STEELLAR: A Generic EM Side-Channel Attack Protection through Ground-Up Root-cause Analysis
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Abstract—The threat of side-channels is becoming increasingly prominent for resource-constrained internet-connected devices. While numerous power side-channel countermeasures have been proposed, a promising approach to protect the non-invasive electromagnetic side-channel attacks has been relatively scarce. Today’s availability of high-resolution electromagnetic (EM) probes mandates the need for a low-overhead solution to protect EM side-channel analysis (SCA) attacks. This work, for the first time, performs a white-box analysis to root-cause the origin of the EM leakage from an integrated circuit. System-level EM simulations with Intel 32 nm CMOS technology interconnect stack, as an example, reveals that the EM leakage from metals above layer 8 can be detected by an external non-invasive attacker with the commercially available state-of-the-art EM probes. Equipped with this ‘white-box’ understanding, this work proposes STELLAR: Signature aTtenuation Embedded CRYPTO with Low-Level metAl Routing, which is a two-stage solution to eliminate the critical signal radiation from the higher-level metal layers. Firstly, we propose routing the entire cryptographic core within the local lower-level metal layers, whose leakage cannot be picked up by an external attacker. Then, the entire crypto IP is embedded within a Signature Attenuation Hardware (SAH) which in turn suppresses the critical encryption signature before it routes the current signature to the highly radiating top-level metal layers. System-level implementation of the STELLAR hardware with local lower-level metal routing in TSMC 65 nm CMOS technology, with an AES-128 encryption engine (as an example cryptographic block) operating at 40 MHz, shows that the system remains secure against EM SCA attack even after 1M encryptions, with 67% energy efficiency and 1.23× area overhead compared to the unprotected AES.

Index Terms—EM Side-channel attack, Generic countermeasure, Ground-up EM Leakage Modeling, Cryptographic hardware, STELLAR, Signature Attenuation Hardware

I. INTRODUCTION

The huge growth of internet-connected devices has led to the development of strong and mathematically-secure cryptographic algorithms. Almost all embedded devices including mobile phones and smart cards employ encryption engines. However, unfortunately these algorithms are implemented on a physical platform, and these physical CMOS-based devices leak information in the form of power consumption [1], [2], electromagnetic (EM) emanations [3], [4], acoustic vibrations [5] or the timing of encryption operations [6]. These side-channel leakage information can be exploited by attackers to extract the secret key from an encryption device.

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under Grant CNS 17-19235, and in part by Intel Corporation.

A. Preliminaries

The EM analysis attack is a prominent non-invasive side-channel attack (SCA) on cryptographic ICs and has been demonstrated over the last decade [7], [8]. The EM analysis attack is typically performed in two phases. In the first phase, the attacker collects the EM emanations using an EM probe optionally connected to a low-noise amplifier (LNA) placed in the vicinity of the encryption device under attack. In the second phase, the collected EM traces are subjected to simple (SEMA) or differential EM analysis (DEMA) [9] to extract the secret key of the encryption device.

Figure 1 shows how a EM side-channel attack is performed. Initially, the EM emanations of the device performing encryption is measured in an oscilloscope or a high-resolution analog-to-digital converter (ADC), and the EM traces (T) are collected over varying input plain-texts for the same secret key. Next, for a correlational EM analysis (CEMA) [10], a hypothetical EM leakage model like the Hamming distance matrix (H) is built which contains the expected EM leakage of the device performing a particular operation during encryption (like the S-box operation in the first round of AES), over the given plain-texts with all possible key bytes. This reduces the key search space of the AES-128 to $2^{256}$ possibilities for each byte of the secret key. Finally the correlation coefficient ($\rho_{TH}$) between the EM hypothesis (H) and the obtained traces (T) is calculated over time. One significant advantage of CEMA (or, CPA for power analysis) is that the precise knowledge of the time instance when the targeted operation occurs is not required, since $\rho_{TH}$ can be calculated.
at each sampling point of the trace. The key byte showing the maximum correlation represents the correct key byte. Repeating the process 16 times reveals the entire 128 bits of the secret key.

Real-world examples of EM SCA include the counterfeiting of e-cigarette batteries by stealing the secret encryption keys from the authentic batteries to gain market share. In general, electromagnetic analysis attacks can be used to extract the hidden key from the boot-loader of any embedded VLSI device [7], [8], [11].

B. Motivation

Power and EM SCA attacks on encryption ICs have gained tremendous importance over the last decade [12], [13], [11], [14]. Although researchers have mainly focused on countermeasures against power SCA, preventing EM attacks is gaining more prominence in the present era of IoT, due to the availability of commercial inexpensive EM probes. Being in the close proximity of the encryption device, the EM side-channel leakage can be captured non-invasively using low-cost EM probes, in contrast to the requirement for physical probing in power analysis attacks. Hence, a low-overhead generic countermeasure that can be commonly utilized for both power and EM side-channel resilience is extremely necessary.

This work performs a ground-up analysis to root-cause the origin of the EM leakage in an integrated circuit (IC). After identifying the source of the EM leakage, we investigate the existing state-of-the-art power and EM SCA countermeasures that can be utilized for protecting the cryptographic IC. Among the existing countermeasures, the recently proposed Attenuated Signature Noise Injection (ASNI) [15] is a generic and low-overhead solution to protect against power SCA. In this work, we propose STELLAR: Signature aTtenuation EMBEDded CRYPTO with Low-Level metAl Routing, utilizing Signature Attenuating Hardware (SAH) to embed the entire cryptographic IP of an electronic system with local low-level metal routing and thereby significantly attenuate the signature before it reaches the top metal layers of the chip, which leaks critical information through EM side-channels.

In this article, as an application of the proposed countermeasure, we focus on a 128-bit AES engine. Correlational EM analysis (CEMA) with Hamming distance (HD) model [10] is employed for the attack.

C. Contribution

Specific contributions of this paper are:

- To eliminate the critical signature radiation from the higher-level metal layers, a two-stage solution, named STELLAR, is proposed. (1) Electromagnetic field Suppression: The cryptographic IP is routed through the local lower-level metal layers, reducing EM leakage. However, due to its high routing resistance, low-level routing could only be local and cannot be routed to the metal pads of the chip. This calls for the (2) Signature Suppression: The encryption signature needs to be highly suppressed, using local circuitry that embeds the sensitive CRYPTO block inside it, before the current signature is routed to the global higher metal layers. A combined effect of Local EM field Suppression and the Global Signature Suppression is the key to minimizing EM side-channel leakage.
- In order to suppress the AES encryption (or the whole cryptographic core in general) signature, STELLAR utilizes a Signature Attenuating Hardware (SAH), such as Attenuated Signature Noise Injection (ASNI) [15], to attenuate the correlated AES current signature significantly before it reaches the higher metal layers, thereby enabling EM as well as power SCA immunity.
- CEMA attacks implemented on the STELLAR-AES with local lower-level metal routing show that none of the secret key blocks have been disclosed even with $1M$ traces (Minimum Traces to Disclosure (MTD) > $1M$), with only $1.23 \times$ area overhead, $1.5 \times$ power overhead compared to the unprotected AES, and moreover, without any performance penalty.

II. BACKGROUND & RELATED WORK

Our EM SCA attack set-up involves a target Atmega microcontroller (using the Chipwhisperer platform) [16] running AES-128 encryption, and the EM field is picked up by a nearby EM probe connected to a low-noise amplifier (LNA) and captured using an oscilloscope. The oscilloscope data is then downloaded to a PC wherein the correlation EM analysis (CEMA) is performed to reveal each byte of the secret key. As

- Repeating the process 16 times reveals the entire 128 bits of

- To eliminate the critical signature radiation from the higher-level metal layers, a two-stage solution, named STELLAR, is proposed. (1) Electromagnetic field Suppression: The cryptographic IP is routed through the local lower-level metal layers, reducing EM leakage. However, due to its high routing resistance, low-level routing could only be local and cannot be routed to the metal pads of the chip. This calls for the (2) Signature Suppression: The encryption signature needs to be highly suppressed, using local circuitry that embeds the sensitive CRYPTO block inside it, before the current signature is routed to the global higher metal layers. A combined effect of Local EM field Suppression and the Global Signature Suppression is the key to minimizing EM side-channel leakage.
- In order to suppress the AES encryption (or the whole cryptographic core in general) signature, STELLAR utilizes a Signature Attenuating Hardware (SAH), such as Attenuated Signature Noise Injection (ASNI) [15], to attenuate the correlated AES current signature significantly before it reaches the higher metal layers, thereby enabling EM as well as power SCA immunity.
- CEMA attacks implemented on the STELLAR-AES with local lower-level metal routing show that none of the secret key blocks have been disclosed even with $1M$ traces (Minimum Traces to Disclosure (MTD) > $1M$), with only $1.23 \times$ area overhead, $1.5 \times$ power overhead compared to the unprotected AES, and moreover, without any performance penalty.
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Fig. 2. Correlation EM Side-channel attack on the Atmega microcontroller running AES-128. (a) The EM traces gathered from the oscilloscope, (b) CEMA attack on the unprotected AES core shows $MTD < 600$ traces.
seen from Figure 2(a, b), all the 16 key bytes of the AES-128 implementation can be obtained within < 600 traces, thereby breaking the security of this system. Although this is a basic example to prove the feasibility of EM SCA, it demonstrates the potency of EM SCA attacks on electronic systems.

A. Literature Review: Black Box Approach

Several EM side-channel attacks have been demonstrated over the last few years. In CHES 2002 [17], it was first shown that the EM spectrum could be sensed to perform SCA. There have been few works to scan the EM emissions of integrated circuits in time-domain [18]. Lomne et al. [19] proposed a modeling of magnetic emissions from ICs using Redhawk. Recently, Kumar et al. [20] proposed an efficient simulation set-up to perform EM SCA. However, most of these works focus on top-down modeling of EM emissions from a chip and consider the cryptographic IC as a black box. In CHES 2014 [21], the authors developed an on-chip sensor to detect an approaching probe. In addition, the development of highly sensitive EM probes [7] calls for a fundamental understanding of the characteristics of EM side-channel leakage from cryptographic ICs and trace the critical information-leakage sources in the current path.

Specific countermeasures proposed against EM SCA include signal strength reduction techniques like shielding or signal information reduction using noise insertion [17]. However, data randomization with noise injection comes with significant power overheads, and EM shielding incurs high cost of packaging [22] and is not a practical solution for most applications. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, none of these works have thoroughly investigated the root-cause of the EM leakage in a cryptographic IC.

B. Genesis of the EM Leakage: A White Box Approach

Although the source of leakage in the case of power analysis attack is well understood and analyzed [12], [23], [24], the origin of EM leakage in the context of side-channel security is still not well-perceived. In this work, we conceive a ground-up approach to analyze and root-cause the genesis of this EM side-channel leakage in a CMOS IC.

For an integrated CMOS-based circuit, in steady-state, there is no static current flowing through the circuit. However, the presence of stationary charges in the circuit give rise to electric fields \( \vec{E} \), as can be explained from Gauss’ Law \( \nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon} \). As the output of logic gates switches its state, moving charges (dynamic and leakage currents) create changing electric fields, which in turn produce magnetic fields (known as modified Ampere’s law: \( \nabla \times \vec{H} = \vec{J} + \epsilon \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \)). On the other hand, changing currents (acceleration of charges) produce time-changing magnetic flux, thereby inducing an electric field, which is known as the Faraday’s law \( \nabla \times \vec{E} = -\mu \frac{\partial \vec{H}}{\partial t} \). Note that \( \vec{E} \) represents the electric field in V/m, \( \vec{H} \) denotes the magnetic field intensity in A/m, \( \vec{J} \) represents the magnetic field intensity in Tesla (T), \( \vec{E} \) is the electric current density in A/m², \( \rho \) denotes the electric charge density in C/m³, \( \epsilon \) is the electric permittivity and \( \mu \) is the magnetic permeability of the medium.

The present day CMOS architecture consists of a cell-level transistor layer over a silicon substrate, and multiple layers of metal consisting of interconnects and vias [25]. Depending on different CMOS technologies, the number of total metal layers may vary. However, having more number of metal layers is important for integrated circuit design as it not only makes it easier for the circuit designer, but also reduces the area of the chip significantly as the layers are stacked on top of another. The highest metal layers available for a process are used as the power grid. Hence, any signal in the lower-level metal layers has to be routed to the topmost metal layer and through to the copper (Cu) bump, as shown in Figure 3(b).

As a result, any cell-level excitation is reflected as a time-varying current through the metal layer routings. The interconnects in the routing, due to the presence of this time-varying current, start functioning as antennas, and emit electromagnetic radiation. Now, the typical operating frequency \( f \) of industrial digital CMOS circuits lie in the 1-10 GHz range, which corresponds to a wavelength \( \lambda = \frac{c}{f} \), \( v \) denotes the speed of propagation of the EM waves and is equal to \( 3 \times 10^8 m/sec \), which is in the order of 30-300 mm, whereas the dimensions of the interconnects are usually three orders of magnitude lower, in the range of few micrometers. This type of excitation structure, where the length of the interconnects is much lower than the wavelength \( l \ll \lambda \), is analogous to infinitesimal dipoles in antenna theory [26]. For an infinitesimal dipole, the excitation frequency lies far away from the resonant frequency of the antenna, and hence the structure can be analyzed assuming a uniform current amplitude \( I_0 \) throughout its length. This is unlike a traditional

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Pitch(nm)</th>
<th>Thickness(nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metal 1</td>
<td>112.5</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal 2</td>
<td>112.5</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal 3</td>
<td>112.5</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal 4</td>
<td>168.8</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal 5</td>
<td>225.0</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal 6</td>
<td>337.6</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal 7</td>
<td>450.1</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal 8</td>
<td>566.5</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal 9</td>
<td>19.4 µm</td>
<td>8 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bump</td>
<td>145.9 µm</td>
<td>25.5 µm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Cross-Section of the Interconnect Stack (Intel 32 nm) [25], (a) Metal 1 through 8 (b) Includes metal 9 and the copper bump layer.
half wavelength ($\lambda/2$) dipole antenna, where the excitation frequency matches the antenna resonance, and the current distribution forms nodes and anti-nodes along the length of the antenna. Now, as the current distribution in an infinitesimal dipole is uniform, it can be intuitively broken down into unit elements, wherein each element contributes equally ($E_i$) towards the net radiated electric field amplitude $E_{rad}$. If $N$ is the number of elements, $E_{rad} = NE_i$, and as a matter of fact, $N$ is proportional to the dimensions of the radiation structure. As a result, the radiated field amplitude should have a linear dependence on the dimensions of the structure, e.g. if the length of the structure is $l$, $N \propto l$ and $E_{rad} \propto N$, so $E_{rad} \propto l$. The radiated power $P_{rad}$ would then be proportional to $l^2$. In fact, for infinitesimal dipoles, the radiated power can be shown to be proportional to $(l/\lambda)^2$, as given by Eqn. 1 [26],

$$P_{rad} = \eta \left( \frac{\pi}{3} \right) \left| I_0 l \right|^2$$

(1)

where $\eta = \sqrt{\mu/\epsilon}$.

Thus in essence, the time-varying electric and magnetic fields produce an EM wave during the switching activity of the logic and sequential circuits within an ASIC. A nearby attacker can pick up the radiated “side-channel” EM emissions and extract the secret key from the encryption engine using CEMA/DEMA. It is therefore essential to understand the origin and exact nature of the radiation from the metal layers in a chip to devise a design strategy in order to counter EM SCA. Also, the magnitude of the EM fields depends on the amount of current flowing in the circuit and the dimensions of the metal layer routings. In the next section, we discuss the modeling of the interconnect stack to analyze the effect of metal layer dimensions on the EM radiation signature.

III. MODELING E-FIELD EMANATION FROM METAL LAYERS IN MODERN CMOS PROCESS

As discussed in the previous section, the EM radiation from a CMOS IC primarily originates from the metal layer routings. To develop a better understanding of the situation, the net radiation can be split into contributions originating from each individual interconnect in the routing. A simple structure that can be used to analyze the radiation properties of different metal layers is a vertical stack of interconnects, joined by vias. We have chosen the dimensions of the interconnects in different layers following Intel’s 32 nm technology node as listed in Table I [27]. The cross-section of the targeted structure is shown in Figure 3, and the resulting model is shown in Figure 4. We use Ansoft HFSS, a finite element method (FEM) based EM simulator to solve Maxwell’s equations in the system. The excitation to the system is provided via a lumped port in HFSS between the bottom-most metal layer and a perfect electric conductor (PEC) plate functioning as a ground. This style of excitation is similar to the feed of a dipole antenna, and is justified due to the similarity of the system to an infinitesimal dipole, as described in the preceding section. The length of each interconnect layer is taken to be 3 $\mu$m. A sphere of radius 1 $\mu$m (represents close proximity of the attacker to the IC) enclosing the interconnect stack is used as the simulation region to limit the analysis within a finite volume. A radiation boundary is applied at the surface of the spherical region to eliminate reflection of incident radiation from the outer surface of the simulation region.

**Electric Field Analysis: Contribution of Metal Layers**

This interconnect stack system is excited at 1 GHz and the electric field amplitude is measured with distance from the structure. The far-field radiation pattern, as shown in Figure 5(a), is analogous to that of a dipole antenna [26], as postulated earlier. We repeat the simulation multiple times, eliminating the topmost metal layer in each subsequent run, and examine the decay of radiated electric field with distance for each structure, as shown in Figure 5(b). This allows us to estimate the radiation contribution of each individual metal layer. For example, the difference between the E-field amplitudes obtained for $M_{1-9}$ and $M_{1-8}$ provides an estimation of the radiation emanated from metal layer 9. Note that, for the interconnect stack model under consideration, H-field is negligible in the near field, while E-field is dominant. This is because, electrically activated plates dominate the E-
field in the near-field region, whereas current through loops creates more H-field in the near-field. Hence, for closed loop differential elements in a chip, H-field would be dominant in near-field which can be examined using similar analysis.

IV. E-FIELD LEAKAGE DETECTION FROM THE METAL LAYERS: SIMULATION RESULTS

To quantify the contribution of each layer at a particular distance from the probe \(D\), we utilize the simulated field amplitudes for the metal layer combination at \(D = 900\mu m\) (Figure 6) and compute the difference between adjacent traces. The individual contribution of the layers to the E-field show a strong linear correlation with the dimensions of the metal layers. This is shown in Figure 6(a, b) where E-field contributions of Metal Layers (M) are plotted against the thickness of those layers. The thickness of M increases by a factor of 16 compared to M, and this translates into a significant E-field contribution from M alone, as seen from Figure 6(c, d).

Evidently, the radiation from top-level metal layers in a CMOS IC is significantly higher compared to that from the lower levels. It is therefore imperative for an EM SCA countermeasure strategy to minimize the radiation from top-level metal layers, for excitations that originate from the cell-level. In fact, in this specific example of excitation model using the Intel 32 nm interconnect stack, if the radiation contribution from Metal Layer 9 (Intel 32 nm process) is eliminated, the net radiation at a distance of 900 \(\mu m\) drops below the sensitivity of commercially available E-field probes.

Accordingly, the detectable EM leakage from the metal layers can be formulated in terms of the noise floor \(N_{\text{oscilloscope}}\) of the oscilloscope, the transfer function of the radiated electric field \(E\) to the current \(I\) flowing through the interconnects for different metal layers \(M\) and the response of the E-field probe (Figure 7), as shown in Eqn. 2. Note that, \(E\) represents the electric field generated due to the AES current.

\[
i_{\text{AES}}(E_{i})_{M} \geq N_{\text{oscilloscope}} \quad (2)
\]

The total electric field measured by the external probe is the sum of contribution from the AES engine \(E_{\text{local}}\) and the unrelated logic \(E_{\text{global}}\) present in the circuit, as given in Eqn. 3. \(E_{\text{local}}\) is the electric field from the global chip routing, whereas \(E_{\text{local}}\) is from the local routing of the AES engine. Hence, typically, the AES engine is a small portion of the whole chip, that is, \(E_{\text{local}} \gg E_{\text{local}}\).

\[
E_{i} = E_{\text{local}} + E_{\text{global}} \quad (3)
\]

The E-field \(E_{i}\) is measurable as long as the output voltage from the E-field probe (depending on the \(V_{E}\) transfer function of the probe) is above the noise floor of the oscilloscope (typically, \(N_{\text{oscilloscope}}\) is \(-90\)dBm at 1 GHz). Hence, as seen in Figure 7(a, b), the detectable E-field is \(10mV/metre\), which means that E-field leakage from the metals up to the layer 8 in Intel 32 nm technology is not detectable.

It is to be noted that the analysis of the interconnect stack has been performed at 1 GHz where the EM probes have high sensitivity (Figure 7(a)), and hence encryption engines running at lower clock frequencies will have less detectable leakage as the probe sensitivity reduces at lower frequencies. Also, depending on the technology process, different metal layers may radiate above the detectable threshold. Based on these observations, we propose STELLAR around the crypto IP with local low-level metal routing, which attenuates the signature before it reaches the higher metal layers, and thus provides EM SCA immunity as discussed in the subsequent sections.

V. STELLAR: A LOW-OVERHEAD GENERIC COUNTERMEASURE AGAINST EM SCA

In the previous section, it has been shown that the source of measurable EM leakage are the topmost metal layers in a cryptographic IC. This is a very critical observation which forms the basis in developing a low-overhead countermeasure...
against EM SCA. Hence, our goal is to protect those higher metal layers from leaking sensitive information during the AES encryption operation.

In this regard, if we can somehow completely “shield” the top metal layer \( (M_0 \text{ for our example with Intel 32nm process}) \) by suppressing the encryption signature even before it reaches \( M_0 \), then there would be no detectable EM leakage from the encryption IC. Thus, solving the EM SCA problem is reduced to solving the power SCA problem in the lower-level metal layers, that is, suppressing the AES signature completely before it reaches the top-level metal layers. Keeping this in mind, we revisit the existing power SCA countermeasures.

Power SCA countermeasures include power balancing, hardware masking, noise injection, and supply isolation. Power balancing logic implementations involve sense-amplifier based logic (SABL) [30], dual-rail circuits [31], and wave dynamic differential logic (WDDL) [32].

Algorithmic masking is a logic-level countermeasure that involves replacing each logic operation with a sophisticated one to obfuscate the power consumption, leading to high power and area overheads \( (> 4 \times) \) [23], [33].

Physical countermeasures include noise injection, switched capacitors, integrated voltage regulators (IVRs), and attenuated signature noise injection (ASNI). Noise injection alone incurs very high power overheads \( (> 15 \times \text{ to achieve } MTD \text{ of } 50K) \) [13], [24] and is not an optimum solution. The switched capacitor current equalizer module proposed by Tokunaga et al. [34] is a novel technique against power SCA, however it resulted in a \( 2 \times \) performance degradation in addition to the \( 33\% \) power overhead. IVR-based implementations utilize traditional low-dropout regulators (LDOs) [35] and buck converters [36]. However, IVRs require large passives and thus consume \( > 2 \times \) power and area overheads, and use the bondwire inductances, which can leak critical information in the form of EM emissions. Hence, this IVR-based countermeasure cannot be directly used for protecting against EM SCA.

Recently, Attenuated Signature Noise Injection (ASNI) has been proposed as a low-overhead generic countermeasure against power SCA [15]. It embeds the AES engine in a Signature Attenuating Hardware (SAH) which highly suppresses the variations in the AES signature with significantly low overhead. As the AES signature gets attenuated by \( > 200 \times \), a very small noise injection can decorrelate the power traces so that the traces obtained by probing at the observable power pin of the encryption ASIC are independent of the AES transitions \( (MTD > 1M) \). ASNI reduces the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), both by strongly suppressing the signature, followed by tiny noise injection. However, ASNI is a power SCA countermeasure and does not constrain the placement or routing within the IC.

A. Background: Attenuated Signature Noise Injection (ASNI)

Figure 8 shows an overview of the ASNI circuit. The underlying idea of ASNI is to embed the encryption engine (AES) in a signature attenuating hardware (SAH), such that the variations in the AES current is highly suppressed and is not reflected in the supply current traces, thereby requiring significantly lower noise current injection to decorrelate the measured supply traces [15].

ASNI uses SAH to attenuate \( (\text{attenuation factor} = \text{AF}) \) the AES signature so that the supply current \( (I_{CS}) \) becomes highly independent \( (\text{high attenuation}: \text{AF} \rightarrow 0) \) of the AES signal transitions. Here, it should be noted that the MTD is inversely proportional to the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), as seen from Eqs. 4, 5 [37], [38], where \( k_1 \) is the success-rate dependent co-efficient, and \( AT = \frac{1}{\sigma_T^2} \) represents the attenuation due to the SAH.

\[
MTD = k_1 * \frac{1}{\rho_{TH}^2} \approx k_1 * (1 + \frac{1}{SNR}) \tag{4}
\]

\[
SNR = \frac{\sigma_T^2}{\sigma_{Noise}^2} = \frac{\sigma_T^2}{\sigma_{N_{existing}}^2 + \sigma_{N_{add}}^2} \tag{5}
\]

It is to be noted that the additional noise \( (N_{add}) \) is not necessary if the attenuated crypto signature is lower than the pre-existing noise \( (N_{existing}) \) present in the system arising due
to the uncorrelated switching from other circuit blocks and the input referred noise of the measurement system.

The build-up to the SAH is shown in Figure 9. Figure 9(a) shows an ideal implementation involving an ideal constant current source on top of the AES engine, with an integrating load capacitor \( (C_{Load}) \) to account for the differences in the constant supply current and the variable AES current. This ideal topology only works if the constant current source supplies the average AES current \( i_{AES\_avg} \) over time. However, practically it is not feasible since if \( I_{CS} > i_{AES\_avg} \), the output voltage \( (V_{reg}) \) approaches \( V_{DD} \) (supply voltage) with time, due to the integration effects of the load capacitor, without any voltage regulation. Again, when \( I_{CS} < i_{AES\_avg} \), the output voltage \( (V_{reg}) \) approaches 0V with time, without any regulation. Hence, the constraint that the supply current needs to be set to the average AES current is not practical and leads to a meta-stable state of operation without ensuring proper regulation of the output voltage, leading to a performance hit.

Hence, as shown in Figure 9(b), a shunt low-dropout (LDO) regulator loop with a bleed device (NMOS) is used to dissipate the overhead residual current \( (I_{bleed}) \) and thus acts as a correction mechanism to compensate for the integration effects of the load capacitor, as shown in Figure 9. This topology called the shunt LDO-based control loop senses \( V_{reg} \) and controls the bleed NMOS gate voltage to draw the difference of current between \( I_{CS} \) and \( I_{AES} \). Thus, this circuit is able to simultaneously regulate \( V_{reg} \) while keeping \( I_{CS} \) independent of \( I_{AES} \), thereby providing a significant time-variant attenuation by switching between small-signal and large-signal domains.

Another switched-mode control (SMC) digital loop tracks the large changes in the average AES currents and compensates for any process, temperature or voltage variations. However, once the supply current is set, the SMC digital loop is disengaged (grayed out in Figure 9(b)) in the steady-state operation of the SAH.

B. Proposed STELLAR Technique

We propose STELLAR: Signature aTtenuation Embedded CRYPTO with Low-Level metal Routing, which utilizes a Signature Attenuating Hardware (SAH), such as the ASNI circuit, with local low-level metal routing around the crypto IP and suppresses the critical signature before reaching the topmost metal layers which radiate significantly.

Figure 10 shows the proposed STELLAR hardware with the crypto IP routed within the local low-level metal layers 1 through 7, which then connects to the global higher metal layer 9 (whose leakage is detectable by commercial probes) through the SAH in the form of ASNI. Hence, STELLAR locally embeds the AES-128 core with low-level routing, suppresses the signature through ASNI and routes the attenuated signature through to the global higher metal layers, which is finally connected to the metal pads, as illustrated in Figure 11(a).

Figure 11(a) shows the routing strategy in a smart card, where the crypto signals are routed within the lower metal layers. However, these signals have to come through the external pins to connect to the supply, and those power routing is done through the higher metal layers, which is the root-cause of the EM leakage as we studied in Section 3, 4. Hence, only local signal routing does not prevent EM leakage, and hence a signature attenuation hardware (SAH) is necessary.

Figure 11(b) shows that the ASNI circuit with high-level long metal routing with the crypto block although attenuates the current signature from reaching the power pin using the SAH, but does not prevent EM side-channel leakage.

Hence, we propose STELLAR (Figure 11(c)), which utilizes the SAH locally around the crypto block with low-level metal routing and attenuates the current signature within the lower metal layers, and thus prevents any detectable EM leakage from the higher metal layers (carrying the attenuated power signatures) which connects to the external pins.

The cross-sectional block-level layout of the STELLAR (Figure 11(d)) shows the current flow through the metal layers connecting the crypto core and the SAH with the metal layers. The crypto core locally embedded within the SAH is routed using the local lower metal layers, which in turn connects directly to the global higher metal layers.

STELLAR uses the SAH which provides high attenuation \( (1/AF) \) and the attenuation factor \( (AF) \) depends on the choices of the load capacitor \( (C_{Load}) \), amount of overhead bleed current \( (i_{bleed}) \), the gain of the op-amp \( (A_v) \), transconductance of the bleed NMOS \( (g_{mn}) \), placement of the dominant pole of the op-amp \( (p) \), and also the output resistance \( (r_{ds}) \) of the current source (PMOS) (refer Figure 9). Since an ideal current source is not feasible, a finite \( r_{ds} \) would reflect relative change in the output voltage \( (V_{reg}) \) into the supply current, however it will be highly attenuated \( (> 200\times) \), as seen from the time-domain waveforms of the STELLAR-AES (Figure 12). Hence, a tiny amount of random noise current is injected (as shown in Figure 9(b)) to decorrelate the supply current traces with the estimated HD matrix, thereby providing significant immunity against CPA/CEMA attacks. The amount of noise injection required, as well as the total current overhead...
In the case of STELLAR-AES is quantitatively analyzed in Section VI.A.

VI. STELLAR: LOCAL ASNI AROUND CRYPTO-IP WITH LOWER METAL ROUTING

In the previous section, we have investigated the power SCA countermeasures and proposed the STELLAR technique utilizing the ASNI circuit to provide significant attenuation to the AES signature with extremely low overheads. In Section 4, we have also analyzed that the EM emanations from metal 9 is detectable using commercial EM probes for the Intel 32 nm CMOS process. However, the threshold may vary depending on the particular CMOS process, as discussed earlier. Hence, if we “shield” the high-level metal layers ($M_5$ in this case) byencapsulating the locally-routed AES engine with the ASNI hardware (Figure 10, 11), then the AES signature cannot be detected by an external EM attacker. Hence, we now evaluate the STELLAR hardware placed on top of the AES-128 core designed in TSMC 65 nm CMOS process.

In STELLAR, the placement of the AES core encapsulated by the ASNI circuit is very critical and has security, power and performance trade-offs. To achieve the highest level of security against EM SCA (maximum MTD), the ASNI along with the AES needs to be routed with the lowest metal layers. Although it provides maximum signature suppression (leading to the minimum noise injection overhead), lower metal layers suffer from high resistance and may result in a high voltage drop across the output voltage ($V_{reg}$), which can degrade performance (leading to lower throughput) of the AES encryption engine. The AES-128 core design consumes a physical chip area of $\sim 0.35 \text{mm}^2$ [15]. Assuming that the maximum length of routing is $L_{max} = 350 \mu m \times \sqrt{2} = 493 \mu m$ and we can tolerate an output voltage drop of $10 mV$, the maximum tolerable routing resistance ($R_{max}$) is given by Eqn. 6.

$$R_{max} = \frac{\Delta V_{max}}{i_{AES_{avg}}} = \frac{10 mV}{1mA} = 10 \Omega.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$R_{L_{max}} = \frac{R_{max}}{L_{max}} = \frac{10 \Omega}{493 \mu m} \approx 0.02 \Omega/\mu m \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

Hence, we can route the STELLAR-AES core only with metal layers for which $R < R_{L_{max}} = 0.02 \Omega/\mu m$ (Eqn. 7). Now, considering the Intel 32 nm CMOS process, only metals above layer 7 provides the desired low routing resistances and hence has no performance degradation in the operation of the cryptographic core. Thus, the AES can be routed up to metal layer 7 (as shown in Figure 10) and shielded with the ASNI hardware so that signals leaking to higher metal layers ($M_8$, $M_9$) are highly attenuated. However, the placement of the ASNI-AES core needs to be analyzed in design-time depending on the particular process (CMOS technology). STELLAR using the local ASNI provides an attenuation ($\frac{1}{\pi T}$) to the AES signature such that the measured electric field (Eqns. 2, 3) gets modified accordingly as shown in Eqns. 8, 9, 10.

$$E_{I_{STELLAR}} = \frac{E_{I_{local}}}{AT_{local}} + \frac{E_{I_{global}}}{AT_{global}} \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

Note that, due to NDA reasons, we do not provide the metal stack information for TSMC 65 nm technology, in which the STELLAR design and simulations are carried out. The EM analysis is performed on the Intel 32nm process as the interconnect stack dimensions are publicly available [25].
Fig. 13. MTD Analysis: (a) Minimum Traces to Disclosure (MTD) for a CEMA attack on the baseline AES-128 implementation, (b, c) Locally-routed STELLAR-AES: Noise Injection on the modified AES in Attenuated Signature domain, to achieve MTD of 1 Million traces.

\[
AT_{local} = \frac{M_9}{M_{N_{Crypto}}} : \text{E-field reduction due to absence of higher local metal layers} \quad (9)
\]

\[
AT_{global} = \frac{1}{AF_{SAH}} : \text{AES Signature Suppression using SAH} \quad (10)
\]

Hence, as seen from Eqns. 9, 10, the overall SNR reduction has two key components: (1) Electric field suppression \((AT_{local})\) achieved due to the absence of routing through the local high-level metal layers \((M_9\) in our case, refer Figure 10). In this case, if the AES-128 core embedded within the SAH (ASNI) block is routed with local low-level metal \(M_{7}\) to \(M_7\) (meeting the constraint presented in Eqn. 6, 7), the ASNI hardware can then directly connect to the global high-level metal \(M_0\), and thus \(AT_{local} = \frac{M_0}{M_9} \approx 20\) (from Table 1). (2) AES Signature Suppression \((AT_{global})\) using SAH ensures that although the EM signal leakage from the global metal layers remain the same, the correlated signature present in the emanated E-field is significantly attenuated.

Now, the ratio of the electric fields contributed by the local and global routing from the AES block can be attributed to the relative area of the AES to the area of the rest of the circuit (refer Figure 11 (a) - the higher metals form a mesh structure throughout), as given in Eqn. 11,

\[
\frac{E_{I_{local}}}{E_{I_{global}}} = \frac{\text{Area of AES}}{\text{Total Chip Area - Area of AES - Area of pads}} \approx \frac{200\mu \times 200\mu}{1m \times 1m - 200\mu \times 200\mu} = \frac{1}{24}.
\]

Now, from Eqn. 3 and Figure 6(a), we see that for an excitation of 1V with 50 \(\Omega\) termination \((i = 20mA)\), \(E_{I_{local}} + E_{I_{global}} = 35mV/m\) at a probe distance of 900\(\mu\)m. This translates to an electric field of \(E_I \approx 6mV/m\) for our case with an AES peak current \(i_{AES_{max}} = 3.2mA\). Using Eqn. 11, we obtain \(E_{I_{local}} = \frac{1}{24} \times 6 = 0.25mV/m\) and \(E_{I_{global}} = \frac{24}{24} \times 6 = 5.75mV/m\).

As the STELLAR hardware is embedded on top of the AES-128 encryption engine, using Eqns. 8, 9, 10 the measured electric field becomes \(E_{I_{STELLAR}} = \frac{0.25}{20} + \frac{5.75}{200} \approx 0.04mV/m\), which means that the effective suppression of the AES signature is \(\sim 150\times\).

A. Results & Overhead Comparison

We perform CEMA attack on the AES-128 core \((1^{st} \text{ round S-Box operation})\) with a clock frequency of 40 MHz and an average current \((I_{AES_{avg}})\) of \(\sim 1mA\) (peak current = 3.2 mA). The AES-128 engine performs one block encryption in 10 cycles. The CEMA attack reveals the secret key of the unprotected AES within \(< 6K\) traces (Figure 13(a)), whereas the same attack on the STELLAR-AES does not reveal the secret key even with \(1M\) traces (Figure 13(c)).

Figure 13(b, c) shows the evolution of the MTD with different levels of noise injection after the signature attenuation of the locally routed AES engine (STELLAR-AES). Figure 13(c) shows that only \(15\mu A\) of noise current injection is required to achieve Minimum Traces to Disclosure (MTD) \(> 1M\).

Using Eqns. 4, 5, the relation between MTD, ASNI signature attenuation factor \((AF_{ASNI})\) and the overall noise \((I_{Noise},\) includes the pre-existing system noise) is given by Eqn. 12.

\[
MTD \propto \frac{1}{SNR} \propto \left(\frac{AT \cdot I_{Noise}}{AF_{ASNI}}\right)^2 \propto \left(\frac{I_{Noise}}{AF_{ASNI}}\right)^2 \quad (12)
\]

Hence, for a higher MTD, more noise may be injected or the attenuation \((AT)\) may be enhanced, which could be achieved by the lower-level routing \((increasing AT_{local})\), or by increasing the ASNI circuit attenuation \((AT_{global} = \frac{1}{AF_{ASNI}})\). With the same level of attenuation, the amount of noise current required to achieve MTD of 100M would be \(I_{Noise} \sim 15\mu A\) and the total overhead current is given as \(I_{tot} = I_{bleed} + I_{noise} + I_{pump} = 130\mu A + 15\mu A + 100\mu A \approx 245\mu A\). Thus, to achieve a
The total overhead power for the STELLAR-AES architecture is \((1.13mA + 0.015mA + 0.1mA) \times 1.2V - 1mA \times 1V = 0.49mW\). Power efficiency for STELLAR-AES is given as, \(\eta = \frac{(1mA + 1V)}{(1.25mA + 1.2V)} \times 100 \approx 67\%\) (includes noise overhead). Hence, STELLAR-AES consumes similar overhead as [18], but does not incur the performance penalty. Implementation of the SAH consumes an area of \(\sim 0.05\text{mm}^2\), while a standalone AES incurs \(0.35\text{mm}^2\), which implies an area overhead of \(\sim 22.85\%\), for \(MTD > 1M\).

VII. CONCLUSION

Electromagnetic emission from cryptographic ICs is a prominent side-channel attack vector to extract the secret key without physical access to the device. The growth of internet-connected small form-factor devices and the availability of cheap commercial EM probes calls for an efficient countermeasure against EM SCA. This paper, for the first time, performs a white-box modeling of the interconnect metal via stack within an integrated circuit which leaks critical signal transitions in the form of EM radiation. System-level modeling of the interconnect structure for Intel 32 nm CMOS process reveals that metals above layer 8 leak the most and can be detectable using commercially available cheap EM probes. This work proposes the STELLAR technique to locally route the AES-128 encryption engine in the lower-level metal layers and also encapsulated within a low-overhead signature suppression hardware (ASNI). The ASNI circuit is then routed to the leaky higher-level metals, which now contains only the suppressed AES signatures. Hence, using STELLAR with low local-level metal routing along with the SAH as an efficient “shield” protects the AES-128 encryption signatures from radiating, thereby achieving \(MTD > 1M\) with only a tiny noise injection of \(15\mu A\). STELLAR with low-level metal routing with the SAH (ASNI) local encapsulation around the crypto block not only provides a low-overhead solution (\(1.5 \times\) power, \(1.23\times\) area overhead) against EM SCA, but it is also a generic countermeasure and can be extended to other cryptographic engines.
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