

Solving Some Affine Equations over Finite Fields

Sihem Mesnager¹, Kwang Ho Kim^{2,3}, Jong Hyok Choe², and Dok Nam Lee²

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Paris VIII, 93526 Saint-Denis, France, University of Paris XIII, CNRS, LAGA UMR 7539, Sorbonne Paris Cité, 93430 Villetaneuse, France, and Telecom ParisTech 75013 Paris. **Email:**

smesnager@univ-paris8.fr

² Institute of Mathematics, State Academy of Sciences, Pyongyang, Democratic People's Republic of Korea. **Email:** **khk.cryptech@gmail.com**

³ PGItech Corp., Pyongyang, Democratic People's Republic of Korea.

Abstract. Let l and k be two integers such that $l|k$. Define $T_l^k(X) := X + X^{p^l} + \dots + X^{p^{l(k/l-2)}} + X^{p^{l(k/l-1)}}$ and $S_l^k(X) := X - X^{p^l} + \dots + (-1)^{(k/l-1)} X^{p^{l(k/l-1)}}$, where p is any prime.

This paper gives explicit representations of all solutions in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to the affine equations $T_l^k(X) = a$ and $S_l^k(X) = a$, $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. For the case $p = 2$ that was solved very recently in [7], the result of this paper reveals another solution.

Keywords: Affine equation · Finite field · Zeros of a polynomial · Linearized polynomial.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 11D04, 12E05, 12E12.

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{F}_{p^n} be the finite field of p^n elements where p is a prime and $n \geq 1$ is a positive integer. A polynomial $L(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}[X]$ of shape

$$L(X) = \sum_{i=0}^t a_i X^{p^i}, a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$$

is called a *linearized polynomial* over \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . An affine equation over \mathbb{F}_{p^n} is an equation of type

$$L(X) = a, \tag{1}$$

where L is a linearized polynomial and $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.

Affine equations arise in many several different problems and contexts such as rank metric codes and linear sets. In particular, those involving the trace functions are crucial in many contexts of cryptography and error-correcting codes [1–3]. Recent research on linearized polynomials and related topics can be found

in [4, 5, 7, 8, 10]. However, to explicit the solutions of affine equations is often challenging as the ultimate goal of such study.

In this paper, we study the following two affine equations

$$T_l^k(X) := \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{k}{l}-1} X^{p^{li}} = a, \quad (2)$$

$$S_l^k(X) := \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{k}{l}-1} (-1)^i X^{p^{li}} = a, \quad (3)$$

where $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, k and l are positive integers such that $l|k$.

It is well-known that a linearized polynomial induce a linear transformation of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} over \mathbb{F}_p . In particular, if x_1 and x_2 are two solutions in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to Equation (1), then their difference $x_1 - x_2$ is a zero of L in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , that is, their difference lies in the set $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid L(x) = 0\}$, that we call the kernel of L restricted to \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . Determination of the \mathbb{F}_{p^n} -solutions to Equation (1) can therefore be divided into two problems: determining the kernel of L restricted to \mathbb{F}_{p^n} and explicit a particular solution x_0 in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . Indeed, if those two problems are solved then the set of all \mathbb{F}_{p^n} -solutions to Equation (1) is $x_0 + \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid L(x) = 0\}$.

In this paper, we solve these two problems for the linearized polynomials T_l^k and S_l^k . We firstly determine the kernels of T_l^k and S_l^k in Section 3. Next, we give explicit representations of particular solutions to Equation (2) and Equation (3) in Section 4. As by-product of those results, we also characterize the elements a in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} for which Equation (2) and Equation (3) has at least one solution in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} in Section 4.

Remark 1. In [7], we considered the particular case of $p = 2$ for which $T_l^k(X) = S_l^k(X)$. Interestingly, Theorem 1 and Theorem 4 in this paper provides another solution for this particular case.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we maintain the following notations (otherwise, we will point out it at the appropriate place).

- p is any prime and n is a positive integer.
- a is any element of the finite field \mathbb{F}_{p^n} .
- k and l are positive integers such that $l|k$.
- We denote the greatest common divisor and the smallest common multiple of two positive integers u and v by (u, v) and $[u, v]$, respectively.
- $d := (n, k)$, $e := (n, l)$ and $L := [d, l]$.

We now present a lemma that will be frequently used throughout this paper.

Lemma 1. *For any positive integers k, l and m with $m|l|k$.*

1. $T_l^k \circ T_m^l(X) = T_m^k(X)$ is an identity. $T_l^k \circ S_m^l(X) = S_m^k(X)$ if l/m is even and $S_l^k \circ S_m^l(X) = S_m^k(X)$ if l/m is odd.
2. $S_l^k \circ T_l^{2l}(X) = S_k^{2k}(X) = X - X^{p^k}$ if $\frac{k}{l}$ is even and $S_l^k \circ T_l^{2l}(X) = T_k^{2k}(X) = X + X^{p^k}$ if $\frac{k}{l}$ is odd.
3. $T_l^k \circ S_l^{2l}(X) = S_k^{2k}(X)$.
4. $T_k^{[n,k]}(x) = T_d^n(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Furthermore, if $\frac{[n,k]}{k}$ is even, then $S_k^{[n,k]}(x) = S_d^n(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.
5. If $\frac{k}{l}$ is even, then $S_l^k(x) + S_l^k(x)^{p^l} = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$.

Proof. The first three items are obtained by easy straightforward calculations. Hence, we give proofs only for the last two items.

Since $nk = [n, k]d$, one has $\frac{n}{d} = \frac{[n,k]}{k}$ and $\{jd \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{d} - 1\} = \{ik \bmod n \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{[n,k]}{k} - 1\}$ because n divides ik if and only if i is a multiple of $\frac{n}{d} = \frac{[n,k]}{k}$. Therefore $T_k^{[n,k]}(x) = T_d^n(x)$.

Furthermore, if $\frac{[n,k]}{k} = \frac{n}{d}$ is even, then $\frac{k}{d}$ is odd since it is prime to $\frac{n}{d}$. Thus, when two integers i and j are such that $jd = ik \bmod n$, they have the same parity. This proves $S_k^{[n,k]}(x) = S_d^n(x)$ if $\frac{[n,k]}{k}$ is even.

Finally, the last item is proved as follows: By Item 1, we have $S_l^k(X) = S_l^{2l} \circ T_{2l}^k(X)$. Let $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$. Then $y := T_{2l}^k(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2l}}$. Hence $S_l^k(x) + S_l^k(x)^{p^l} = S_l^{2l}(y) + S_l^{2l}(y)^{p^l} = (y - y^{p^l}) + (y - y^{p^l})^{p^l} = 0$. \square

3 On the Kernels of T_l^k and S_l^k

To determine the kernels of T_l^k and S_l^k in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , we begin with determining the zeros of T_l^k and S_l^k in the algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$.

Lemma 2. *It holds:*

1.

$$\{x \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \mid T_l^k(x) = 0\} = S_l^{2l}(\mathbb{F}_{p^k}) = \{x - x^{p^l} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}\}.$$

2. When $\frac{k}{l}$ is even,

$$\{x \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} = T_l^{2l}(\mathbb{F}_{p^k}) = \{x + x^{p^l} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}\}.$$

3. When $\frac{k}{l}$ is odd,

$$\{x \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} = S_k^{2k} \circ T_l^{2l}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2k}}) = \{(x + x^{p^l}) - (x + x^{p^l})^{p^k} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2k}}\}.$$

Proof. For $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, by Item 3 of Lemma 1, $T_l^k \circ S_l^{2l}(x) = x - x^{p^k} = 0$ proving the inclusion of $S_l^{2l}(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})$ in $\{x \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \mid T_l^k(x) = 0\}$. We then conclude the equality from the fact that the two sets have the same cardinality p^{k-l} . The second and third items are similarly proved by using Item 2 of Lemma 1. \square

Based on the above lemma, we then deduce the kernels of T_l^k and S_l^k restricted to \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . For the reader's convenience, we present our results by three statements each corresponding to an item of Lemma 2.

Theorem 1. *The following holds true:*

$$\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid T_l^k(x) = 0\} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_{p^d}, & \text{if } p \mid \frac{k}{L} \\ S_e^{2e}(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consequently,

$$\#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid T_l^k(x) = 0\} = \begin{cases} p^d, & \text{if } p \mid \frac{k}{L} \\ p^{d-e}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Item 1 of Lemma 2,

$$\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid T_l^k(x) = 0\} = S_l^{2l}(\mathbb{F}_{p^k}) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^{(n,k)}} = \mathbb{F}_{p^d}.$$

Therefore, by Item 1 of Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid T_l^k(x) = 0\} &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \mid T_l^k(x) = 0\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \mid \frac{k}{L} T_l^L(x) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $p \mid \frac{k}{L}$, then

$$\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid T_l^k(x) = 0\} = \mathbb{F}_{p^d},$$

and if $p \nmid \frac{k}{L}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid T_l^k(x) = 0\} &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \mid T_l^L(x) = 0\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \mid T_e^d(x) = 0\} \text{ (by Item 4 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= S_e^{2e}(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}) \text{ (by Item 1 of Lemma 2)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2. *Suppose that $\frac{k}{l}$ is even.*

1. *If $\frac{d}{e}$ is even, then*

$$\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_{p^d}, & \text{if } p \mid \frac{k}{L} \\ T_e^{2e}(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and consequently

$$\#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} = \begin{cases} p^d, & \text{if } p \mid \frac{k}{L} \\ p^{d-e}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2. *If $\frac{d}{e}$ is odd, then*

$$\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} = \mathbb{F}_{p^d}$$

and consequently

$$\#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} = p^d.$$

Proof. By Item 2 of Lemma 2, when $\frac{k}{l}$ is even, we know that $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} = T_l^{2l}(\mathbb{F}_{p^k}) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^d}$ and thus

$$\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\}.$$

Now, suppose that $\frac{d}{e} = \frac{d}{(d,l)} = \frac{l}{l}$ is even. Then, by Item 1 of Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \mid T_L^k \circ S_l^L(x) = 0\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \mid \frac{k}{L} S_l^L(x) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $p \mid \frac{k}{L}$, then

$$\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} = \mathbb{F}_{p^d},$$

and if $p \nmid \frac{k}{L}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \mid S_l^L(x) = 0\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \mid S_e^d(x) = 0\} \text{ (by Item 4 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= T_e^{2e}(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}) \text{ (by Item 2 of Lemma 2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that $\frac{d}{e} = \frac{l}{l}$ is odd. In this case, $\frac{k}{L}$ is even as $\frac{k}{l} = \frac{k}{L} \cdot \frac{L}{l}$ is even by the assumption. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \mid S_L^k \circ S_l^L(x) = 0\} \text{ (by Item 1 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \end{aligned}$$

because $S_l^L(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^L}$ for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}$. □

Theorem 3. *Suppose that $\frac{k}{l}$ is odd.*

1. *When $\frac{n}{d}$ is odd,*

$$\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} = \{0\}$$

and consequently

$$\#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} = 1.$$

2. *When $\frac{n}{d}$ is even,*

$$\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} = \begin{cases} S_d^{2d}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}), & \text{if } p \mid \frac{k}{L} \\ S_d^{2d} \circ T_e^{2e}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and consequently

$$\#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} = \begin{cases} p^d, & \text{if } p \mid \frac{k}{L} \\ p^{d-e}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. First of all, note that $\frac{L}{l}$ and $\frac{k}{L}$ are odd being divisors of odd $\frac{k}{l}$ and by Item 3 of Lemma 2 one has

$$\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} = S_k^{2k} \circ T_l^{2l}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2k}}) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^n} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{(n,2k)}} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\}.$$

Suppose that $\frac{n}{d}$ is odd. Then, $(n, 2k) = d$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \mid S_L^k \circ S_l^L(x) = 0\} \text{ (by Item 1 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \mid S_l^L(x) = 0\} \\ &= \{S_L^{2L} \circ T_l^{2l}(\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \mid \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2L}}\} \text{ (by Item 3 of Lemma 2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $S_L^{2L} \circ T_l^{2l}(\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}$ for $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2L}}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S_L^{2L} \circ T_l^{2l}(\beta))^{p^L} &= S_L^{2L} \circ T_l^{2l}(\beta) \iff -S_L^{2L} \circ T_l^{2l}(\beta) = S_L^{2L} \circ T_l^{2l}(\beta) \\ &\iff S_L^{2L} \circ T_l^{2l}(\beta) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in that case

$$\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} = \{0\}.$$

Now, suppose that $\frac{n}{d}$ is even. Then, $(n, 2k) = 2d$ and

$$\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\}.$$

Since $\frac{L}{l}$ is odd, from Item 5 of Lemma 1 it follows

$$S_l^{2L}(x) + S_l^{2L}(x)^{p^L} = 0 \tag{4}$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}$. And, $\frac{k}{d}$ and $\frac{L}{d}$ (being a divisor of $\frac{k}{d}$) are odd since $\frac{n}{d}$ is even and $(\frac{n}{d}, \frac{k}{d}) = 1$. Therefore, for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}$, it holds

$$x^{p^L} = (x^{p^{\frac{L-d}{d} \cdot d}})^{p^d} = x^{p^d},$$

$$x^{p^k} = (x^{p^{\frac{k-d}{d} \cdot d}})^{p^d} = x^{p^d}$$

and hence

$$S_L^{2L}(x) = S_d^{2d}(x) \text{ and } T_k^{2k}(x) = T_d^{2d}(x). \tag{5}$$

Moreover, since $\frac{L}{d} = \frac{[d,l]}{d}$ is odd, one has $(2d, l) = (d, l) = e$ and $[2d, l] = \frac{2dl}{(2d, l)} = 2 \frac{dl}{(d, l)} = 2[d, l] = 2L$. Therefore, by Item 4 of Lemma 1, for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}$ we have $S_l^{2L}(x) = S_l^{[2d, l]}(x) = S_{(2d, l)}^{2d}(x) = S_{(d, l)}^{2d}(x) = S_e^{2d}(x)$, that is,

$$S_l^{2L}(x) = S_e^{2d}(x). \tag{6}$$

Then, for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
S_d^{2d} \circ S_l^k(x) &= S_L^{2L} \circ S_l^k(x) \text{ (by (5))} \\
&= S_L^{2L} \circ S_L^k \circ S_l^L(x) \text{ (by Item 1 of Lemma 1)} \\
&= S_L^k \circ S_L^{2L} \circ S_l^L(x) \\
&= S_L^k \circ S_l^{2L}(x) \text{ (again by Item 1 of Lemma 1)} \\
&= \frac{k}{L} S_l^{2L}(x) \text{ (by (4))} \\
&= \frac{k}{L} S_e^{2d}(x) \text{ (by (6))},
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$S_l^k(S_d^{2d}(x)) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p \mid \frac{k}{L} \\ S_e^{2d}(x), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Thus, when $p \mid \frac{k}{L}$, it holds

$$\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} \supset S_d^{2d}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}) = \ker(T_d^{2d}),$$

where the equality is from Item 1 of Lemma 2. By the way, by (5) and Item 2 of Lemma 1, $T_d^{2d}(x) = T_k^{2k}(x) = T_l^{2L} \circ S_l^k(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}$ and therefore $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} \subset \ker(T_d^{2d})$. Hence, when $p \mid \frac{k}{L}$, we get

$$\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} = S_d^{2d}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}).$$

On the other hand, if $p \nmid \frac{k}{L}$, then by (7)

$$\begin{aligned}
\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} &\supset \{S_d^{2d}(x) \mid S_e^{2d}(x) = 0, x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}\} \\
&= \{S_d^{2d} \circ T_e^{2e}(\beta) \mid \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}\} \text{ (by Item 2 of Lemma 2)} \\
&= \ker(S_e^d) \text{ (by Item 3 of Lemma 2 since } \frac{d}{e} = \frac{L}{l} \text{ is odd)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the way, when $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}$, we can write

$$S_l^k(x) = \frac{k-L}{2L} S_l^{2L}(x) + S_l^L(x)$$

because $\frac{k}{L}$ is odd, and therefore if $S_l^k(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}$, then $S_l^L(x) = 0$ (since $S_l^{2L}(x) = 0$ by (6) and (7) when $S_l^k(x) = 0$), that is, one has

$$\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}} \mid S_l^k(x) = 0\} \subset \ker(S_l^L) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}.$$

Thus, to conclude the theorem it is sufficient to show:

$$\ker(S_e^d) = \ker(S_l^L) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}. \quad (8)$$

To begin with, let us show

$$\ker(S_e^d) \subset \ker(S_l^L) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}.$$

In fact, if $y \in \ker(S_e^d)$ or equivalently $y = S_d^{2d} \circ T_e^{2e}(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
S_l^L(y) &= S_l^L \circ S_d^{2d} \circ T_e^{2e}(\beta) \\
&= S_l^L \circ S_L^{2L} \circ T_e^{2e}(\beta) \text{ (by (5))} \\
&= S_l^{2L} \circ T_e^{2e}(\beta) \text{ (by Item 1 of Lemma 1)} \\
&= S_e^{2d} \circ T_e^{2e}(\beta) \text{ (by (6))} \\
&= S_{2d}^{4d}(\beta) \text{ (by Item 2 of Lemma 1)} \\
&= 0 \text{ (since } \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}\text{)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove

$$\#\ker(S_e^d) = \#\{\ker(S_l^L) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}\}$$

which will conclude (8). Let $A := \ker(S_e^{2d}) = T_e^{2e}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}})$. Then, by (6), $A = \ker(S_l^{2L}) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}$, and since $S_l^{2L} = S_L^{2L} \circ S_l^L$ by Item 1 of Lemma 1,

$$\ker(S_l^L) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}} \subset A.$$

Hence, now we determine $S_l^L(A)$ which will make us possible to compute $\#\{\ker(S_l^L) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}\}$. First, since $S_d^{2d}(S_l^L(A)) \stackrel{(5)}{=} S_L^{2L}(S_l^L(A)) = S_l^{2L}(A) = \{0\}$, it holds

$$S_l^L(A) \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^d}. \quad (9)$$

Then, since $\frac{d}{e} = \frac{l}{l}$ is odd, by Item2 of Lemma 1, $S_e^d(A) = S_e^d \circ T_e^{2e}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}) = T_d^{2d}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}) = \mathbb{F}_{p^d}$ and so

$$\mathbb{F}_{p^d} \subset A. \quad (10)$$

Now, let us prove that S_l^L is a permutation on \mathbb{F}_{p^d} . In fact, if $y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}$ is an element in $\ker(S_l^L)$, then by Item 3 of Lemma 2 we can write $y = S_L^{2L} \circ T_l^{2l}(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2L}}$ and one has $y = y^{p^L} = (S_L^{2L} \circ T_l^{2l}(\beta))^{p^L} = -S_L^{2L} \circ T_l^{2l}(\beta) = -y$, i.e. $y = 0$. Therefore, $\ker(S_l^L) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^d} = \emptyset$ and S_l^L is a permutation on \mathbb{F}_{p^d} and subsequently on \mathbb{F}_{p^d} . By acting S_l^L on both sides of (10) we get

$$\mathbb{F}_{p^d} \subset S_l^L(A). \quad (11)$$

Combined (9) and (11) proves

$$S_l^L(A) = \mathbb{F}_{p^d}.$$

From here it follows

$$\#\{\ker(S_l^L) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}\} = \#A/p^d = p^{(2d-e)-d} = p^{d-e} = \#\ker(S_e^d).$$

□

4 On particular solutions of (2) and (3)

In this section, we give particular solutions for each of the two equations (2) and (3) as well as characterizations of the a 's in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} for which the equations (2) and (3) have at least one solution in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . We present these results by three statements as in Section 3 each of them corresponding again to the three cases defined in Lemma 2.

Theorem 4. *Let $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ and $\delta_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}^*$ be any elements such that $T_d^n(\delta) = 1$ and $T_e^d(\delta_1) = 1$.*

1. *When $p \mid \frac{k}{L}$, there exists a solution in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to the equation $T_l^k(X) = a$ if and only if $T_d^n(a) = 0$. In that case,*

$$x_0 = S_l^{2l} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} a^{p^{ki}} \right)$$

is a particular \mathbb{F}_{p^n} -solution to the equation $T_l^k(X) = a$.

2. *When $p \nmid \frac{k}{L}$, there exists a solution in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to the equation $T_l^k(X) = a$ if and only if $S_e^{2e} \circ T_d^n(a) = 0$. In that case,*

$$x_0 = y_0 + \frac{L}{k} (a - T_l^k(y_0)) \delta_1,$$

where

$$y_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} S_l^{2l}(a)^{p^{ki}},$$

is a particular \mathbb{F}_{p^n} -solution to the equation $T_l^k(X) = a$.

Proof. Let $a = T_l^k(x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_d^n(a) &= T_d^n \circ T_l^k(x_0) \\ &= T_d^n \circ T_L^k \circ T_l^L(x_0) \text{ (by Item 1 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= T_L^k \circ T_l^L \circ T_d^n(x_0) \\ &= T_L^k \circ T_e^d \circ T_d^n(x_0) \text{ (by Item 4 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= T_L^k \circ T_e^n(x_0) \text{ (by Item 1 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= \frac{k}{L} T_e^n(x_0) \text{ (since } T_e^n(x_0) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^L} \text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $p \mid \frac{k}{L}$, then $T_d^n(a) = 0$, and if $p \nmid \frac{k}{L}$, then $S_e^{2e} \circ T_d^n(a) = S_e^{2e} \circ T_e^n(x_0) = S_n^{2n}(x_0) = 0$ where we applied Item 3 of Lemma 1. In other words, if $p \mid \frac{k}{L}$, then

$$T_l^k(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \subset \{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid T_d^n(a) = 0\}, \quad (12)$$

and if $p \nmid \frac{k}{L}$, then

$$T_l^k(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \subset \{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_e^{2e} \circ T_d^n(a) = 0\}. \quad (13)$$

By the way, by Theorem 1 we have:

$$\#T_l^k(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) = p^n / \#\{\ker(T_l^k) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^n}\} = \begin{cases} p^{n-d}, & \text{if } p \mid \frac{k}{L} \\ p^{n-(d-e)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, by the well-known nature of the trace mapping one knows

$$\#\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid T_d^n(a) = 0\} = p^{n-d}$$

and

$$\#\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_e^{2e} \circ T_d^n(a) = 0\} = \#\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid T_d^n(a) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}\} = p^{n-(d-e)}.$$

Thus, we conclude that the inclusions (12) and (13) are indeed equalities. That is, the if and only if conditions for $T_l^k(X) = a$ to have a \mathbb{F}_{p^n} -solution are justified.

Let us check the validity of the given particular solutions. If $T_d^n(a) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_l^k \left(S_l^{2l} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} a^{p^{ki}} \right) \right) &= T_l^k \circ S_l^{2l} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} a^{p^{ki}} \right) \\ &= S_k^{2k} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} a^{p^{ki}} \right) \text{ (by Item 3 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} a^{p^{ki}} - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}} \delta^{p^{kj}} a^{p^{ki}} \\ &= a - \delta T_d^n(a) = a. \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose that $S_e^{2e} \circ T_d^n(a) = 0$ i.e. $T_d^n(a) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}$. Then, $S_l^{2l}(T_d^n(a)) = T_d^n(a) - T_d^n(a)^{p^l} = 0$, and for $y_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} S_l^{2l}(a)^{p^{ki}}$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} S_k^{2k}(y_0) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} S_l^{2l}(a)^{p^{ki}} - \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}} \delta^{p^{kj}} S_l^{2l}(a)^{p^{ki}} \\ &= S_l^{2l}(a) - \delta T_d^n(S_l^{2l}(a)) = S_l^{2l}(a) - \delta S_l^{2l}(T_d^n(a)) \\ &= S_l^{2l}(a). \end{aligned}$$

Since $S_k^{2k}(y_0) = S_l^{2l}(T_l^k(y_0))$ (Item 3 of Lemma 1), we get

$$\beta := a - T_l^k(y_0) \in \ker(S_l^{2l}) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^l} \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^n} = \mathbb{F}_{p^e}.$$

Now, $\beta\delta_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^L}$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
T_l^k(\beta\delta_1) &= T_L^k \circ T_l^L(\beta\delta_1) \text{ (by Item 1 of Lemma 1)} \\
&= \frac{k}{L} T_l^L(\beta\delta_1) \text{ (since } T_l^L(\beta\delta_1) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^L}\text{)} \\
&= \frac{k}{L} T_e^d(\beta\delta_1) \text{ (by Item 4 of Lemma 1)} \\
&= \frac{k}{L} \beta T_e^d(\delta_1) \text{ (since } \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}\text{)} \\
&= \frac{k}{L} \beta.
\end{aligned}$$

That is, we get $T_l^k(\frac{L}{k}(a - T_l^k(y_0))\delta_1) = a - T_l^k(y_0)$, or equivalently,

$$T_l^k(y_0 + \frac{L}{k}(a - T_l^k(y_0))\delta_1) = a.$$

□

Theorem 5. Let $p \neq 2$, $\frac{k}{l}$ even, $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ and $\delta_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}^*$ be any elements such that $T_d^n(\delta) = 1$ and $T_{2e}^d(\delta_1) = 1$.

1. When $\frac{d}{e}$ is odd, or, when $\frac{d}{e}$ is even and $p \nmid \frac{k}{L}$, there exists a solution in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to the equation $S_l^k(X) = a$ if and only if $T_d^n(a) = 0$. In that case,

$$x_0 = T_l^{2l} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} a^{p^{ki}} \right) \quad (14)$$

is a particular \mathbb{F}_{p^n} -solution to the equation $S_l^k(X) = a$.

2. When $\frac{d}{e}$ is even and $p \nmid \frac{k}{L}$, there exists a solution in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to the equation $S_l^k(X) = a$ if and only if $T_e^{2e} \circ T_d^n(a) = 0$. In that case,

$$x_0 = y_0 + \frac{L}{2k}(a - S_l^k(y_0))\delta_1,$$

where

$$y_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} T_l^{2l}(a)^{p^{ki}},$$

is a particular \mathbb{F}_{p^n} -solution to the equation $S_l^k(X) = a$.

Proof. Suppose that $S_l^k(x_0) = a$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. When $\frac{d}{e} = \frac{L}{l}$ is odd, $\frac{k}{L}$ is even since $\frac{k}{l} = \frac{L}{l} \cdot \frac{k}{L}$ was assumed to be even. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_d^n(a) &= T_d^n \circ S_l^k(x_0) \\
&= T_d^n \circ S_L^k \circ S_l^L(x_0) \text{ (by Item 1 of Lemma 1)} \\
&= S_L^k(S_l^L \circ T_d^n(x_0)) \\
&= 0 \text{ (since } S_l^L \circ T_d^n(x_0) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^L} \text{ and } \frac{k}{L} \text{ is even)}
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$S_l^k(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \subset \{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid T_d^n(a) = 0\}. \quad (15)$$

On the other hand, when $\frac{d}{e} = \frac{l}{l}$ is even, one has

$$\begin{aligned} T_d^n(a) &= T_d^n \circ S_l^k(x_0) \\ &= T_d^n \circ T_L^k \circ S_l^L(x_0) \text{ (by Item 1 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= T_L^k \circ S_l^L \circ T_d^n(x_0) \\ &= T_L^k \circ S_e^d \circ T_d^n(x_0) \text{ (by Item 4 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= \frac{k}{L} S_e^d \circ T_d^n(x_0) \text{ (since } S_e^d \circ T_d^n(x_0) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^L}\text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $p \mid \frac{k}{L}$, then (15) still holds true, and if $p \nmid \frac{k}{L}$, then it holds

$$\begin{aligned} T_e^{2e} \circ T_d^n(a) &= T_e^{2e} \circ S_e^d \circ T_d^n(x_0) \\ &= S_d^{2d} \circ T_d^n(x_0) \text{ (by Item 2 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= 0 \text{ (since } T_d^n(x_0) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}\text{)} \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$S_l^k(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \subset \{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid T_e^{2e} \circ T_d^n(a) = 0\}. \quad (16)$$

By the way, by Theorem 2 we have:

$$\#S_l^k(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) = p^n / \#\{\ker(S_l^k) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^n}\} = \begin{cases} p^{n-d}, & \text{if } \frac{d}{e} \text{ is odd, or, } \frac{d}{e} \text{ is even and } p \mid \frac{k}{L} \\ p^{n-(d-e)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, by the well-known nature of the trace mapping one knows

$$\#\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid T_d^n(a) = 0\} = p^{n-d}$$

and

$$\#\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid T_e^{2e} \circ T_d^n(a) = 0\} = p^{n-(d-e)}.$$

Therefore the inclusions (15) and (16) are indeed equalities. That is, the if and only if conditions for $S_l^k(X) = a$ to have a \mathbb{F}_{p^n} -solution are justified.

Since $S_l^k \circ T_l^{2l} = S_k^{2k}$ (Item 2 of Lemma 1), it can be checked by the same computation as in the proof of Item 1 of Theorem 4 that under the condition $T_d^n(a) = 0$,

$$x_0 = T_l^{2l} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} a^{p^{ki}} \right)$$

is a particular \mathbb{F}_{p^n} -solution to the equation $S_l^k(X) = a$.

Now, assuming that $\frac{d}{e} = \frac{l}{l}$ is even, let us suppose that $T_e^{2e} \circ T_d^n(a) = 0$ i.e. $T_d^n(a)^{p^e} = -T_d^n(a)$. Then, $\frac{l}{e}$ is odd since it is prime to $\frac{n}{e} = \frac{d}{e} \cdot \frac{n}{d}$ which is even.

Hence, $T_l^{2l}(T_d^n(a)) = T_d^n(a) + T_d^n(a)^{p^l} = 0$, and for $y_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} T_l^{2l}(a)^{p^{ki}}$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} S_k^{2k}(y_0) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} T_l^{2l}(a)^{p^{ki}} - \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}} \delta^{p^{kj}} T_l^{2l}(a)^{p^{ki}} \\ &= T_l^{2l}(a) - \delta T_d^n(T_l^{2l}(a)) = T_l^{2l}(a) - \delta T_l^{2l}(T_d^n(a)) \\ &= T_l^{2l}(a). \end{aligned}$$

Since $S_k^{2k}(y_0) = T_l^{2l}(S_l^k(y_0))$ (Item 2 of Lemma 1), letting $\beta := a - S_l^k(y_0)$, we have

$$\beta \in \ker(T_l^{2l}) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^{2l}} \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^d}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_l^k(\beta\delta_1) &= \frac{k}{L} S_l^L(\beta\delta_1) \text{ (since } \frac{L}{l} \text{ is even)} \\ &= \frac{k}{L} S_e^d(\beta\delta_1) \text{ (by Item 4 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= \frac{k}{L} S_e^{2e}(T_{2e}^d(\beta\delta_1)) \text{ (by Item 1 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= \frac{k}{L} S_e^{2e}(\beta T_{2e}^d(\delta_1)) \text{ (since } \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}}) \\ &= \frac{k}{L} S_e^{2e}(\beta) = \frac{k}{L}(\beta - \beta^{p^e}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\ker(T_l^{2l}) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}} = S_e^{2e}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}})$ (see Theorem 1), $\beta \in \ker(T_l^{2l}) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ means that $\beta = \alpha - \alpha^{p^e}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}}$, and therefore we get $\beta + \beta^{p^e} = (\alpha - \alpha^{p^e}) + (\alpha - \alpha^{p^e})^{p^e} = 0$ and hence

$$S_l^k(\beta\delta_1) = \frac{2k}{L}\beta,$$

or equivalently,

$$S_l^k\left(y_0 + \frac{L}{2k}(a - S_l^k(y_0))\delta_1\right) = a.$$

□

Theorem 6. Let $p \neq 2$, $\frac{k}{l}$ odd, $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ and $\delta_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^d}^*$ be any elements such that $T_d^n(\delta) = 1$ and $T_{2e}^{2d}(\delta_1) = 1$.

1. When $\frac{n}{d}$ is even and $p \mid \frac{k}{L}$, there exists a solution in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to the equation $S_l^k(X) = a$ if and only if $S_d^n(a) = 0$. In that case,

$$x_0 = T_l^{2l}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} a^{p^{ki}} (-1)^i\right)$$

is a particular \mathbb{F}_{p^n} -solution to the equation $S_l^k(X) = a$.

2. When $\frac{n}{d}$ is even and $p \nmid \frac{k}{L}$, there exists a solution in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to the equation $S_l^k(X) = a$ if and only if $T_e^{2e} \circ S_d^n(a) = 0$. In that case,

$$x_0 = y_0 + \frac{L}{2k} S_d^{2d}((a - S_l^k(y_0))\delta_1),$$

where

$$y_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} T_l^{2l}(a)^{p^{ki}} (-1)^i,$$

is a particular \mathbb{F}_{p^n} -solution to the equation $S_l^k(X) = a$.

3. When $\frac{n}{d}$ is odd, the equation $S_l^k(X) = a$ has a unique \mathbb{F}_{p^n} -solution:

$$x_0 = \frac{T_l^{2l} \circ S_k^{[n,k]}(a)}{2}.$$

Proof. Suppose $a \in S_l^k(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$ i.e. $a = S_l^k(x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.

Let us assume that $\frac{n}{d}$ is even. In this case, $\frac{k}{d}$ and its divisor $\frac{L}{d}$ are odd since $(\frac{n}{d}, \frac{k}{d}) = 1$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} S_d^n(a) &= S_d^n \circ S_l^k(x_0) \\ &= S_d^n \circ S_L^k \circ S_l^L(x_0) \text{ (by Item 1 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= S_d^{2d} \circ T_{2d}^n \circ S_L^k \circ S_l^L(x_0) \text{ (again by Item 1 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= S_L^{2L} \circ T_{2d}^n \circ S_L^k \circ S_l^L(x_0) \text{ (by (5))} \\ &= S_L^k \circ S_L^{2L} \circ S_l^L \circ T_{2d}^n(x_0) \\ &= S_L^k \circ S_l^{2L} \circ T_{2d}^n(x_0) \text{ (by Item 1 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= \frac{k}{L} S_l^{2L} \circ T_{2d}^n(x_0) \text{ (by (4))} \\ &= \frac{k}{L} S_e^{2d} \circ T_{2d}^n(x_0) \text{ (by (6))} \\ &= \frac{k}{L} S_e^n(x_0) = \frac{k}{L} S_e^{2e} \circ T_{2e}^n(x_0) \text{ (once again by Item 1 of Lemma 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $p \mid \frac{k}{L}$, then it holds

$$S_l^k(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \subset \{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid S_d^n(a) = 0\}, \quad (17)$$

and if $p \nmid \frac{k}{L}$, then it holds

$$S_l^k(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \subset \{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid T_e^{2e} \circ S_d^n(a) = 0\} \quad (18)$$

since $T_e^{2e} \circ S_d^n(S_l^k(x_0)) = T_e^{2e} \circ S_e^{2e} \circ T_{2e}^n(x_0) = 0$ by Lemma 2. Comparisons of set cardinalities regarding Item 2 of Theorem 3 make us conclude that the inclusions (17) and (18) are indeed equalities. That is, the if and only if conditions for $S_l^k(X) = a$ to have a \mathbb{F}_{p^n} -solution are justified.

If $S_d^n(a) = 0$, then for $x_0 = T_l^{2l}(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} a^{p^{ki}} (-1)^i)$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_l^k(x_0) &= T_k^{2k} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} a^{p^{ki}} (-1)^i \right) \text{ (by Item 2 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} a^{p^{ki}} (-1)^i + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}} \delta^{p^{kj}} a^{p^{ki}} (-1)^{i-1} \\ &= a - \delta S_d^n(a) = a. \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose that $\frac{n}{d} = \frac{[n,k]}{k}$ is even and $T_e^{2e} \circ S_d^n(a) = 0$ (i.e. $S_d^n(a)^{p^e} = -S_d^n(a)$). Then, $\frac{l}{e}$ is odd since it is prime to $\frac{n}{e} = \frac{d}{e} \cdot \frac{n}{d}$ which is even, and hence $T_l^{2l}(S_d^n(a)) = S_d^n(a) + S_d^n(a)^{p^l} = 0$. Thus, for $y_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} T_l^{2l}(a)^{p^{ki}} (-1)^i$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} T_k^{2k}(y_0) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \delta^{p^{kj}} T_l^{2l}(a)^{p^{ki}} (-1)^i + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\frac{n}{d}} \delta^{p^{kj}} T_l^{2l}(a)^{p^{ki}} (-1)^{i-1} \\ &= T_l^{2l}(a) - \delta S_d^n(T_l^{2l}(a)) = T_l^{2l}(a). \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_k^{2k}(y_0) = T_l^{2l} \circ S_l^k(y_0)$ (by Item 2 of Lemma 1), we have

$$\beta := a - S_l^k(y_0) \in \ker(T_l^{2l}) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^{2d}}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} S_l^k(S_d^{2d}(\beta\delta_1)) &= S_l^k(S_L^{2L}(\beta\delta_1)) \text{ (by (5))} \\ &= S_L^k \circ S_l^L(S_L^{2L}(\beta\delta_1)) \text{ (by Item 1 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= S_L^k \circ (S_l^L \circ S_l^{2L}(\beta\delta_1)) = S_L^k \circ (S_l^{2L}(\beta\delta_1)) \text{ (by Item 1 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= \frac{k}{L} S_l^{2L}(\beta\delta_1) \text{ (by (4))} \\ &= \frac{k}{L} S_e^{2d}(\beta\delta_1) \text{ (by (6))} \\ &= \frac{k}{L} S_e^{2e} \circ T_{2e}^{2d}(\beta\delta_1) \text{ (by Item 1 of Lemma 1)} \\ &= \frac{k}{L} S_e^{2e}(\beta T_{2e}^{2d}(\delta_1)) = \frac{k}{L} S_e^{2e}(\beta) = \frac{k}{L}(\beta - \beta^{p^e}). \end{aligned}$$

By the way, since $\beta \in \ker(T_l^{2l}) \cap \mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}} = S_e^{2e}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}})$ (Theorem 1), it holds $\beta + \beta^{p^e} = 0$ and thus we get

$$S_l^k(S_d^{2d}(\beta\delta_1)) = \frac{2k}{L}\beta.$$

That is,

$$S_l^k(y_0 + \frac{L}{2k} S_d^{2d}((a - S_l^k(y_0))\delta_1)) = a.$$

If $\frac{n}{d} = \frac{[n,k]}{k}$ is odd, then by Theorem 3, S_l^k is a permutation on \mathbb{F}_{p^n} and the equation $S_l^k(X) = a$ has a unique \mathbb{F}_{p^n} -solution. In fact, by successive applications of Item 2 of Lemma 1 we have

$$S_l^k(T_l^{2l} \circ S_k^{[n,k]}(a/2)) = T_k^{2k} \circ S_k^{[n,k]}(a/2) = T_{[n,k]}^{2[n,k]}(a/2) = a.$$

□

5 Conclusion

We explicitly determined the sets of preimages of linearized polynomials

$$T_l^k(X) := \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{k}{l}-1} X^{p^{li}},$$

$$S_l^k(X) := \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{k}{l}-1} (-1)^i X^{p^{li}},$$

over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ and over the finite field \mathbb{F}_{p^n} for any characteristic p and any integer $n \geq 1$. In particular, another solution for the case $p = 2$ that was solved very recently in [7] was obtained by Theorem 1 and Theorem 4.

References

1. I. Blake, G. Seroussi and N. Smart. Elliptic Curves in Cryptography. Number 265 in London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
2. C. Carlet. Boolean Functions for Cryptography and Error Correcting Codes. Chapter of the monography *Boolean Models and Methods in Mathematics, Computer Science, and Engineering*, Y. Crama and P. Hammer eds, Cambridge University Press, pp. 257-397, 2010.
3. C. Carlet. Vectorial Boolean Functions for Cryptography. Chapter of the monography *Boolean Models and Methods in Mathematics, Computer Science, and Engineering*, Y. Crama and P. Hammer eds, Cambridge University Press, pp. 398-469, 2010.
4. B. Csajbók. Scalar q -subresultants and Dickson matrices. *Journal of Algebra*, Vol. 547, pp. 116-128, 2020.
5. B. Csajbók, G. Marino, O. Polverino and F. Zullo. A characterization of linearized polynomials with maximum kernel. *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, 56: pp. 109 -130, 2019.
6. G. McGuire and J. Sheekey. A characterization of the number of roots of linearized and projective polynomials in the field of coefficients. *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, 57: pp. 68 -91, 2019.
7. S. Mesnager, K.H. Kim, J.H. Choe, D.N. Lee and D.S. Go. Solving $x + x^{2^l} + \dots + x^{2^{ml}} = a$ over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . *Cryptography and Communications*. To appear. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12095-020-00425-3>

8. O. Polverino, and F. Zullo. On the number of roots of some linearized polynomials. arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.00802 (2019).
9. B. Wu and Z. Liu. Linearized polynomials over finite fields revisited. *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, 22: pp. 79 -100, 2013.
10. C. Zanella A condition for scattered linearized polynomials involving Dickson matrices. *Journal of Geometry* 110 (3):50, DOI: 10.1007/s00022-019-0505-z, 2019.