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#### Abstract

Recently, as quantum computing technology develops, the importance of quantum resistant cryptography technology is increasing. AIMer is a quantum-resistant cryptographic algorithm that was selected as the first candidate in the electronic signature section of the KpqC Contest, and uses symmetric primitive AIM. In this paper, we propose a high-speed implementation technique of symmetric primitive AIM and evaluate the performance of the implementation. The proposed techniques are two methods, a Mer operation optimization technique and a linear layer operation simplification technique, and as a result of performance measurement, it achieved a performance improvement of up to $97.9 \%$ compared to the existing reference code. This paper is the first study to optimize the implementation of AIM.
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## 1 Introduction

As quantum computing technology, known as the next-generation computing environment, develops, it can have high performance that surpasses existing supercomputers and can perform complex operations that were previously impossible in polynomial time, so It is approaching as a great threat to cryptography in the future. PQC (Post-Quantum Cryptography) [1] is a next-generation cryptosystem differentiated from existing cryptosystems that rely on the hardness of integer decomposition problems, and means a quantum-resistant cryptosystem. The system is resistant to Shor Algorithm attacks [2] and is popular worldwide. Accordingly, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) held
a quantum-resistant encryption standardization contest and selected a standard algorithm in 2022 [3]. Following this trend in Korea, the KpqC (Korea PostQuantum Cryptography) Contest was held. In December 2022, 9 digital signature algorithms and 7 public key algorithms passed Round 1. In this paper, we propose a high-speed implementation of AIMer, one of the KpqC Round 1 candidate digital signature algorithms.

## 2 Related Works

### 2.1 AIMer

AIMer digital signature algorithm was developed based on zero-knowledge [4], and is a signature scheme using symmetric primitive AIM and BN++ proof system [5]. In the key generation process, public information ( $i v, c t$ ) and private key $p t$ that satisfy $c t=A I M(i v, c t)$ are generated through security parameters. In the signature process, the signature $\sigma$ is output through the private key and public key pair $(p t,(i v, c t))$ and the message $m$. In the verification process, Accept or Reject is output with the private key and public key pair ( $p t,(i v, c t)$ ), message $m$ and signature $\sigma$ as input. Basically, AIMer uses a power mapping based S-Box on a binary extension field to improve cryptographic primitives. The AIMer development team focused most on the method of calculating the Groebner basis of the ideal consisting of the most well-known polynomials [6] and XL (eXtended Linearization) [7] defense against the attack of multivariate polynomial systems. AIMer secured compatibility with MPCitH (Multi-Party Computation in the Head) [8], which can calculate results without sharing data between participants by using a one-way function structure. Also, the S-Box used internally is designed based on the Mersenne S-Box. This makes AIMer resistant to algebraic attacks [9].

### 2.2 AIM

AIM is a symmetric primitive proposed in AIMer. AIM is a one-way function designed to resist algebraic attacks, and has compatibility to support secure multicomputation in hardware. Table 1 shows the parameters used by AIMer. There are three schemes of AIM, AIM-I, AIM-III, and AIM-V, but only AIMI is dealt with in this paper. AIM is designed with an S-box that calculates powers by Mersenne numbers [10] and a linear layer that performs binary matrix multiplication. Figure 1 shows the encryption process of AIM-I.

## 3 Implementation Techniques

In this implementation, we propose a technique to reduce the cost by optimizing the operation and simplifying the linear layer operation.


Fig. 1: AIM-I cryptographic process.

Table 1: Parameters of AIM-I.

| Scheme | $\lambda$ | $n$ | $l$ | $e_{1}$ | $e_{2}$ | $e_{3}$ | $e_{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AIM-I | 128 | 128 | 2 | 3 | 27 | - | 5 |
| AIM-III | 192 | 192 | 2 | 5 | 29 | - | 7 |
| AIM-V | 256 | 256 | 3 | 3 | 53 | 7 | 5 |

### 3.1 Mer operation optimization: Combined Mer

The first target of this optimization implementation is an operation performed on the initial, input plaintext. In the case of AIM-1 encryption, the same input value $(p t)$ is copied and operations $\operatorname{Mer}(3)$ and $\operatorname{Mer}(27)$ are performed, respectively. In this implementation, a single Combined Mer operation is proposed instead of performing the operations $\operatorname{Mer}(3)$ and $\operatorname{Mer}(27)$ respectively. The proposed Combined Mer operation has the same complexity as performing the operation of $\operatorname{Mer}(27)$ only once. The operation process of the $\operatorname{Mer}(3)$ function can be seen in the code in listing 1.

```
void mersenne_exp_3(const GF in, GF out)
{
    GF t1 = {0,};
    // t1 = a ~ (2^2 - 1)
    GF_sqr(in, t1);
    GF_mul(t1, in, t1);
    // out = a ` (2^3 - 1)
```

```
    GF_sqr(t1, t1);
    GF_mul(t1, in, out);
}
```

Listing 1: $\operatorname{Mer}(3)$ operation source code.
In addition, you can check the contents of the Combined Mer operation function proposed in the code of listing 2 , and you can see that the operation process inside the Mer function is included.

```
void mersenne_exp_27(const GF in, GF out, GF out2)
{
    int i;
        GF t1 = {0,};
        //GF t2 = {0,};
        GF t3 = {0,};
        // t1 = a - (2^2 - 1)
        GF_sqr(in, t1);
        GF_mul(t1, in, t1);
        // t2 = a - (2^3 - 1)
        GF_sqr(t1, t1);
        GF_mul(t1, in, out2);
        // t3 = a - (2^6 - 1)
        GF_sqr(out2, t1);
        GF_sqr(t1, t1);
        GF_sqr(t1, t1);
        GF_mul(t1, out2, t3);
        // t3 = a ^ (2^12 - 1)
        GF_sqr(t3, t1);
        for (i = 1; i < 6; i++)
        {
            GF_sqr(t1, t1);
        }
        GF_mul(t1, t3, t3);
    // t3 = a - (2^24 - 1)
    GF_sqr(t3, t1);
    for (i = 1; i < 12; i++)
    {
        GF_sqr(t1, t1);
    }
    GF_mul(t1, t3, t3);
    // out = a - (2^27 - 1)
    GF_sqr(t3, t1);
```

```
GF_sqr(t1, t1);
GF_sqr(t1, t1);
GF_mul(t1, out2, out);
}
```

Listing 2: Combined Mer operation source code.
$\operatorname{Mer}(27)$ The parameters of the function consist of in, out, and out 2 , and represent state 0 and state 1 arrays to store the input plaintext and operation results, respectively. The parameters of $\operatorname{Mer}(3)$ are in and out, and represent the input plaintext and state 0 , respectively. $\operatorname{Mer}(3)$ The result of the operation is stored in the out parameter state 0 , which is also used as a parameter of the $\operatorname{Mer}(27)$ operation. Therefore, the same result can be obtained by performing the operation $\operatorname{Mer}(3)$ inside the function $\operatorname{Mer}(27)$ without performing the operation $\operatorname{Mer}(3)$ and then storing the result in state0. Operation $\operatorname{Mer}(27)$ of the existing reference code proceeded with the operation by storing the operation result of $\operatorname{Mer}(3)$ in the $t 2$ array for storing intermediate operation values, but the proposed technique stores the result in the out 2 which is parameter state 0 , not in the $t 2$ array.

### 3.2 Simplify linear layer operations

A look-up table means a set of pre-calculated results for an operation. Using this set, the result value can be obtained faster than the time required for calculation [11]. AIM's linear layer operation performs a total of 4 matrix-vector multiplications and creates a $128^{*} 128$ binary matrix using the hash value (SHAKE-128) of the initial vector. When this matrix is created, it is not affected by the plaintext, only by the initial vector value $i v$. Therefore, there is no need to create a matrix each time encryption is performed. Therefore, we propose a method of performing encryption after pre-creating the values of the corresponding 4 matrix using a look-up table. The look-up table is in the form of a two-dimensional array consisting of 128 two arrays each having a size of 64 bits. You can find the proposed lookup table source code in listing 4 of the appendix, which represents the source code for one of the four matrix.

AIM's linear layer consists of two types of linear components: affine layer and feed-forward. The affine layer performs multiplication with derived matrix of matrix A, a random binary matrix of $n * n$ size, and performs addition with $\operatorname{Vector} B$, a random constant affected by the initial vector. In this technique, matrix A and vector B are not created because an affine layer is not created. matrix A does not need to be created because it is necessary when constructing the binary matrix specified in the look-up table. Since Vector $B$ performs an addition operation with the state 0 array before the $\operatorname{Mer}(5)$ operation, the corresponding value is required when performing encryption. Accordingly, the value of Vector $B$ also needs to be specified in the form of a constant.

```
vector_B[1] = 0x9347b8e12b0971a1;
vector_B[0] = 0xcaf99a30fa2d6733;
```

```
GF_add(state[0], state[1], state[0]);
GF_add(state[0], vector_B, state[0]);
```

Listing 3: Vector B and addition operation source code
In Listing 4, you can check the code that implements the linear layer operation simplification technique by specifying the Vector B value without creating the linear layer. The proposed technique can drastically reduce the encryption operation time by eliminating all costs consumed in the linear layer generation operation.

## 4 Performance measurement and evaluation

The proposed technique was implemented using the Xcode 14.3 framework, and the reference source code used was the code being distributed by AIMer [4]. However, the reference code have a OpenSSL dependency. So, the code was modified in a stand alone format with the dependency removed. The target processor is an Apple M2 processor running at a maximum speed of 3.49 MHz . After repeating each algorithm $1,000,000$ times, the average value of the measured times was used, and the unit is millisecond $(\mathrm{ms})$. The measurement results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Performance measurement results.

|  | Ref. | Combined Mer | Linear Layer | Combined Mer + Linear Layer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ms | 38482 | 38171 | 1268 | 1181 |
| $\operatorname{imprv}(\%)$ | 0 | 0.91 | 97.6 | 97.9 |

It can be seen that the implementation of the proposed technique shows better performance than the reference code, and in particular, it can be seen that the performance of the implementation to which the linear layer operation simplification is applied shows a big difference. In the case of the implementation using only the $M e r$ operation optimization technique, the performance was improved by $0.81 \%$ compared to the reference code. The implementation using only the linear layer operation simplification technique showed $96.7 \%$ improved performance, and the implementation using both techniques showed $96.9 \%$ improved performance. The degree of performance improvement of the implementation to which the Mer operation optimization technique is applied is measured relatively low, which is considered to be due to the relatively low improvement rate measured because the cost consumed by the linear layer operation is too large. As a result of comparing the implementation with only the linear layer simplification technique and the implementation with both techniques applied, a performance improvement of $7.42 \%$ was confirmed. This means that the Mer operation optimization method also produced significant results in cost reduction.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, a high-speed implementation of AIMer, one of the KpqC Round 1 candidate algorithms, was performed. We proposed two techniques, CombinedMer, which is a Mer operation optimization technique, and linear layer operation simplification, and showed up to $97.9 \%$ better performance than the reference code. In addition, it was confirmed that the Mer operation optimization technique achieved significant performance improvement. Then, in order to further improve the performance of this algorithm, an assembly optimization implementation is performed on ARMv8 to derive additional performance improvement.
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## 6 Appendix

```
uint64_t state0_lower_tr[128][2] = {
```

            \(\{0 x 1,0 x 0\},\{0 x 2,0 x 0\},\{0 x 7,0 x 0\},\{0 x 8,0 x 0\},\{0 x 1 b\)
    , \(0 \times 0\},\{0 \times 23,0 \times 0\},\{0 \times 65,0 \times 0\},\{0 \times b e, 0 \times 0\},\{0 x 1 e a, 0\)
    \(\mathrm{x} 0\},\{0 \times 363,0 \times 0\},\{0 x 791,0 x 0\},\{0 x f e 9,0 \times 0\},\{0 \times 1495,0\)
    \(x 0\},\{0 x 31 a 9,0 x 0\},\{0 x 46 d f, 0 x 0\},\{0 x b c 1 c, 0 x 0\},\{0\)
    \(x 183 e a, 0 \times 0\},\{0 \times 383 c 3,0 \times 0\},\{0 \times 5 c 9 e b, 0 \times 0\},\{0 x f f 44 d, 0\)
    \(x 0\},\{0 x 16 d c 2 e, 0 x 0\},\{0 x 3 d 48 c d, 0 x 0\},\{0 x 756621,0 x 0\}\),
    \(\{0 x e b 8633,0 x 0\},\{0 x 18357 a 2,0 x 0\},\{0 x 21 e 4 d 45,0 x 0\},\{0\)
    x6191ca7, 0x0\}, \{0xda9edfd, 0x0\}, \{0x10972df1, 0x0\}, \{0
    \(x 34 a b 3 a 98,0 x 0\},\{0 x 585678 d b, 0 x 0\},\{0 x e e 8 d e 9 b 8,0 x 0\},\{0\)
    \(x 1 b 6 f f 58 c 3,0 x 0\},\{0 x 2 b 955 f 709,0 x 0\},\{0 x 7 a 53 d 18 b 1,0 x 0\}\),
    \(\{0 x d 98 d c 3559,0 \times 0\},\{0 \times 1 e e e 34 a 12 c, 0 x 0\},\{0 x 3 e 305 d b 00 b\),
    \(0 x 0\}\), \(\{0 x 61 d 058 e e c a, 0 x 0\},\{0 x 9815 c 483 d 0,0 x 0\},\{0\)
    \(x 1 c f 953 e 85 f 6,0 x 0\},\{0 x 328863614 e e, 0 x 0\},\{0 x 6 b a 4 a 07 c a e 8\),
        \(0 x 0\}\), \(\{0 x c d c 61 b d f c 2 a, 0 x 0\},\{0 x 14 f 6375 f 3091,0 \times 0\},\{0\)
        \(x 3 e 3 e a 28 c 7856,0 x 0\},\{0 x 5 a f 63 f e 90759,0 x 0\},\{0\)
        xf26d1388fc2e, 0x0\}, \{0x14611e7d8a792, 0x0\}, \{0
        \(x 28182058 d 910 c, 0 x 0\},\{0 x 6 e b 3 c 6 c 2 e 834 c, 0 x 0\},\{0\)
        \(x 8462 e a f 1716 d 3,0 x 0\}\), \(\{0 x 11 b 84 d 2 b d d 622 b, 0 x 0\},\{0\)
        x36ac12a1056c36, 0x0\}, \{0x78650fec38cdd3, \(0 \times 0\}\), \{0
        \(x f 3 e 0 c 7 b 9 a 5 f 8 e a, 0 x 0\},\{0 x 151 f 47315 a d d 797,0 x 0\},\{0\)
        x249813356bff641, 0x0\}, \{0x6f125e4b879344d, 0x0\}, \{0
        \(x 8 d 57480 c f b e b 83 d, 0 x 0\},\{0 x 1 f a 3270 d 7545 d a a 9,0 x 0\},\{0\)
        \(x 234047 \mathrm{f} 9 \mathrm{dc} 57 \mathrm{a} 00 \mathrm{~b}, 0 \mathrm{x} 0\},\{0 \mathrm{x} 682 \mathrm{a} 868 \mathrm{ec} 31 \mathrm{aae} 2 \mathrm{a}, 0 \mathrm{x} 0\},\{0\)
        xe1d78f09a87b081f, 0x0\}, \{0xcab4840a68ca8db7, 0x1\}, \{0
        x4874a0719b867cce, 0x2\}, \{0x270ac82bf2bd150f, \(0 \times 5\}\), \{0
        \(x 5936831 \mathrm{dcc} 91 \mathrm{e} 5 \mathrm{fb}, 0 \mathrm{x} 8\}\), \(\{0 \mathrm{x} 18 \mathrm{bf} 66 \mathrm{f} 0 \mathrm{fd} 39 \mathrm{c} 999,0 \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{~d}\},\{0\)
        \(x 730 d 7506 b e b 1 b 864,0 x 33\},\{0 x d d 1 f a 26 c 3607690 c, 0 x 45\},\{0\)
        x8a93c90acc0d7e08, 0xde\}, \{0xf0e1988bdcdb0271, 0x149\}, \{0
        x63da59dab61737aa, 0x3d1\}, \{0x33fb3e194df62abb, 0x666\},
        \(\{0 x 7 e 66 d 2 e 6423945 f 9,0 x a 7 b\},\{0 x 39 e b a 14 e 8 a e e d 580,0 x 13 f 8\)
        \(\},\{0 x 48918514 b a b c 960 a, 0 x 32 a 0\},\{0 x b a 3 c 0 b 700 e a 8 b d 15,0\)
        \(x 5 b f 0\},\{0 x 3 a 1 a d 16056 f a a b 3 c, 0 x e 982\},\{0 x 776 c 428 a 8 e d 28703\)
        , \(0 \times 11 \mathrm{~d} 27\},\{0 \times 3 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{ad} 6035115667 \mathrm{f}, 0 \times 36 \mathrm{c} 5 \mathrm{c}\},\{0\)
        \(x 5 c 4 f 698 e f 84 d 31 b b, 0 x 6 e 5 e 3\},\{0 x 991 d 199498 b 1 b c a 1,0 x f 7958\)
        \}, \{0x9d402dcdb4c4cef8, 0x1e68a7\}, \{0xfc0f8e7c74205e14, 0
        \(x 2 f 5 a 16\},\{0 x f f 70 a d 46 a 811 c 206,0 x 44 d 235\},\{0\)
        x8bfc8b1bc0ac4b3e, 0xf9b960\}, \{0xc60648c57de85836, 0
        \(x 1 e 62 d 30\},\{0 x e c 1381065 d 11 d 213,0 x 2 f 7 c 29 b\},\{0\)
        xb3f1582a95e2a9f6, 0x65eadee\}, \{0xb8552b058e9c35cf, 0
        \(x 9 c 0 b 700\},\{0 x 2 d 9 d e 24 f c 597 c 0 b f, 0 x 1 e b 63 c 9 f\}\), \{0
        \(x 60153809 e e d 9 c 43 f, 0 x 32667 c 4 e\},\{0 x 6 b 0 b 783 b f 25750 e e, 0\)
        x77aefee5\}, \{0x91164c7665027a6c, 0x8f682670\}, \{0
        \(x 4 f 142006415 b 325 f, 0 x 17 f 31 a 3 d e\},\{0 x f 600 a e 030 f 478 e 5,0\)
        x2077f518f\}, \{0x6d439cabc916ddcd, 0x58620b140\}, \{0
        x4dab212cbc076e9e, 0xb239d78f5\}, \{0x6d3ed87ff3a75bbd, 0
        x1dbe443692\}, \{0xff7fd8ba3068400d, 0x2cb6cb82a4\}, \{0
        \(x 70644 b 7 d 2 c 05333 a, 0 \times 5542096 a 0 f\},\{0 x c 2 b f 86048 b 522 d 0 b, 0\)
        \(x c 6 f c b 97928\},\{0 x 53051 f 68065 d 47 f, 0 x 15654445 d 63\},\{0\)
        x965229d408b067e9, \(0 \times 23 e 9 b d f 33 e 0\}\), \(\{0 x d e 1 b f c 4588 a 91825,0\)
    ```
x65f33a7a687}, {0xb390a6de9c90544f, 0xa3618b412bd}, {0
x27ff0ea4f71eec82, 0x1c70f6f3ad11}, {0x764634d8af97a7b3,
0x3a1dbf89d2f2}, {0x3a058f18ae17a8f7, 0x4f4df6504a39}, {0
x64bbc52805567b7, 0x82262f8cebe6}, {0xef3acd344735e9b3, 0
x13e7916a367fd}, {0xeee26c6de6756ea8, 0x3d18a958511fc},
{0x790a5b7c9e7777f2, 0x4191e6080d0bd}, {0
x81a08eb8a3d81b0d, 0x8f858208e9630}, {0x845d43afdc0b5409,
    0x10082f05bcf5d9}, {0x42c6ab960cbf9000, 0x2505f037d4521c
}, {0x83a4431e922f898d, 0x43dd4ecbe65126}, {0
xc94636296b9f9d5c, 0xa098c7ae0be674}, {0x128b104e8032c714
, 0x1a24dc3050c3b9a}, {0xf4df6f12494d6329, 0
x2e5b2e9a667f55d}, {0x3baac65368115806, 0x6432206732ea67d
}, {0x4a7d9f4b717853d5, 0x89183b9005a247a}, {0
xe90463a993e75e58, 0x12c70277dc8280c1}, {0
x9cc9d553a6f70252, 0x3a02a242c974cacb}, {0
xc6ab94d98d676c64, 0x5812810cec0bbf3b}, {0
x5dd04bf4dafda543, 0xf992b0c6783c4b09}
};
```

Listing 4: source code of a lookup table for one of the four matrix.

