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#### Abstract

Koblitz curves have been a nice subject of consideration for both theoretical and practical interests. The window $\tau$-adic algorithm of Solinas (window $\tau \mathrm{NAF}$ ) is the most powerful method for computing point multiplication for Koblitz curves. Precomputation plays an important role in improving the performance of point multiplication. In this paper, the concept of optimal pre-computation for window $\tau$ NAF is formulated. In this setting, an optimal pre-computation has some mathematically natural and clean forms, and requires $2^{w-2}-1$ point additions and two evaluations of the Frobenius map $\tau$, where $w$ is the window width. One of the main results of this paper is to construct an optimal pre-computation scheme for each window width $w$ from 4 to 15 (more than practical needs). These pre-computations can be easily incorporated into implementations of window $\tau$ NAF. The ideas in the paper can also be used to construct other suitable pre-computations. This paper also includes a discussion of coefficient sets for window $\tau$ NAF and the divisibility by powers of $\tau$ through different approaches.
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## 1 Introduction

One of the most important families of elliptic curves in elliptic curve cryptography (ECC) is the Koblitz curves [10]. A Koblitz curve over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ is defined by

$$
E_{a}: y^{2}+x y=x^{3}+a x^{2}+1,
$$

[^0]where the parameter $a$ is from $\{0,1\}$. On $E_{a} / \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$, the Frobenius map is defined as: for point $(x, y)$ that is different from the point at infinity
$$
\tau(x, y)=\left(x^{2}, y^{2}\right)
$$
and for the point at infinity $\mathcal{O}, \tau(\mathcal{O})=\mathcal{O}$.
Let $\mu=(-1)^{1-a}$. Since $\tau^{2}(P)+2 P=\mu \tau(P)$ for all $P \in E_{a}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}\right), \tau$ can be interpreted as a complex number defined by $\tau^{2}-\mu \tau+2=0$. One can choose
$$
\tau=\frac{\mu+\sqrt{-7}}{2}
$$

Therefore the Euclidean domain $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]=\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z} \tau$ can be identified as a set of automorphisms of $E_{a}$ in the sense that

$$
(g+h \tau) P=g P+h \tau(P) .
$$

for every $P \in E_{a}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}\right)$.
In ECC, one considers the situation where $\left|E_{a}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}\right)\right|$ is very nearly prime, that is, $\left|E_{a}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}\right)\right|=\left|E_{a}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)\right| \cdot p$ for some prime $p>2$. The main subgroup $M$ of $E_{a}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}\right)$ is the subgroup of order $p$. For $\delta=\frac{\tau^{m}-1}{\tau-1}$, we have the norm $N_{a}(\delta)=p^{1}$ and $\delta(P)=\mathcal{O}$ for $P \in M$. The latter yields a useful fact which asserts that for elements $\rho$ and $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$, if $\rho \equiv \gamma(\bmod \delta)$, then for every $P \in M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho P=\gamma P . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Frobenius map $\tau$ is an inexpensive operation as squaring in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ can be done efficiently. Based on this, Koblitz [10] proposed a method of computing the point multiplication $n P$ by representing $n=\sum_{i=0}^{k} c_{i} \tau^{i}$ with $c_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ and evaluating $\sum_{i=0}^{k} c_{i} \tau^{i}(P)$.

In [13], Solinas developed a width- $w$ window $\tau$-adic method for the efficient computation of $n P$. The procedure can be briefly described as

1. Reduction. Find some suitable $\rho=r_{1}+r_{2} \tau \in \mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ with $\left|r_{1}\right|,\left|r_{2}\right|$ being roughly $\sqrt{n}$, such that

$$
\rho \equiv n \quad(\bmod \delta) .
$$

Then by (1.1), computing $n P$ is equivalent to computing $\rho P$.
2. Window $\tau$-NAF. Fix a positive integer $w$. Denote the coefficient set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{\text {min }}=\left\{c_{1}, c_{3}, \cdots, c_{2^{w-1}-1}\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]where $c_{j}$ is an element with the least norm from the odd congruence class $\bar{j}=\{c \in$ $\left.\mathbb{Z}[\tau]: c \equiv j\left(\bmod \tau^{w}\right)\right\},\left(j=1,3, \cdots, 2^{w-1}-1\right)$. The width-w $\tau$ non-adjacent form of $\rho$ is
$$
\rho=\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \varepsilon_{i} u_{i} \tau^{i}
$$
where $\varepsilon_{i} \in\{-1,1\}$ and $u_{i} \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {min }} \cup\{0\}$ with the properties that in any segment $\left\{u_{k}, u_{k+1}, \cdots, u_{k+w-1}\right\}$ of length $w$, there is at most one nonzero $u_{i}$. We denote the above expression of $\rho$ by $\tau \mathrm{NAF}_{w}(n)$.
3. Pre-Computation: Compute $Q_{j}=c_{j} P$ for each $j=1,3, \cdots, 2^{w-1}-1$. Note that $c_{1}=1$, so $Q_{1}=P$ needs no calculation.
4. Computing $n P$ : Evaluate $\rho P$ by Horner's rule, using $\tau \mathrm{NAF}_{w}(n)$ and precomputed $Q_{1}, Q_{3}, \cdots, Q_{2^{w-1}}$. Discarding the zero coefficients, the $\tau \mathrm{NAF}_{w}(n)$ of $\rho$ can be written as
$$
\rho=\underbrace{\varepsilon_{0} c_{k_{0}} \tau^{k_{0}}+\varepsilon_{1} c_{k_{1}}}_{k_{1}-k_{0} \geq w} \underbrace{\tau^{k_{1}}+\varepsilon_{2} c_{k_{2}}}_{k_{2}-k_{1} \geq w} \tau^{k_{2}}+\cdots+\varepsilon_{s-1} c_{k_{s-1}} \underbrace{\tau^{k_{s-1}}+\varepsilon_{s} c_{k_{s}}}_{k_{s}-k_{s-1} \geq w} \tau^{k_{s}}
$$
with $\varepsilon_{j} \in\{-1,1\}$ and $c_{k_{j}} \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {min }}$. So $n P=\rho P$ can be computed through
$$
n P=\tau^{k_{0}}\left(\tau^{k_{1}-k_{0}}\left(\cdots\left(\tau^{k_{s}-k_{s-1}} \varepsilon_{s} Q_{j_{k_{s}}}+\varepsilon_{s-1} Q_{j_{k_{s-1}}}\right)+\cdots+\varepsilon_{1} Q_{j_{k_{k_{1}}}}\right)+\varepsilon_{0} Q_{j_{k_{0}}}\right)
$$

As indicated in [13], for practical values of $w$, pre-computation requires $2^{w-2}-1$ point additions. The example (Table 1) given in [13] shows how to construct the pre-computation for the case of $w=5, a=1$, i.e.,

Table 1: Pre-computation from [13]

| $Q_{3}=\tau^{2} P-P$ | $Q_{5}=\tau^{2} P+P$ | $Q_{7}=-\tau^{3} P-P$ | $Q_{9}=-\tau^{3} Q_{5}+P$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $Q_{11}=-\tau^{2} Q_{5}-P$ | $Q_{13}=-\tau^{2} Q_{5}+P$ | $Q_{15}=\tau^{4} P-P$ |  |

It can be seen that, in addition to $2^{w-2}-1=7$ point additions, 7 evaluations of $\tau$ are also needed, i.e., we need to compute $\tau P, \tau^{2} P, \tau^{3} P, \tau^{4} P$ and $\tau Q_{5}, \tau^{2} Q_{5}, \tau^{3} Q_{5}$.

Some improved pre-computations are discussed in [7] where eight cases (i.e., $3 \leq w \leq$ $6, a=0,1$ ) of pre-computation schemes are described. For the case of $w=5, a=1$, the following pre-computation given in [7] uses 6 evaluations of $\tau$ :

Table 2: Pre-computation from [7]

| $Q_{3}=\tau^{2} P-P$ | $Q_{5}=\tau^{2} P+P$ | $Q_{7}=-\tau^{3} P-P$ | $Q_{9}=-\tau^{3} Q_{5}+P$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $Q_{11}=-\tau^{2} Q_{5}-P$ | $Q_{13}=-\tau^{2} Q_{5}+P$ | $Q_{15}=\tau^{2} Q_{5}-Q_{5}$ |  |

Such pre-computation have been used in the discussion of [1].
In [3], Blake, Murty and Xu extended Solinas's method by allowing a general coefficient set of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{n m}=\left\{c_{1}, c_{3}, \cdots, c_{2^{w-1}-1}\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}=1$ and $c_{j}$ is an element from the odd congruence class $\bar{j}$ that satisfies $N\left(c_{j}\right)<2^{w}$, for $j=3, \cdots, 2^{w-1}-1$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{C}_{\text {min }}$ is a special case of $\mathcal{C}_{n m}$. It has been proved in [3] that the algorithm for computing $\tau \operatorname{NAF}_{w}(\rho)$ (Algorithm 4 of [13]) is correct for the general coefficient sets. In particular, [3] provided a termination proof of $\tau \mathrm{NAF}_{w}(\rho)$ for the original setting of $\mathcal{C}_{\text {min }}$. See also [4].

Examples of efficient pre-computation were given in [3]. For the case $w=5, a=1$, example 3 of [3] greatly improved that of [13] by requiring only two evaluations of $\tau$. The pre-computation is

Table 3: Pre-computation from [3]

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline Q_{3}=\tau^{2} P-P & Q_{5}=\tau P-P & Q_{7}=\tau P+P & Q_{9}=\tau P+Q_{3} \\
\hline Q_{11}=\tau P+Q_{5} & Q_{13}=\tau P+Q_{7} & Q_{15}=\tau P+Q_{9} & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

This pre-computation is actually an optimal one (as explained later).
The purpose of this paper is to systematically study pre-computation for window $\tau$ NAF for Koblitz curves. As we shall see later, for each $w>2$, a pre-computation requires at least $2^{w-2}-1$ point additions and two evaluations of $\tau$ ( except for the simple case of $w=3$ where one application of $\tau$ is sufficient). We shall call a pre-computation that involves $2^{w-2}-1$ point additions and two evaluations of $\tau$ an optimal pre-computation. One of the main results of this paper is to show that for each of the cases $4 \leq w \leq 15, a=0,1$, an optimal pre-computation exists. These cover all of practical interesting cases ${ }^{2}$.

A computationally efficient criterion for divisibility of an element $g+h \tau \in \mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ by a power of $\tau$ is needed in determining a residue modulo $\tau^{w}$. This is a useful step for

[^2]forming the coefficient set $\mathcal{C}_{n m}$ for the window $\tau$ NAF, hence for the pre-computation. Such criterion was described by Solinas [13] in terms of Lucas sequences. In this paper, we present a different approach by refining the $p$-adic argument of Blake, Murty and Xu [4]. This also provides an explanation of the divisibility by a power of $\tau$ in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ from another view point. The generality of the computational procedure for divisibility is also useful in our construction of optimal pre-computations.

The rest of the paper is organized into three sections. The next section discusses the algebraic setup of pre-computations. Section 3 will be devoted to a detailed formulation and description of optimal pre-computations. The last section is the conclusion.

## 2 The Coefficient Sets for Window $\tau$ NAF

In our discussion in the previous section, for Koblitz curves, the point multiplication $n P$ can be turned into a complex multiplication $\left(r_{1}+r_{2} \tau\right) P$ for $r_{1}, r_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Efficiency can be archived by using the window $\tau \mathrm{NAF}$ of $r_{1}+r_{2} \tau$. In this section, we shall consider the construction of the coefficient sets for window $\tau$ NAF. To this end, we need to work with the (algebraic) integer ring $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]=\{g+h \tau: g, h \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Given a positive integer $w$, the coefficient set $\mathcal{C}_{n m}$ for window $\tau$ NAF is the key ingredient for the pre-computation. Recall that $\mathcal{C}_{n m}$ is constructed by taking one element from each odd congruence class $\bar{j}$ modulo $\tau^{w}$ whose norm is less than $2^{w}$, for $j=1,3, \cdots, 2^{w-1}-1$.

For each $j=3, \cdots, 2^{w-1}-1$, define

$$
R_{j}=\left\{g+h \tau: g+h \tau \equiv j \quad\left(\bmod \tau^{w}\right), N_{a}(g+h \tau)<2^{w}\right\}
$$

Assume that an explicit coefficient set is

$$
\mathcal{C}_{n m}=\left\{c_{1}, c_{3}, \cdots, c_{2^{w-1}-1}\right\}
$$

where $c_{1}=1$ and $c_{j} \in R_{j}$ for $j=3, \cdots, 2^{w-1}-1$. We can see that in the setting of [3], the coefficient sets for a window $\tau$ NAF (or pre-computations) are quite flexible. There are

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{2^{w-2}-1}\left|R_{2 k+1}\right|
$$

choices of $\mathcal{C}_{n m}$.
In order to determine the set $R_{j}$, we need to find suitable $g+h \tau$ such that $\tau^{w} \mid g-j+h \tau$. The problem of divisibility by a power of $\tau$ was studied in [13]. Using the Lucas sequence, Solinas proved that there is an integer $t_{w}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{w}\left|g+h \tau \Longleftrightarrow 2^{w}\right| g+h t_{w} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [4], a $p$-adic approximation approach was proposed for the problem of divisibility by a power of a zero of a quadratic polynomial of the form $X^{2}+k X+p$ with $|k|<p$. Here we give an intuitive and a computational refinement of the argument for the case $p=2$ and polynomial $f(X)=X^{2}-\mu X+2$. Using Hensel's lifting algorithm [8, 12], starting from $s_{1}=0$, we can get the $n$th 2-adic approximation

$$
s_{n}=b_{1} 2+b_{2} 2^{2}+\cdots+b_{n-1} 2^{n-1}
$$

of the 2-adic zero $\alpha=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} 2^{k}$ of $f(X)$ by the following procedure:

## Procedure 2.1: The $n$th 2-adic Approximation

```
s}\leftarrow\leftarrow0
for ( i from 1 to n-1 ) do
    bi
    si+1}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{s}{i}{}+\mp@subsup{b}{i}{}\mp@subsup{2}{}{i}
```

By this procedure, we can easily get:

| $s_{2}$ | $s_{3}$ | $s_{4}$ | $s_{5}$ | $s_{6}$ | $s_{7}$ | $s_{8}$ | $s_{9}$ | $\cdots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mu$ | $6 \mu$ | $6 \mu$ | $6 \mu$ | $38 \mu$ | $38 \mu$ | $166 \mu$ | $422 \mu$ | $\cdots$ |

In general, we can get a positive integer $q_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n}=q_{n} \mu . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $2 \mid \alpha$ and $2^{n} \mid \alpha-s_{n}$, so we have

$$
\alpha^{n}\left|g+h \alpha \Longleftrightarrow 2^{n}\right| g+h s_{n}
$$

This naturally leads to the following argument

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{w}\left|g+h \tau \Longleftrightarrow 2^{w}\right| g+h s_{w} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will not explain the proof of (2.3) here, as a rigorous proof of the general $p$-adic case has already been given in [4].

Note that

$$
N_{a}(g+h \tau)=g^{2}+\mu g h+2 h^{2}=\frac{3 g^{2}}{4}+\left(\frac{g}{2}+\mu h\right)^{2}+h^{2},
$$

so the requirement $N_{a}(g+h \tau)<2^{w}$ forces $|g| \leq\left\lfloor\frac{2^{\frac{w+2}{2}}}{\sqrt{3}}\right\rfloor$ and $|h| \leq\left\lfloor 2^{\frac{w}{2}}\right\rfloor$. With these, we are able to describe a method for generating $R_{j}\left(j=3, \cdots, 2^{w-1}-1\right)$ :

Procedure 2.2: Generation of $R_{j}$

```
\(R_{j} \leftarrow \emptyset ;\)
for ( \(h\) from \(-\left\lfloor 2^{\frac{w}{2}}\right\rfloor\) to \(\left\lfloor 2^{\frac{w}{2}}\right\rfloor\) ) do
    for ( \(g\) from \(-\left\lfloor\frac{2^{\frac{w+2}{2}}}{\sqrt{3}}\right\rfloor\) to \(\left\lfloor\frac{2^{\frac{w+2}{2}}}{\sqrt{3}}\right\rfloor\) ) do
        if ( \(\left(2^{w} \mid g-j+h s_{w}\right)\) and \(\left(g^{2}+\mu g h+2 h^{2}<2^{w}\right)\) )
        append \((g+h \tau)\) to \(R_{j}\);
```


## 3 Optimal Pre-computations

Once a coefficient set $\mathcal{C}_{n m}=\left\{c_{1}, c_{3}, \cdots, c_{2^{w-1}-1}\right\}$ is specified, the pre-computation can be performed. Given the base point $P$, this is the task for computing and storing the $2^{w-2}-1$ points

$$
Q_{3}=c_{3} P, \quad Q_{5}=c_{5} P, \quad \cdots, \quad Q_{2^{w-1}-1}=c_{2^{w-1}-1} P .
$$

For the sake of convenience, in the rest discussion, we set $c_{1}=1$ and $Q_{1}=P$. We also remark that the pre-computations for the case of $w=3$ is very simple and only $Q_{3}$ needs to be computed:

$$
\left. Q_{3}=P+\tau(P) \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{l|l|}
\hline
\end{array}
$$

So we shall be interested in the cases of $w>3$ in the rest of the section. Another remark we would like to make is that an efficient pre-computation may be achieved with rearranging the order of $Q_{1}, Q_{3}, Q_{5}, \cdots, Q_{2^{w-1}-1}$. In the actual computation, we may need to compute some $Q_{j}$ before $Q_{k}$, even though $j>k$.

Now we begin the setup of optimal pre-computation.
We first observe that there are only two elliptic curve operations involved in the precomputation, the point addition (include point doubling) and evaluation of $\tau$. As pointed out in [13], for $w$ in the practical range (for $w$ from 3 to 8 ), each $Q_{j}(j \geq 3)$ can be done by using one point addition operation, plus some evaluations of $\tau$. The cost of the latter is comparatively less.

Next we argue that, in terms of point addition operation, one operation for each $Q_{j}$ $\left(j=3,5, \cdots, 2^{w-1}-1\right)$ is necessary. If not, suppose that some $Q_{j_{0}}$ is obtained by only using $\tau$ to some previous computed $Q_{k}$ (this should include $Q_{1}=P$ ). This means that $c_{j_{0}}=\tau^{e} c_{k}$. Note that $j_{0}$ is an odd number, so $j_{0} \equiv 1(\bmod \tau)($ since $2=\tau(\mu-\tau))$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^{e} c_{k} & =c_{j_{0}} \equiv j_{0} \quad\left(\bmod \tau^{w}\right) \\
& \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod \tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that there is a $u \in \mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ such that

$$
\tau u=1
$$

This is absurd as $N_{a}(\tau u)=N_{a}(\tau) N_{a}(u)=2 N_{a}(u)>1$. Thus point addition operation must be used in computing $Q_{j}(j \geq 3)$.

Finally, we turn to the other operation, the Frobenius map $\tau$. Concerning the efficiency of using $\tau$ in pre-computation, there has not been a clean argument yet. As mentioned earlier, the example in [13] discussed the case $w=5, a=1$, and 7 evaluations of $\tau$ were needed. An improvement for this case was suggested in [7] with 6 evaluations of $\tau$. The other cases discussed in [7] include $w=4$ ( with 3 evaluations of $\tau$ ) and $w=6$ ( with 12 evaluations of $\tau$ ). For the case $w=5, a=1$, a more efficient pre-computation scheme was proposed in [3]. This scheme uses only two evaluations of $\tau$, namely $\tau(P)$ and $\tau(\tau(P))$. The interesting fact is that this is the best one can do. In general, we can argue that under the assumption that $4 \leq w \leq 15$, and only one point addition is allowed for each $Q_{j}\left(j=3,5, \cdots, 2^{w-1}-1\right)$, then we need at least two evaluations of $\tau$ to finish the precomputation. In fact, suppose we have a pre-computation

$$
Q_{j_{1}}=P, \quad Q_{j_{3}}, \quad Q_{j_{5}}, \quad \cdots, \quad Q_{j_{2^{w-1}-1}}
$$

Since $Q_{j_{3}}$ is the first term that needs to be computed, it must be of the form of $\varepsilon_{1} P+\varepsilon_{2} \tau^{e}(P)$, where $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \in\{-1,1\}$ and $e \geq 1$. Assume that we could get the pre-computation done by using only one evaluation of $\tau$, then this evaluation must be $\tau(P)$. So $e=1$ and for each $k>3$, we have

$$
Q_{j_{k}}=\varepsilon_{1} Q_{j_{l}}+\varepsilon_{2} \tau(P),
$$

for some $l<k$ and $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \in\{-1,1\}$. In terms of the elements in the coefficient set $\mathcal{C}_{n m}$, this means that for each $k>3$, there is an $l<k$ and $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \in\{-1,1\}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j_{k}}=\varepsilon_{1} c_{j_{l}}+\varepsilon_{2} \tau \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This has been proved to be impossible by checking all possible sets of $\mathcal{C}_{n m}$.
Based on these discussions, we define an optimal pre-computation for $Q_{1}, Q_{3}, Q_{5}, \cdots, Q_{2^{w-1}-1}$ to be a pre-computation satisfying

1. Computation of each of $Q_{3}, Q_{5}, \cdots, Q_{2^{w-1}-1}$ uses only one point addition;
2. The entire pre-computation uses only two special evaluations of $\tau$, namely $\tau(P)$ and $\tau(\tau(P))$.
We would like to point out that this formulation of optimal pre-computation is mathematically natural and clean. In particular, if an optimal pre-computation for the curve $y^{2}+x y=x^{3}+1 / \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ (ie., the parameter $a=0$ ) is found, then an optimal pre-computation for the curve $y^{2}+x y=x^{3}+x^{2}+1 / \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ (ie., the parameter $a=1$ ) can be constructed immediately, and vice versa. These are proven in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 Assume that an optimal pre-computation with $Q_{j}$ 's are computed in the following order

$$
Q_{j_{1}}=P, \quad Q_{j_{3}}, \quad Q_{j_{5}}, \quad \cdots, \quad Q_{j_{2 w-1}-1} .
$$

Then

1. For each $k \geq 3$, there is an $l<k$ and $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \in\{-1,1\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{j_{k}}=\varepsilon_{1} Q_{j_{l}}+\varepsilon_{2} \tau^{e}(P), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $e=1$ or 2 .
2. If an optimal pre-computation of the form (3.2) is found for $a=0(a=1)$, then we have an optimal pre-computation $Q_{j_{1}}=P, \quad Q_{j_{3}}, \quad Q_{j_{5}}, \quad \cdots, \quad Q_{j_{2^{w-1}-1}}$ for $a=$ $1(a=0)$ with the following form

$$
Q_{j_{k}}=\varepsilon_{1} Q_{j_{l}}+\varepsilon_{2}(-1)^{e} \tau^{e}(P),
$$

## Proof.

1. Since $Q_{j_{k}}$ is formed using one addition, it can be either

$$
Q_{j_{k}}=\varepsilon_{1} Q_{j_{l}}+\varepsilon_{2} Q_{j_{d}},
$$

for $l, d<k$, or

$$
Q_{j_{k}}=\varepsilon_{1} Q_{j_{l}}+\varepsilon_{2} \tau^{e}(P),
$$

for $l<k$ and $e=1$ or 2 .
Thus we only need to prove the first case is false. Indeed, as $c_{j_{l}}$ and $c_{j_{d}}$ are chosen from odd congruence classes, and both have smaller norms, representing the relation $Q_{j_{k}}=\varepsilon_{1} Q_{j_{l}}+\varepsilon_{2} Q_{j_{d}}$ as

$$
c_{j_{k}} P=\left(\varepsilon_{1} c_{j_{l}}+\varepsilon_{2} c_{j_{d}}\right) P
$$

we get $c_{j_{k}}=\left(\varepsilon_{1} c_{j_{l}}+\varepsilon_{2} c_{j_{d}}\right)$. This implies that $c_{j_{k}}$ is in an even congruence class. However, the coefficients must be from odd classes, so this is a contradiction.
2. Let $a=0$ and an optimal pre-computation is of the form (3.2). This means that $Q_{j_{3}}$ must be of the form $\varepsilon_{1} P+\varepsilon_{2} \tau^{e}(P)$, and each following $Q_{j_{k}}$ is obtained by adding $\varepsilon_{3} \tau^{e^{\prime}}(P)$ to some previous one. So $Q_{j_{k}}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{j_{k}}=\varepsilon P+z_{1} \tau(P)+z_{2} \tau^{2}(P), \quad \text { for } \varepsilon \in\{-1,1\} \text { and some } z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, together with the fact that $\tau^{2}=\mu \tau-2$, mean that,

$$
c_{j_{k}}=\varepsilon+z_{1} \tau+z_{2} \tau^{2}=\left(\varepsilon-2 z_{2}\right)+\left(z_{1}+\mu z_{2}\right) \tau .
$$

Since $c_{j_{k}} \equiv j_{k}\left(\bmod \tau^{w}\right)$, by (2.3) and (2.2), we have

$$
2^{w} \mid\left(\varepsilon-2 z_{2}-j_{k}\right)+\left(z_{1}+\mu z_{2}\right) q_{w} \mu .
$$

Note that in this case, $\mu=(-1)^{1-a}=-1$, so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{w} \mid\left(\varepsilon-2 z_{2}-j_{k}\right)+\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right) q_{w} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider the case of $a=1$. In this case, $\mu=(-1)^{1-a}=1$. So (3.4) can be reorganized as

$$
2^{w} \mid\left(\varepsilon-2 z_{2}-j_{k}\right)+\left(-z_{1}+\mu z_{2}\right) q_{w} \mu
$$

Using (2.3) again, we see that $\tau^{w} \mid\left(\varepsilon-2 z_{2}-j_{k}\right)+\left(-z_{1}+\mu z_{2}\right) \tau$, i.e.,

$$
\varepsilon-z_{1} \tau+z_{2} \tau^{2} \equiv j_{k} \quad\left(\bmod \tau^{w}\right)
$$

Denote $c_{j_{k}}^{\prime}=\varepsilon-z_{1} \tau+z_{2} \tau^{2}$, since $N_{1}\left(c_{j_{k}}^{\prime}\right)=N_{0}\left(c_{j_{k}}\right)$, so

$$
\left\{1, c_{j_{3}}^{\prime}, c_{j_{5}}^{\prime}, \cdots, c_{j_{2 w-1}-1}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

is a coefficient set of window $\tau$ NAF for the case of $a=1$. For the corresponding pre-computation, we let $Q_{j_{k}}=c_{j_{k}}^{\prime} P=\varepsilon P-z_{1} \tau(P)+z_{2} \tau^{2}(P)$. Observe that we only change the sign of the confident of $\tau$ in the expression (3.3), so this pre-computation must satisfy

$$
Q_{j_{k}}=\varepsilon_{1} Q_{j_{l}}+\varepsilon_{2}(-1)^{e} \tau^{e}(P)
$$

The proof of constructing an optimal pre-computation for the case $a=0$, from the case $a=1$, is analogous.

The main result in this section is to show that for $w$ from 4 to 15 , there is always an optimal pre-computation for the window $\tau$ NAF.

Theorem 3.1 For each $w$ from 4 to 15 , there exists a coefficient $\mathcal{C}_{n m}$ whose corresponding pre-computation can be arranged as

$$
Q_{j_{1}}=P, \quad Q_{j_{3}}, \quad Q_{j_{5}}, \quad \cdots, \quad Q_{j_{2^{w-1}-1}}
$$

such that for each $k>3$, there is an $l<k$ and $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \in\{-1,1\}$, one has either

$$
Q_{j_{k}}=\varepsilon_{1} Q_{j_{l}}+\varepsilon_{2} \tau(P),
$$

or

$$
Q_{j_{k}}=\varepsilon_{1} Q_{j_{l}}+\varepsilon_{2} \tau^{2}(P)
$$

The proof of this result is done by simply displaying all of these optimal pre-computations. We remark that these pre-computations can be easily used in the implementation of window $\tau$ NAF.

We will list the pre-computations for the cases of $4 \leq w \leq 8$. The cases of $9 \leq w \leq 15$ can be found at http://www.cs.uwm.edu/faculty/gxu4uwm/OptPreComp .

By proposition 3.1, we only need to consider the case of $a=0$. The case of $a=1$ can be obtained by changing the sign of the coefficient of $\tau$ in each $Q_{j}$ from the case of $a=0$ (note that the sign of coefficient for $\tau^{2}$ remains unchanged).

Table 4: Pre-Computations for $4 \leq w \leq 8$ and $a=0$

| $w$ | Pre-computation |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | $Q_{3}=-P+\tau^{2} P$ | $Q_{5}=-P-\tau P$ | $Q_{7}=P-\tau P$ |
| 5 | $Q_{3}=-P+\tau^{2} P$ | $Q_{5}=-P-\tau P$ | $Q_{7}=P-\tau P$ |
|  | $Q_{9}=Q_{3}-\tau P$ | $Q_{11}=Q_{5}-\tau P$ | $Q_{13}=Q_{7}-\tau P$ |
|  | $Q_{15}=-Q_{11}+\tau P$ |  |  |
| 6 | $Q_{29}=P-\tau^{2} P$ | $Q_{3}=Q_{29}-\tau P$ | $Q_{31}=Q_{3}-\tau^{2} P$ |
|  | $Q_{5}=Q_{31}-\tau P$ | $Q_{7}=-Q_{31}-\tau P$ | $Q_{9}=-Q_{29}-\tau P$ |
|  | $Q_{27}=P+\tau P$ | $Q_{11}=-Q_{27}-\tau P$ | $Q_{25}=-P+\tau P$ |
|  | $Q_{13}=-Q_{25}-\tau P$ | $Q_{15}=-Q_{11}+\tau P$ | $Q_{17}=-Q_{9}+\tau P$ |
|  | $Q_{19}=-Q_{7}+\tau P$ | $Q_{21}=-Q_{17}-\tau P$ | $Q_{23}=-Q_{3}+\tau P$ |
| 7 | $Q_{35}=-P+\tau^{2} P$ | $Q_{3}=-Q_{35}-\tau P$ | $Q_{33}=-Q_{3}+\tau^{2} P$ |
|  | $Q_{5}=-Q_{33}-\tau P$ | $Q_{31}=-Q_{5}+\tau^{2} P$ | $Q_{7}=-Q_{31}-\tau P$ |
|  | $Q_{43}=Q_{5}-\tau P$ | $Q_{47}=-Q_{43}+\tau P$ | $Q_{9}=Q_{47}+\tau P$ |
|  | $Q_{41}=Q_{3}-\tau P$ | $Q_{49}=-Q_{41}+\tau P$ | $Q_{11}=Q_{49}+\tau P$ |
|  | $Q_{39}=P-\tau P$ | $Q_{51}=-Q_{39}+\tau P$ | $Q_{13}=Q_{51}+\tau P$ |
|  | $Q_{37}=-P-\tau P$ | $Q_{53}=-Q_{37}+\tau P$ | $Q_{15}=Q_{53}+\tau P$ |
|  | $Q_{55}=-Q_{35}+\tau P$ | $Q_{17}=Q_{55}+\tau P$ | $Q_{57}=-Q_{33}+\tau P$ |
|  | $Q_{19}=Q_{57}+\tau P$ | $Q_{21}=-Q_{17}-\tau P$ | $Q_{23}=-Q_{15}-\tau P$ |
|  | $Q_{25}=-Q_{13}-\tau P$ | $Q_{27}=-Q_{11}-\tau P$ | $Q_{61}=Q_{23}-\tau P$ |
|  | $Q_{29}=-Q_{61}+\tau P$ | $Q_{45}=Q_{7}-\tau P$ | $Q_{59}=-Q_{31}+\tau P$ |
|  | $Q_{63}=Q_{25}-\tau P$ |  |  |
| 8 | $Q_{93}=P-\tau^{2} P$ | $Q_{3}=Q_{93}-\tau P$ | $Q_{95}=Q_{3}-\tau^{2} P$ |
|  | $Q_{5}=Q_{95}-\tau P$ | $Q_{97}=Q_{5}-\tau^{2} P$ | $Q_{7}=Q_{97}-\tau P$ |
|  | $Q_{85}=-Q_{5}+\tau P$ | $Q_{81}=-Q_{85}-\tau P$ | $Q_{9}=-Q_{81}+\tau P$ |
|  | $Q_{87}=-Q_{3}+\tau P$ | $Q_{79}=-Q_{87}-\tau P$ | $Q_{11}=-Q_{79}+\tau P$ |

Continued on next page

Table 4 - Continued from previous page

| $w$ | Pre-computation |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $Q_{89}=-P+\tau P$ | $Q_{77}=-Q_{89}-\tau P$ | $Q_{13}=-Q_{77}+\tau P$ |
|  | $Q_{91}=P+\tau P$ | $Q_{75}=-Q_{91}-\tau P$ | $Q_{15}=-Q_{75}+\tau P$ |
|  | $Q_{73}=-Q_{93}-\tau P$ | $Q_{17}=-Q_{73}+\tau P$ | $Q_{71}=-Q_{95}-\tau P$ |
| $Q_{19}=-Q_{71}+\tau P$ | $Q_{69}=-Q_{97}-\tau P$ | $Q_{21}=-Q_{69}+\tau P$ |  |
|  | $Q_{99}=Q_{7}-\tau^{2} P$ | $Q_{67}=-Q_{99}-\tau P$ | $Q_{23}=-Q_{67}+\tau P$ |
| $Q_{101}=Q_{11}+\tau P$ | $Q_{65}=-Q_{101}-\tau P$ | $Q_{25}=-Q_{65}+\tau P$ |  |
| $Q_{103}=Q_{13}+\tau P$ | $Q_{63}=-Q_{101}-\tau P$ | $Q_{27}=-Q_{63}+\tau P$ |  |
| $Q_{105}=Q_{15}+\tau P$ | $Q_{61}=-Q_{105}-\tau P$ | $Q_{29}=-Q_{61}+\tau P$ |  |
| $Q_{107}=Q_{17}+\tau P$ | $Q_{59}=-Q_{107}-\tau P$ | $Q_{31}=-Q_{59}+\tau P$ |  |
| $Q_{109}=Q_{19}+\tau P$ | $Q_{57}=-Q_{109}-\tau P$ | $Q_{33}=-Q_{57}+\tau P$ |  |
| $Q_{111}=Q_{21}+\tau P$ | $Q_{55}=-Q_{111}-\tau P$ | $Q_{35}=-Q_{55}+\tau P$ |  |
| $Q_{113}=Q_{23}+\tau P$ | $Q_{53}=-Q_{113}-\tau P$ | $Q_{37}=-Q_{53}+\tau P$ |  |
| $Q_{115}=Q_{23}-\tau 2 P$ | $Q_{51}=-Q_{115}-\tau P$ | $Q_{39}=-Q_{51}+\tau P$ |  |
| $Q_{125}=Q_{35}+\tau P$ | $Q_{41}=-Q_{125}-\tau P$ | $Q_{123}=Q_{33}+\tau P$ |  |
| $Q_{43}=-Q_{123}-\tau P$ | $Q_{121}=Q_{31}+\tau P$ | $Q_{45}=-Q_{121}-\tau P$ |  |
| $Q_{119}=Q_{29}+\tau P$ | $Q_{47}=-Q_{119}-\tau P$ | $Q_{117}=Q_{27}+\tau P$ |  |
| $Q_{49}=-Q_{117}-\tau P$ | $Q_{83}=-Q_{7}+\tau P$ | $Q_{127}=Q_{37}+\tau P$ |  |

Finally, we list an optimal pre-computation for $w=6, a=1$ based on proposition 3.1.
Table 5: Pre-Computations for $w=6$ and $a=1$

| $w$ | Pre-computation |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 6 | $Q_{29}=P-\tau^{2} P$ | $Q_{3}=Q_{29}+\tau P$ | $Q_{31}=Q_{3}-\tau^{2} P$ |  |
|  | $Q_{5}=Q_{31}+\tau P$ | $Q_{7}=-Q_{31}+\tau P$ | $Q_{9}=-Q_{29}+\tau P$ |  |
|  | $Q_{27}=P-\tau P$ | $Q_{11}=-Q_{27}+\tau P$ | $Q_{25}=-P-\tau P$ |  |
|  | $Q_{13}=-Q_{25}+\tau P$ | $Q_{15}=-Q_{11}-\tau P$ | $Q_{17}=-Q_{9}-\tau P$ |  |
|  | $Q_{19}=-Q_{7}-\tau P$ | $Q_{21}=-Q_{17}+\tau P$ | $Q_{23}=-Q_{3}-\tau P$ |  |

We would like to conclude this section by the following remark.
Remark 3.1 We remark that in some situations, only one evaluation of $\tau$ is needed in an optimal pre-computation. Suppose we are choosing the point $P=\left(x_{P}, y_{P}\right)$ on the elliptic curve $E_{1} / F_{2^{m}}$, then in the process of generation or validation, the equation $y_{P}^{2}+x_{P} y_{P}=$ $x_{P}^{3}+x_{P}^{2}+1$ needs to be involved, so we can save $x_{P}^{2}$ and $y_{P}^{2}$ for later use in the stage
of pre-computation. In this case, as $\tau(P)=\left(X_{P}^{2}, y_{P}^{2}\right)$ is already available, an optimal pre-computation only requires one evaluation of $\tau$, i.e., $\tau^{2} P=\tau(\tau(P))$.

## 4 Conclusion

Koblitz curves are a family of curves with complex multiplication. The complex multiplication fields for curves $E_{1}: y^{2}+x y=x^{3}+x^{2}+1 / \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ and their "twist" $E_{0}: y^{2}+x y=x^{3}+1 / \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$. The window $\tau$ NAF algorithm of Solinas is a successful example of exploring the rich mathematical content to design an extremely efficient point multiplication method. This paper presents a systematic study of the pre-computation schemes for $\tau$ NAF. We define an optimal pre-computation to be a scheme that allows $2^{w-2}-1$ point additions and two evaluations of $\tau$, for any given window width $w \geq 4$ (the case of $w=3$ is simple and only one evaluation of $\tau$ is needed). This is a mathematically natural setup in that once an optimal pre-computation for $E_{a}$ is produced, an optimal pre-computation for its twist $E_{1-a}$ can be obtained without any nontrivial computation. We have constructed optimal pre-computations of $w$ from 4 to 15 . These pre-computations can be incorporated into implementations of window $\tau$ NAF. The ideas in the paper can be used to construct other suitable pre-computations. In this paper, we also give a criterion for the divisibility by powers of $\tau$ in terms of 2 -adic approximation. This is useful in our discussion of the coefficient sets of window $\tau$ NAF and optimal pre-computation, it might also be of some independent interest.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ For an element $r_{0}+r_{1} \tau \in \mathbb{Z}[\tau]$, its norm is $N_{a}\left(r_{0}+r_{1} \tau\right)=\left(r_{0}+r_{1} \tau\right)\left(r_{0}+r_{1} \bar{\tau}\right)=r_{0}^{2}+\mu r_{0} r_{1}+2 r_{1}^{2}$. This norm is dependent of the parameter $a$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ As indicated in [13], $w>8$ is no longer practical, due to the fact that the total cost of using window $\tau$ NAF is roughly $\frac{m}{w+1}+2^{w-2}-1$ point additions

