

# Faster point compression for elliptic curves of $j$ -invariant 0

Dmitrii Koshelev

Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines University, France  
Infotecs, Russia

Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Russia

`dimitri.koshelev@gmail.com`

<https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Dimitri-Koshelev>

## Abstract

The article provides a new double point compression method (to  $2\lceil\log_2(q)\rceil + 4$  bits) for an elliptic curve  $E_b: y^2 = x^3 + b$  of  $j$ -invariant 0 over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . More precisely, we obtain explicit simple formulas transforming the coordinates  $x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1$  of two points  $P_0, P_1 \in E_b(\mathbb{F}_q)$  to some two elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with four auxiliary bits. In order to recover (in the decompression stage) the points  $P_0, P_1$  it is proposed to extract a sixth root  $\sqrt[6]{Z} \in \mathbb{F}_q$  of some element  $Z \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . It is known that for  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{27}$  this can be implemented by means of just one exponentiation in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Therefore the new compression method seems to be much faster than the classical one with the coordinates  $x_0, x_1$ , whose decompression stage requires two exponentiations in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We also successfully adapt the new approach for compressing one  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -point on a curve  $E_b$  with  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ .

**Keywords:** finite fields, pairing-based cryptography, elliptic curves of  $j$ -invariant 0, point compression.

## 1 Introduction

In many protocols of elliptic cryptography one needs a *compression method* for points of an elliptic curve  $E$  over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of characteristic  $p$ . This is done for quick transmission of the information over a communication channel or for its compact storage in a memory. There exists a classical method, which considers an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -point on  $E \subset \mathbb{A}_{(x,y)}^2$  as the  $x$ -coordinate with one auxiliary bit to uniquely recover the  $y$ -coordinate by solving the quadratic equation over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

Consider an elliptic curve  $E_b: y^2 = x^3 + b$  for  $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , which is of  $j$ -invariant 0. Ordinary curves of such the form have become very useful in

elliptic cryptography, especially in *pairing-based cryptography* [1]. This is due to the existence of (maximally possible) degree 6 twists for them, leading to faster pairing computation [1, §3.3]. One of the latest reviews of standards, commercial products and libraries for this type of cryptography is given in [2, §5]. Today, the most popular choice for the 128-bit security level is the so-called Barreto-Lynn-Scott  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -curve BLS12-381 [3], where  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $p \equiv 10 \pmod{27}$ , and  $\lceil \log_2(p) \rceil = 381$ .

The simultaneous compression of two points  $(x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1)$  from  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  (so-called *double point compression*) is also an important task. It occurs, for example, in pairing-based protocols of succinct *non-interactive zero-knowledge proof (NIZK)*. One of the most notable recent works in this field is [4].

Double point compression has already been discussed in [5] not only for  $j(E) = 0$ , but in a slightly different way. In that article authors do not try to compress points as compact as possible. Instead, they find formulas transforming the coordinates  $x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1$  to some three elements of the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The advantage of their approach is the speed, because it should not solve any equations in the decompression stage.

By virtue of [6, Example V.4.4] the ordinariness of the curve  $E_b$  means that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  or, equivalently,  $\omega := \sqrt[3]{1} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , where  $\omega \neq 1$ . There is on  $E_b$  the order 6 automorphism  $[-\omega]: (x, y) \mapsto (\omega x, -y)$ . Consider the geometric quotient  $GK'_b := E_b^2/[-\omega]^{\times 2}$ , which is an example of so-called *generalized Kummer surface* [7, §1.3].

Our double compression is based on  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rationality of  $GK'_b$ , which is almost obvious (see §3). This concept of algebraic geometry means that for almost all (in some topological sense) points of  $GK'_b$  their compression (and subsequent decompression) can be accomplished by computing some rational functions defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . To recover the original point belonging to  $E_b^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  from a given  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -point on  $GK'_b$  we find an inverse image of the natural map  $E_b^2 \rightarrow GK'_b$  of degree 6. Since  $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , it is a *Kummer map*, that is the field  $\mathbb{F}_q(E_b^2)$  is generated by a sixth root of some rational function from  $\mathbb{F}_q(GK'_b)$ .

In the article [7] the author solves a similar task (almost in the same way), namely the compression task of points from  $E_b(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ , where  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ,  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ . Its actuality for pairing-based cryptography is explained in the introduction of [7]. There we use so-called *Weil restriction (descent)*  $R_b$  of  $E_b$  with respect to the extension  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q$  (see [8, Chapter 7]). For this  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -surface we have  $R_b(\mathbb{F}_q) = E_b(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ . Besides, the map  $[-\omega]$  is naturally induced to the order 6 automorphism  $[-\omega]_2: R_b \xrightarrow{\sim} R_b$ .

We next consider the generalized Kummer surface  $GK_b := R_b/[\omega]_2$  under

the order 3 automorphism  $[\omega]_2 := ([-\omega]_2)^2$ . In order to prove  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rationality of  $GK_b$  we use quite complicated algebraic geometry (unlike  $GK'_b$ ). In accordance with [9, §8] from  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rationality of  $GK_b$  it follows  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rationality of the generalized Kummer surface  $R_b/[-\omega]_2 \simeq_{\mathbb{F}_q} GK_b/[-1]$ . However, this fact does not provide explicit formulas of a birational  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -isomorphism  $R_b/[-\omega]_2 \simeq \mathbb{A}^2$ . Nevertheless, such formulas can be easily derived in the same way as for  $GK'_b$  (for details see §4).

## 2 Double compression

For the sake of generality we will consider any pair of elliptic  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -curves of  $j$ -invariant 0, but for  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , i.e.,  $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Namely, for  $i = 0, 1$  let  $E_i: y_i^2 = x_i^3 + b_i$ , that is  $E_{b_i}$  in our old notation. These curves are isomorphic at most over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^6}$  by the map

$$\varphi: E_0 \simeq E_1, \quad (x_0, y_0) \mapsto (\sqrt[3]{\beta}x_0, \sqrt{\beta}y_0),$$

where  $\beta := b_1/b_0$ . Also, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}/6$  let  $\varphi_k := \varphi \circ [-\omega]^k = [-\omega]^k \circ \varphi$ . Finally,

$$S_i := \{(x_i, y_i) \in E_i \mid x_i y_i = 0\} \cup \{(0 : 1 : 0)\} \subset E_i[2] \cup E_i[3],$$

$$S := E_0 \times S_1 \cup S_0 \times E_1.$$

Using the fractions

$$X := \frac{x_0}{x_1}, \quad Y := \frac{y_0}{y_1},$$

we obtain the compression map

$$\text{com}: (E_0 \times E_1)(\mathbb{F}_q) \setminus S \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/6 \times \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

$$\text{com}(P_0, P_1) := \begin{cases} (X, Y, n, 0) & \text{if } \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}/6: \varphi_k(P_0) \neq P_1, \\ (x_0, y_0, k, 1) & \text{if } \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}/6: \varphi_k(P_0) = P_1, \end{cases}$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}/6$  is the position number of the element  $z := x_1 y_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  in the set  $\{(-1)^i \omega^j z\}_{i=0, j=0}^{1,2}$  ordered with respect to some order in  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ . For example, in the case  $q = p$  this can be the usual numerical one.

Note that the condition  $\varphi_k(P_0) = P_1$  is possible only if the isomorphism  $\varphi$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , that is  $\sqrt[6]{\beta} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Finally, if it is necessary, points from  $S(\mathbb{F}_q)$  can be separately worked out, using few additional bits. However they do not arise in practice, because, as is well known, from  $E_i(\mathbb{F}_q)$  points of large prime order are only utilized for security reasons.

### 3 Double decompression

Let  $u := x_1^3$ ,  $v := y_1^2$ , and  $Z := u^2v^3 = z^6$ . Since  $x_0 = Xx_1$ , we have  $x_0^3 = X^3u$ . Hence

$$Y^2 = \frac{y_0^2}{y_1^2} = \frac{x_0^3 + b_0}{x_1^3 + b_1} = \frac{X^3u + b_0}{u + b_1}$$

and

$$u = \frac{b_0 - b_1Y^2}{Y^2 - X^3}, \quad v = u + b_1.$$

Using the number  $n \in \mathbb{Z}/6$ , we can extract the original sixth root

$$z = x_1y_1 = \sqrt[3]{u}\sqrt{v} = \sqrt[6]{Z} = \sqrt[3]{\sqrt{Z}}.$$

For  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{27}$  according to [1, §5.1.7], [10, §4]

$$a := \sqrt{Z} = \pm Z^{\frac{q+1}{4}}, \quad \sqrt[3]{a} = \theta a^e, \quad \text{hence} \quad z = \pm \theta Z^{e\frac{q+1}{4}}$$

for some  $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ ,  $\theta^9 = 1$  and  $e \in \mathbb{Z}/(q-1)$ . Besides,  $e$  has an explicit simple expression depending only on  $q$ . In the case  $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ , moreover,  $\theta^3 = 1$ . In the opposite case a suitable  $\theta$  can be found with the help of at most two supplementary multiplications of  $Z^{e\frac{q+1}{4}}$  by representatives of the quotient group  $\mu_9/\mu_3$ .

We eventually obtain the equalities

$$x_1 = f_n(X, Y) := \frac{uv}{z^2}, \quad y_1 = g_n(X, Y) := \frac{z}{x_1}$$

making sense when the denominator of  $u$  is not zero, i.e.,  $Y^2 \neq X^3$ . Otherwise

$$\frac{x_0^3 + b_0}{x_1^3 + b_1} = \frac{x_0^3}{x_1^3} \Leftrightarrow b_0x_1^3 = b_1x_0^3 \Leftrightarrow \exists j \in \mathbb{Z}/3: x_1 = \omega^j \sqrt[3]{\beta}x_0.$$

This means that  $\varphi_k(P_0) = P_1$  for  $k \in \{j, j+3\}$ .

Thus the decompression map has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \text{com}^{-1}: \text{Im}(\text{com}) &\simeq (E_0 \times E_1)(\mathbb{F}_q) \setminus S, \\ \text{com}^{-1}(t, s, m, \text{bit}) &= \begin{cases} (tf_m, sg_m, f_m, g_m) & \text{if } \text{bit} = 0, \\ ((t, s), \varphi_m(t, s)) & \text{if } \text{bit} = 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_m := f_m(t, s)$ ,  $g_m := g_m(t, s)$ .

## 4 Compression-decompression over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$

Our approach still works well for compressing  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -points on the curve  $E_b: y^2 = x^3 + b$ , where  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$  and  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  as earlier. For simplicity we also suppose that  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , i.e.,  $i := \sqrt{-1} \notin \mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $b = b_0 + b_1i$  (such that  $b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ) and

$$x = x_0 + x_1i, \quad y = y_0 + y_1i, \quad z := x_1y_1, \quad X := \frac{x_0}{x_1}, \quad Y := \frac{y_0}{y_1}.$$

Due to [7, Remark 2] the elements  $b_0, b_1 \neq 0$  in practice, hence let us assume this condition, to be definite. We will focus on general  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -points, that is on those outside the set

$$S := \{(x, y) \in E_b(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \mid x_0y_0x_1y_1 = 0\} \cup \{(0 : 1 : 0)\}.$$

Consider the equations

$$R_b = \begin{cases} y_0^2 - y_1^2 = \rho_1(x_0, x_1) := x_0^3 - 3x_0x_1^2 + b_0, \\ 2y_0y_1 = \rho_i(x_0, x_1) := -x_1^3 + 3x_0^2x_1 + b_1 \end{cases} \subset \mathbb{A}_{(x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1)}^4$$

of the Weil restriction  $R_b := R_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q}(E_b)$  (cf. [7, §1.2.1]). Similarly as in §3 we obtain the formulas (verified in [11])

$$u := x_1^3 = \frac{2b_0Y - b_1\gamma(Y)}{\alpha(X)\gamma(Y) - 2\beta(X)Y}, \quad v := y_1^2 = \frac{\beta(X)u + b_0}{\gamma(Y)},$$

where

$$\alpha(X) := 3X^2 - 1, \quad \beta(X) := X(X^2 - 3), \quad \gamma(Y) := Y^2 - 1.$$

We eventually obtain the equalities

$$x_1 = f_n(X, Y) := \frac{uv}{z^2}, \quad y_1 = g_n(X, Y) := \frac{z}{x_1},$$

where  $z$  is computed as a sixth root of  $Z := u^2v^3$  and the index  $n \in \mathbb{Z}/6$  plays the same role as in §2, §3.

It remains to handle degenerate cases. It is readily checked (e.g., in [11]) that

$$\alpha(X)\gamma(Y) - 2\beta(X)Y = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad F := b_1x_0^3 - 3b_0x_0^2x_1 - 3b_1x_0x_1^2 + b_0x_1^3 = 0,$$

$$\gamma(Y) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad y_1 = \pm y_0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x_1 = h_\ell(x_0) := \sqrt{\frac{x_0^3 + b_0}{3x_0}},$$

where  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}/2$  is the position number of  $x_1$  among  $\pm x_1$  with respect to some order in  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ . For example, in the case  $q = p$  this can be the usual numerical one, that is  $\ell = 1$  if and only if  $x_1 > (p - 1)/2$ .

The polynomial  $F$  is the homogenization of one from [7, §1.3.1]. Therefore  $F$  is decomposed over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  into linear  $L$  and irreducible quadratic  $Q$  homogeneous polynomials. Of course,  $Q$  is the product of two different  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -conjugate linear factors having the unique common point  $(0, 0)$ . As a result,  $F(x_0, x_1) = 0$  if and only if  $L(x_0, x_1) = 0$  whenever  $(x_0, x_1) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$ . Since  $b_0, b_1 \neq 0$ , we see that (up to a constant)  $L = -cx_0 + x_1$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . For instance, in the case  $b_0 = b_1$  (including the  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ -curve BLS12-381) we have  $c = -1$  and  $Q = b_0(x_0^2 - 4x_0x_1 + x_1^2)$  (cf. [7, §3.1]).

The compression map is given as follows:

$$\text{com}: E_b(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus S \quad \hookrightarrow \quad \mathbb{F}_q^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/6 \times \mathbb{Z}/3,$$

$$\text{com}(x, y) := \begin{cases} (x_0, y_0, 0, 0) & \text{if } x_1 = cx_0, \\ (x_0, y_0, 2k + \ell, 1) & \text{if } y_1 = (-1)^k y_0, \\ (X, Y, n, 2) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}/2$  and  $2k + \ell \in \mathbb{Z}/4$ . Be careful that here  $\mathbb{Z}/j$  (for  $j \in \{2, 4, 6\}$ ) denotes only the set (without the group structure) of the first  $j$  non-negative integers and  $+$  is the addition in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

The corresponding decompression map has the form

$$\text{com}^{-1}: \text{Im}(\text{com}) \quad \xrightarrow{\simeq} \quad E_b(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus S,$$

$$\text{com}^{-1}(t, s, m, bits) = \begin{cases} \left( (t, s, ct, \frac{\rho_i(t, ct)}{2s}) \right) & \text{if } bits = 0, \\ (t, s, h_\ell(t), (-1)^k s) & \text{if } bits = 1, \\ (tf_m, sg_m, f_m, g_m) & \text{if } bits = 2, \end{cases}$$

where  $f_m := f_m(t, s)$ ,  $g_m := g_m(t, s)$ . In order not to complicate the exposition we leave to the reader to process the remaining simple cases when at least one of the coordinates  $x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1$  is zero.

## 5 Complexity comparison

Tables 1, 2 display the worst-case complexity in terms of the number of the most cumbersome operations in the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The inversion (resp. exponen-

	two $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points	one $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -point
compression	there's nothing to do	
decompression	2 exp.	1 inv., 1 Legendre symbol, 2 exp. [1, Algorithm 5.18]

Table 1: Worst-case complexity of the classical method with  $x$ -coordinate(s)

	two $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points	one $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -point
compression	2 inv.	2 inv.
decompression	3 inv., 1 exp.	4 inv., 1 exp.

Table 2: Worst-case complexity of the new method

tiation) operation is indicated as inv. (resp. exp.) for the sake of compactness.

Although the new point compression-decompression method contains a little more inversions than the classical one, this does not significantly affect the performance for  $q$  of a cryptographic size. The point is that compression is mainly applied to public data, which are not vulnerable to timing attacks [1, §8.2.2, §12.1.1]. Therefore all inversions (as well as the Legendre symbol) can be safely implemented via (an algorithm very close to) the extended Euclidean one (see, e.g., [1, §5.1.6, Algorithm 2.3]). And the latter is much faster than a general exponentiation in  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$  even if an exponent is fixed and of small Hamming weight. A good survey of the exponentiation technique (not necessarily in  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ ) is represented in [8, Chapter 9].

## 6 Extension of the compression technique

At least theoretically, pairing-based cryptography also deals with the elliptic  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -curves  $E_a: y^2 = x^3 + ax$  of  $j$ -invariant 1728, where  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . According to [1, Example 2.28] the latter condition is necessary for the ordinarity of  $E_a$ . Our technique remains valid for compressing  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points of  $E_a^2$  (if  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ ) and  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -points of  $E_a$ , because there is on  $E_a$  the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -automorphism  $[i]: (x, y) \mapsto (-x, iy)$  of order 4. However in the second case one needs to remember that  $\{1, i\}$  is obviously no longer a basis of the extension  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q$ .

Further, given  $m > 2$  it is very natural to think about compressing points from  $E_b^m(\mathbb{F}_q)$  or  $E_a^m(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , where  $b, a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . This so-called *multiple point compression* is discussed in [12] by analogy with double one in [5]. If  $m$  is large, then that approach is expected to be the best trade-off between compactness and efficiency of compression-decompression stages. In turn, one can try to generalize the idea of this article to other small values  $m$ .

As is known [13, §1], for  $m > 6$  (resp.  $m > 4$ ) the *generalized Kummer variety*  $GK'_{b,m} := E_b^m/[-\omega]^{\times m}$  (resp.  $GK'_{a,m} := E_a^m/[i]^{\times m}$ ) is no longer rational even over the algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ . Nevertheless, for  $b = -1$  the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rationality of  $GK'_{b,3}$  is proved in [14, §2] and for  $a = -1$  the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rationality of  $GK'_{a,3}$  is shown in [15], based on [16]. The geometrical rationality of  $GK'_{b,4}$ ,  $GK'_{b,5}$  is conjectured in [13, Questions 1.3, 1.4].

It turns out that the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -formulas of a birational isomorphism  $GK'_{b,3} \simeq \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^3$ , derived in [14, §2] for  $b = -1$ , are immediately extended to  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -formulas for any  $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . In turn, the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -formulas of [16], established for  $a = -1$ , are also valid for any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  and hence the proof of [15] is so. Although the latter does not provide explicit formulas for  $GK'_{a,3} \simeq \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^3$ , in our view, such  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -formulas can be obtained if desired.

In pairing-based cryptography the embedding degree  $k$  (see, e.g., [1, §1.2.3]) will probably exceed in the near future the value 12, which is popular today for the 128-bit security level. Therefore we will have to use elliptic curve twists (of degree  $d \in \{6, 4\}$ ) defined over the field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ , where  $m = k/d \in \mathbb{N}_{>2}$ . Thus given  $b, a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^*$  the compression task of points from  $E_b(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$  or  $E_a(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$  is quite important.

More formally, introduce the order 6 automorphism  $[-\omega]_m := \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}/\mathbb{F}_q}([-\omega])$  on the Weil restriction  $R_{b,m} := \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}/\mathbb{F}_q}(E_b)$ . Similarly,  $[i]_m := \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}/\mathbb{F}_q}([i])$  is an order 4 automorphism on the Weil restriction  $R_{a,m} := \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}/\mathbb{F}_q}(E_a)$ . As is well known [8, §7.3], there are  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ -isomorphisms  $\psi_{b,m}: R_{b,m} \xrightarrow{\simeq} E_b^m$  and  $\psi_{a,m}: R_{a,m} \xrightarrow{\simeq} E_a^m$ . Moreover, it is readily checked that

$$[-\omega]^m \circ \psi_{b,m} = \psi_{b,m} \circ [-\omega]_m, \quad [i]^m \circ \psi_{a,m} = \psi_{a,m} \circ [i]_m.$$

Hence in view of the above, it is sufficient to focus on  $m = 3$ . In our opinion, the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rationality questions of  $R_{b,3}/[-\omega]_3$  and  $R_{a,3}/[i]_3$  seem difficult, but solvable.

## 7 Acknowledgements

The author expresses his deep gratitude to his scientific advisor M. Tsfasman.

## 8 Funding

This work was supported by a public grant as part of the FMJH project.

## References

- [1] El Mrabet N., Joye M., *Guide to Pairing-Based Cryptography*, Cryptography and Network Security Series, Chapman and Hall/CRC, New York, 2016.
- [2] Sakemi Y., Kobayashi T., Saito T., Wahby R., *Pairing-friendly curves*, 2021, IETF draft.
- [3] Bowe S., *BLS12-381: New zk-SNARK elliptic curve construction*, Zcash Company blog: <https://z.cash/blog/new-snark-curve/>, 2017.
- [4] Groth J., “On the size of pairing-based non-interactive arguments”, Eurocrypt 2016, LNCS, **9665**, eds. Fischlin M., Coron J.-S., Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2016, 305–326.
- [5] Khabbazian M., Gulliver T., Bhargava V., “Double point compression with applications to speeding up random point multiplication”, *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, **56:3** (2007), 305–313.
- [6] Silverman J., *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **106**, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [7] Koshelev D., “New point compression method for elliptic  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -curves of  $j$ -invariant 0”, *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, **69** (2021), Article 101774.
- [8] Cohen H. et al., *Handbook of elliptic and hyperelliptic curve cryptography*, Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications, **34**, Chapman and Hall/CRC, New York, 2005.
- [9] Liedtke C., “Algebraic surfaces in positive characteristic”, *Birational Geometry, Rational Curves, and Arithmetic*, Simons Symposia, eds. Bogomolov F., Hassett B., Tschinkel Y., Springer, New York, 2013, 229–292.
- [10] Cho G. et al., “New cube root algorithm based on the third order linear recurrence relations in finite fields”, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, **75:3** (2015), 483–495.
- [11] Koshelev D., *Magma code*, <https://github.com/dishport/Faster-point-compression-for-elliptic-curves-of-j-invariant-0>, 2021.
- [12] Fan X., Otemissov A., Sica F., Sidorenko A., “Multiple point compression on elliptic curves”, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, **83:3** (2017), 565–588.
- [13] Catanese F., Oguiso K., Verra A., “On the unirationality of higher dimensional Ueno-type manifolds”, *Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, **60:3** (2015), 337–353.
- [14] Oguiso K., Truong T., “Explicit examples of rational and Calabi–Yau threefolds with primitive automorphisms of positive entropy”, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences, the University of Tokyo*, **22** (2015), 361–385.
- [15] Colliot-Thélène J.-L., “Rationalité d’un fibré en coniques”, *Manuscripta Mathematica*, **147:3** (2015), 305–310.
- [16] Catanese F., Oguiso K., Truong T., “Unirationality of Ueno-Campana’s threefold”, *Manuscripta Mathematica*, **145:3** (2014), 399–406.