k_{i+2} k_{i+31} \%\) rec : \(98-95\) use : \(2-0,31-28\)
        if \(t=0\) then \(k_{-1} \leftarrow o \oplus k_{98} \oplus k_{40} \oplus k_{69} \%\) use : \(98,40,69\)
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{210}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}i v_{i+81} \oplus k_{i+5} k_{i+63} & \text { if } i \geq-5 \\
i v_{i+81} \oplus g_{0}^{-1}\left(X_{i+7}\right) k_{i+63} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{206}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}i v_{i+77} \oplus k_{i+4} k_{i+79} & \text { if } i \geq-4 \\
i v_{i+77} \oplus g_{0}^{-1}\left(X_{i+6}\right) k_{i+79} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{202}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=i v_{i+73} \oplus k_{i+7} k_{i+102} \\
& g_{198}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=i v_{i+69} \oplus k_{i+28} k_{i+51} k_{i+71} k_{i+98}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using these intermediary functions we obtain the following the feedback functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{0}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{0}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus z^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } i \geq-4 \\
g_{0}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus k_{i+45} k_{i+86} \oplus k_{i+11} \oplus z^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{252}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{252}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } i \geq-4 \\
g_{252}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus k_{i+47} k_{i+105} \oplus k_{i+43} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{248}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{248}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } i \geq-4 \\
g_{248}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus k_{i+46} k_{i+121} \oplus k_{i+106} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{244}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{244}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } i \geq-4 \\
g_{244}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus k_{i+49} i v_{i+16} \oplus k_{i+120} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{240}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{240}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } i \geq-4 \\
g_{240}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus k_{i+70} k_{i+93} k_{i+113} i v_{i+12} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{236}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{236}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } i \geq-4 \\
g_{236}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus k_{i+53} i v_{i+34} \oplus i v_{i+64} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{232}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}k_{i+49} i v_{i+30} \oplus i v_{i+60} & \text { if } t \neq-7 \\
1 \oplus k_{i+49} i v_{i+30} \oplus i v_{i+60} & \text { if } t=-7\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{218}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{218}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus z^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } i \geq-4 \\
g_{218}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus k_{i+7} k_{i+48} \oplus z^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{214}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{214}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } i \geq-4 \\
g_{214}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus k_{i+9} k_{i+67} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{210}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{210}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } i \geq-4 \\
g_{210}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus k_{i+8} k_{i+83} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{206}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{206}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } i \geq-4 \\
g_{206}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus k_{i+11} k_{i+106} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{202}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{202}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } i \geq-4 \\
g_{202}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus k_{i+32} k_{i+55} k_{i+75} k_{i+102} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{198}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{198}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } i \geq-4 \\
g_{198}^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus k_{i+15} k_{i+124} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& g_{194}^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right)=i v_{i+65} \oplus k_{i+11} k_{i+120}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & =k_{i+79} \oplus k_{i+98} \oplus i v_{i+8} \oplus b_{221} \oplus i v_{i+58} \oplus b_{242} b_{216} \oplus b_{246} \oplus b_{212} b_{234} \oplus b_{254} b_{250} \\
& \oplus i v_{i+52} b_{238} \oplus i v_{i+45} k_{i+43} \oplus i v_{i+35} k_{i+28} \oplus b_{254} b_{246} b_{242} b_{212} i v_{i+52} i v_{i+45} \\
z^{-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & = \begin{cases}z^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } i=-2 \\
z^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus 1 \oplus b_{246} & \text { if } i=-6 \\
z^{\prime-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \oplus 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{254}= \begin{cases}1 \oplus k_{i+44} k_{i+85} \oplus k_{i+10} & \text { if }-3 \geq i \geq-6 \\
1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& b_{250}= \begin{cases}1 \oplus k_{i+46} k_{i+104} \oplus k_{i+42} & \text { if }-3 \geq i \geq-6 \\
1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& b_{246}= \begin{cases}1 \oplus k_{i+45} k_{i+120} \oplus k_{i+105} & \text { if }-3 \geq i \geq-6 \\
1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& b_{242}= \begin{cases}1 \oplus k_{i+48} i v_{i+15} \oplus k_{i+119} & \text { if }-3 \geq i \geq-6 \\
1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& b_{238}= \begin{cases}1 \oplus k_{i+69} k_{i+92} k_{i+112} i v_{i+11} & \text { if }-3 \geq i \geq-6 \\
1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& b_{234}= \begin{cases}1 \oplus k_{i+52} i v_{i+33} \oplus i v_{i+63} & \text { if }-3 \geq i \geq-6 \\
1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& b_{221}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } i \geq-4 \\
0 & \text { if } i=-5 \\
i v_{i+101}^{\prime} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& b^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{216}= \begin{cases}i v_{i+88} & \text { if } 0 \geq i>-3 \\
i v_{i+88} \oplus k_{i+6} k_{i+47} & \text { if }-3 \geq i \geq-6 \\
i v_{i+96}^{\prime} & \text { if } i=-7\end{cases} \\
& b_{212}= \begin{cases}i v_{i+84} & \text { if } 0 \geq i>-3 \\
i v_{i+84} \oplus k_{i+8} k_{i+66} & \text { if }-3 \geq i \geq-6 \\
i v_{i+92}^{\prime} & \text { if } i=-7\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can see that the only feedback function that contain retrievable bits are $g_{252}^{-1}, g_{248}^{-1}, g_{244}^{-1}, g_{240}^{-1}$ and $g_{218}^{-1}$. When we tried to recover the key bits, we found some loops that prevented us from recovering three bits. More precisely, we found the following dependencies

$$
k_{97} \hookleftarrow k_{1} \hookleftarrow k_{35} \hookleftarrow k_{97} \quad \text { and } \quad k_{96} \hookleftarrow k_{0} \hookleftarrow k_{34} \hookleftarrow k_{96} \quad \text { and } \quad k_{95} \hookleftarrow k_{116} \hookleftarrow k_{113} \hookleftarrow k_{95} .
$$

Moreover, we note that the first 4 bits of $I V^{\prime}$ coincide with the last 4 bits of $K$. Again, we do not claim that our solution is optimal. Our solution is presented in Algorithm 13.

### 5.3 Mixed Construction

Once we have constructed two pairs of related IV's using the KSA and the KSA ${ }^{-1}$ routines, we can simply apply both the forward and the backward construction. Note that there might be better approaches when combining the forward and backward type constructions (i.e. constructions that recover different bits compared to ours).

In the classical case, we can recover 41 key bits. More precisely, the mixed construction takes as input the two pairs and the key bits $k_{j}$, where $j \in\{3-6,25-35,44-49,63,69-72,76-79,84-86,98-102,121\}$. Then it runs the forward construction and then it runs the backward one. Finally, the algorithm outputs $k_{j}$, where $j \in\{0-3,7-11,36-43,80-83,95-98,103-106,113-120,124-127\}$.

Regarding our proposal, the mixed construction can recover 39 key bits. More precisely, the mixed construction takes as input the two pairs and the key bits $k_{j}$, where $j \in\{4-12,21-31,36-53,60-82,86-$ $90,95-97,99-103,106-108,117\}$. Then it runs the backward construction and then it runs the forward one. Finally, the algorithm outputs $k_{j}$, where $j \in\{0-3,13-15,32-35,83-85,91-94,98,104,105,109-$ $116,118-127\}$.

Remark 2. Note that we also studied the backward and forward combination for the classic case. However, this combination performed poorer than the one we presented. Thus, we omitted it. The same happened for the forward and backward combination for our proposed padding scheme.

### 5.4 Complexity

To summarise, we provide in Table 11 the complexities of the key recovery attacks. We can see that when we take the attacks separately, the original padding has a better security margin. However, in the mixed case our proposal performs better.

| Construction | Padding |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0xfffffffe | 0x7fffffff |
| Forward | $2^{104}+2^{32}$ | $2^{101}+2^{40}$ |
| Backward | $2^{104}+2^{28}$ | $2^{99}+2^{34}$ |
| Mixed | $2^{87}+2^{32}+2^{28}$ | $2^{89}+2^{40}+2^{34}$ |

Table 11: Attack Complexity

```
Algorithm 13: Key bits recovery algorithm for the 0xefffffff padding (backward construction)
    Input: Chosen IV's \(I V\) and \(I V^{\prime}\) and key bits \(k_{j}\), where
                \(j \in\{4-7,21-28,31,36-49,60-68,72-82,86-89,95-97,99-103,106-108,117\}\)
    Output: 29 key bits \(k_{j}\), where \(j \in\{0-3,32-35,91-94,98,109-116,120-127\}\)
    Function update_bits():
        \(b_{212} \leftarrow b_{212} \oplus k_{i+8} k_{i+66} \%\) use \(: 5-2,63-60\)
        \(b_{216} \leftarrow b_{216} \oplus k_{i+6} k_{i+47} \%\) use : \(3-0,44-41\)
        \(b_{234} \leftarrow b_{234} \oplus k_{i+52} i v_{i+33} \oplus i v_{i+63} \%\) use : \(49-46\)
        \(b_{238} \leftarrow b_{238} \oplus k_{i+69} k_{i+92} k_{i+112} i v_{i+11} \%\) use \(: 66-63,89-86,109-106\)
        \(b_{242} \leftarrow b_{242} \oplus k_{i+48} i v_{i+15} \oplus k_{i+119} \%\) use \(: 45-42,116-113\)
        \(b_{246} \leftarrow b_{246} \oplus k_{i+45} k_{i+120} \oplus k_{i+105} \%\) use : \(42-39,117-114,102-99\)
        \(b_{250} \leftarrow b_{250} \oplus k_{i+46} k_{i+104} \oplus k_{i+42} \%\) use : \(43-40,101-98,39-36\)
        \(b_{254} \leftarrow b_{254} \oplus k_{i+44} k_{i+85} \oplus k_{i+10} \%\) use : \(41-38,82-79,7-4\)
    Function update_o \((i)\) :
        \(o \leftarrow k_{i+79} \oplus i v_{i+8} \oplus b_{221} \oplus i v_{i+58} \oplus b_{242} b_{216} \oplus b_{246} \oplus b_{212} b_{234} \oplus b_{254} b_{250} \oplus i v_{i+52} b_{238} \oplus i v_{i+45} k_{i+43} \oplus\)
        \(i v_{i+35} k_{i+28} \oplus b_{254} b_{246} b_{242} b_{212} i v_{i+52} i v_{i+45} \%\) use : \(79-72,43-36,28-21\)
    Function main():
        for \(i \in[0,-7]\) do
            \(k_{i+127} \leftarrow i v_{i+7}^{\prime} \%\) rec : \(127-120\)
        \(k_{109} \leftarrow k_{38} i v_{5} \oplus k_{63} k_{86} k_{106} i v_{5} \%\) rec : 109 use : 38, 63, 86, 106
        for \(i \in[-4,-6]\) do
            \(k_{i+116} \leftarrow k_{i+45} i v_{i+12} \oplus k_{i+70} k_{i+93} k_{i+113} i v_{i+12} \%\) rec : \(112-110\) use : \(41-39,66-64,89-87\),
                    109-107
        \(k_{2} \leftarrow k_{36} k_{77} \oplus k_{42} k_{100} \oplus k_{38} \%\) rec : 2 use : 36, 77, 42, 100, 38
        \(b_{212}, b_{216} \leftarrow i v_{84}^{\prime}, i v_{88}^{\prime}\)
        \(b_{221}, b_{234}, b_{238}, b_{242}, b_{246}, b_{250}, b_{254} \leftarrow 1,1,1,1,1,1,1\)
        update_o(0)
        \(k_{98} \leftarrow o \oplus 1 \oplus i v_{97}^{\prime} \oplus i v_{89} \oplus k_{2} k_{31} \%\) rec : 98 use : 2,31
        \(k_{35} \leftarrow k_{39} k_{97} \oplus k_{42} k_{117} \oplus k_{103} \%\) rec : 35 use : 39, 97, \(42,117,102\)
        \(k_{113} \leftarrow k_{35} k_{110} \oplus k_{95} \oplus k_{42} i v_{9} \%\) rec : 113 use : \(35,110,95,42\)
        for \(i \in[-4,-6]\) do
            \(k_{i+120} \leftarrow k_{i+42} k_{i+117} \oplus k_{i+102} \oplus k_{i+49} i v_{i+16} \%\) rec : \(116-114\) use : 38-36, 113-111, 98-96, \(45-43\)
        for \(i \in[-5,-6]\) do
            \(k_{i+39} \leftarrow k_{i+43} k_{i+101} \oplus k_{i+46} k_{i+121} \oplus k_{i+106} \%\) rec : 34, 33 use : 38, 37, 96, 95, 41, 40, 116, 115, 101, 100
        for \(i \in[-4,-7]\) do
            if \(i \neq 5\) then \(k_{i+7} \leftarrow k_{i+41} k_{i+82} \oplus k_{i+47} k_{i+105} \oplus k_{i+43}\) \%rec: \(3,1,0\) use : 37, 35, 34, 78, 76, 75, 43, 41,
                40, 101, 99, 98, 39, 37, 36
        for \(i \in[-1,-7]\) do
            \(b_{212}, b_{216} \leftarrow i v_{i+84}, i v_{i+88}\)
            \(b_{234}, b_{238}, b_{242}, b_{246}, b_{250}, b_{254} \leftarrow 1,1,1,1,1,1\)
            if \(-3 \geq i \geq-6\) then update_bits ()
            if \(i=-7\) then \(b_{212}, b_{216} \leftarrow \overline{i v_{i+92}^{\prime}}, i v_{i+96}^{\prime}\)
            switch \(i\) do
                case \(\leq 4\) do \(b_{221}=1\)
                case 5 do \(b_{221}=0\)
                otherwise do \(b_{221}=i v_{i+101}^{\prime}\)
            update_o(i)
            if \(i \neq-2\) then \(o \leftarrow o \oplus 1\)
            if \(i=-6\) then \(o \leftarrow o \oplus b_{246}\)
            if \(i \leq-4\) then \(k_{i+98} \leftarrow o \oplus i v_{i+97}^{\prime} \oplus i v_{i+89} \oplus k_{i+2} k_{i+31} \oplus k_{i+7} k_{i+48} \%\) rec: \(94-91\) use : \(27-24\),
                    \(3-0,44-41\)
            if \(i \geq-4\) then \(k_{i-1} \leftarrow 1 \oplus k_{i+40} k_{i+69} \oplus k_{i+98} \oplus o \%\) use : \(39-36,68-65,97-94\)
        \(k_{32} \leftarrow k_{36} k_{94} \oplus k_{39} k_{114} \oplus k_{99} \%\) rec : 32 use : 36, 94, 39, 114, 99
```


## 6 Conclusions

In this paper, we have shown that given any Key-IV pair, one can easily construct another pair, with expected $2^{32}$ time complexity, that produces the same bits as the initial keystream on a significant amount of positions.

Furthermore, we have studied related Key-IV pairs that produce shifted keystreams. We have shown how one can obtain two related Key-IV pairs, in expected $2^{28}$ trials, such that the pairs generate 4-bit shifted keystreams. To increase the complexity of these attacks, we have proposed a new padding scheme and have proven that the complexity increases to $2^{34}$.

Additionally, we managed to describe several attacks that recover some of the key bits and requires only two/four related IV's. Hence, we can decrease the complexity of conducting a brute force attack on the key to $2^{87}$ in the classical case and to $2^{89}$ for our proposal.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{3}$ both using only two related IV's

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ during one clock

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ implicitly PKSA and $\mathrm{PKSA}^{-1}$

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ 255, 251, 247, 243, 239, 235, 231
    ${ }^{7}$ due to the key bits involved in their computation

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ an average of $\tau$ IV's are generated
    ${ }^{9}$ e.g. $\alpha=100$ since $\sigma=4$ or 8
    ${ }^{10}$ An attacker starts by brute-forcing parts of a cryptographic key and then uses various methods to determine the remaining unknown portions, often relying on prior knowledge or observations about the encryption process.
    ${ }^{11}$ and hence, unknown to an attacker

