The Misuse of RC4 in Microsoft Word and Excel

Hongjun Wu

Institute for Infocomm Research, Singapore hongjun@i2r.a-star.edu.sg

Abstract. In this report, we point out a serious security flaw in Microsoft Word and Excel. The stream cipher RC4 [9] with key length up to 128 bits is used in Microsoft Word and Excel to protect the documents. But when an encrypted document gets modified and saved, the initialization vector remains the same and thus the same keystream generated from RC4 is applied to encrypt the different versions of that document. The consequence is disastrous since a lot of information of the document could be recovered easily.

1 Introduction

After more than two decades of public research on cryptography, many practically secure ciphers have been proposed. If we use those ciphers properly, adequate protection could be achieved. Unfortunately, when the ciphers are implemented in products, various security problems may arise. A well-known story is related to an old version of the Netscape browser. In the implementation of the Secure Socket Layer (SSL) in Netscape 1.1, the key of the symmetric key cipher is derived from the current time and the process ID (or the system time). The key space becomes severely limited, and even the 128-bit encryption version could be easily cracked [4].

For the implementation of stream ciphers, the basic principle is that if the same key is used for more than once, different initialization vectors should be used to prevent the same keystream from being used to encrypt more than one message. When the stream cipher is used in the data transmission, normally people would follow this principle strictly. However, in the environment where the document needs to be edited and modified, such principle may be forgotten. This kind of mistake takes place in the Microsoft Office (Word and Excel) encryption – the same key and the same initialization vector are allowed to encrypt different versions of a document. This happens as follows. We encrypt a Microsoft Office (Word or Excel) document with a password and save that file. Later that document is modified and being saved again. In this process, the key and initialization vector remain unchanged, so the same keystream is used to protect two different versions (the original and the modified versions) of the documents. By XORing those two versions, we could obtain a lot of information about the document.

The above attack could take place in real life. Suppose that Alice and Bob are working on the same Microsoft Office (Word or Excel) document. They share the same password and use that password to protect the document. They would make changes to the document and the document is encrypted and transmitted between them for a number of times. In this process, the same password and initialization vector are used to protect all the modified versions of that document and the document could be easily recovered from those intercepted files with high chance.

Here is another example. Suppose that Alice is working on a Microsoft Office document (Word and Excel) and she uses a password to protect it. During the process, Alice may need to backup her files. An attacker could retrieve a lot of information from those backup files even though the attacker does not know Alice's password.

This report is organized as follows. The background information on the security of Microsoft Office is given in Section 2. We illustrate the misuse of RC4 in Microsoft Word and Excel in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively. Section 5 discusses the countermeasure and Sections 5 concludes this report.

2 Introduction to the Security of Microsoft Office

The Microsoft Office includes Word, Excel, PowerPoint and other components [5]. We consider only the Word, Excel in this report. There are five versions of Microsoft Office: 95, 97, 2000, XP and the latest Office 2003.

The encryption in Microsoft Office 95 is to XOR the cascaded password with the message. From the cryptographic point of view, this encryption scheme does not provide any security protection. The other versions of Microsoft Office use RC4 to protect the documents. The early export version of Microsoft Office supports only the 40-bit encryption. The 40-bit encryption scheme is vulnerable to the brute force attack since several Giga instructions can now be processed in one second on a personal computer. Both the XOR and the 40-bit encryption fail to provide sufficient protection for the documents. There have been many cracking software on Microsoft Office documents, but almost all of them aim at these two types of weak encryptions.

With the 128-bit version being supported in Microsoft Office, one may expect that sufficient protection could be achieved since a random 16-byte ASCII password contains more than 100-bit secret information and the brute force attack fails. Unfortunately, the stream cipher RC4 is misused in Microsoft Office. It has been well known that the initialization vector in a stream cipher should be used properly. But Microsoft Office manages the initialization vector improperly and the same keystream could be used to encrypt different versions of a document. That is the flaw we will illustrate in detail in the rest of this report.

Remarks 1. In Microsoft Office, using the same key with different initialization vectors would not leak the secret key. The reason is that the secret key and the initialization vector are hashed together and the hash output is used as secret key in RC4. So the key schedule weakness of RC4 [3] has no effect on the security of Microsoft Office.

Remarks 2. To the best of our knowledge, the security flaw given in the rest of this report has not been reported in public.

3 The Misuse of RC4 in Microsoft Word

In this section, we show that RC4 is implemented Microsoft Word in an insecure way and the 128-bit RC4 fails to protect the document as expected. The flaw is that the same initialization vector is used when the document is modified. This flaw causes part of the documents being recovered with negligible amount of computation.

3.1 Evidence that RC4 is misused in Microsoft Word

In this subsection, we use Microsoft Word 2002 to illustrate that RC4 is misused in Microsoft Office.

We create a Word document which contains only one sentence "Anti-virus researchers from Symantec yesterday spotted the first virus capable of infecting 64-bit Windows systems". Before the document is encrypted, we open the document in binary format and obtain Fig. 1. Then we encrypt the file according to Appendix A (we choose the 'Microsoft Strong Cryptographic Provider' that supports the 128-bit RC4 encryption). Once encrypted, we obtain Fig. 2 and we notice that the encrypted data looks random. Then we change the sentence in the document to "Anti-virus researchers at Symantec yesterday spotted the first virus capable of infecting 64-bit Windows systems", i.e., the word 'from' is changed to 'at'. After saving the changes, the binary format of the modified document is shown in Fig. 3 (using different password or file names would result in different content, but at the end of the experiment the same conclusion would be reached).

000a00	41	6E	74	69	2D	76	69	72	75	73	20	72	65	73	65	61	Anti-virus resea
000a10	72	63	68	65	72	73	20	66	72	6F	6D	20	53	79	6D	61	rchers from Syma
000a20	6E	74	65	63	20	79	65	73	74	65	72	64	61	79	20	73	ntec yesterday s
000a30	70	6F	74	74	65	64	20	74	68	65	20	66	69	72	73	74	potted the first
000a40	20	76	69	72	75	73	20	63	61	70	61	62	6C	65	20	6F	virus capable o
000a50	66	20	69	6E	66	65	63	74	69	6E	67	20	36	34	2D	62	f infecting 64-b
000a60	69	74	20	57	69	6E	64	6F	77	73	20	73	79	73	74	65	it Windows syste
000a70	6D	73	2E	0D	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	ms

Fig. 1. Binary format of the original document (unencrypted)

The encrypted data starts from the address 0xa00. Comparing Fig. 2 with Fig. 3, we immediately notice that the same keystream has been used to encrypt the original and the modified documents. The first 23 bytes (from the address 0xa00 to 0xa16) are exactly the same since the first 23 bytes of the two documents are the same. The rest of the bytes (from the address 0xa17 to 0xa73) are different because the plaintext changes. For example, the byte at address 0xa17 is 'f' (0x66) in the original document, but 'a' (0x61) in the modified document.

000a00	3E	57	FB	B6	64	22	4 A	CA	ЗA	74	40	E7	1D	57	C6	DB	>Wd"J.:t@W
000a10	АЗ	88	21	53	F2	DB	3B	64	21	2A	AD	DD	Α8	7C	35	85	!S;d!* 5.
000a20	9B	ED	E5	F6	68	9A	35	47	68	89	9A	ED	44	ΑE	BF	08	h.5GhD
000a30	D2	D5	CB	2B	0B	6B	45	4F	42	06	DC	C6	C1	A5	81	B5	+.kE0B
000a40	ΑF	39	6F	F1	1C	84	1F	88	BO	FD	E1	09	D8	В9	E0	24	.90\$
000a50	6C	1C	42	7C	B7	D6	63	10	80	0B	D5	B7	7F	01	6C	9B	1.B c1.
000a60	B8	4 A	F9	67	0D	27	FD	49	8E	98	76	9D	C5	0F	B0	E4	.J.g.'.Iv
000a70	ΑF	95	AC	Α2	5E	61	DD	9D	71	92	ЗA	B9	40	ΑE	CB	F3	[^] ag.:.@

Fig. 2. Binary format of the original document (encrypted)

000a00	3E	57	FB	B6	64	22	4 A	CA	ЗA	74	40	E7	1D	57	C6	DB	>Wd"J.:t@W
000a10	ÀЗ	88	21	53	F2	DB	3B	63	27	65	93	84	96	64	36	90	!S;c'ed6.
000a20	90	FA	ΑO	EC	2D	90	24	51	6E	88	89	F0	05	A4	EF	14	=.\$Qn
000a30	D6	CE	DA	ЗB	4E	7B	0D	5E	ΟÀ	05	95	D2	DB	АЗ	D2	B7	;N{.^
000a40	E6	ЗD	73	F0	49	94	5E	9B	BO	\mathbf{EF}	EC	0E	94	B3	Α6	6B	.=s.I.^k
000a50	63	52	4D	77	B2	C7	69	ΟÀ	8E	45	84	ÀЗ	64	57	28	8D	cRMwiEdW(.
000a60	F1	69	B0	5E	00	26	ΕE	55	D9	98	2F	9D	C8	19	Α9	F2	.i.^.&.U/
000a70	EC	EΒ	82	ΑF	5E	61	DD	9D	71	92	ЗA	В9	40	ΑE	CB	F3	^aq.:.@

Fig. 3. Binary format of the modified document (encrypted)

Obviously the same keystream byte with value 0x02 is used at address 0xa17 in the original and the modified documents.

3.2 The attack on the Microsoft Word

It is quite possible that the encrypted Microsoft Word documents would be transmitted between the different users for checking, improvements and modifications. The misuse of RC4 in Microsoft Word is thus a serious threat to those who trust the 128-bit encryption provided by Microsoft.

Once it becomes clear that RC4 is misused in Microsoft Word, the attack is straightforward. It is quite easy to detect whether the same keystream has been used for more than once. For example, if the document contains only the ASCII characters, then the most significant bit of each plaintext byte remains 0 and we can simply use those bits for detection. Once we obtained two different documents encrypted with the same keystream, a lot of information could be retrieved. The detailed analysis on recovering the information from the XORed result of two plaintexts is illustrated in [2].

4 The Misuse of RC4 in Microsoft Excel

In this section, we show that RC4 is implemented in Microsoft Excel in an insecure way. The flaw is similar to that in Microsoft Word. We use Microsoft Excel 2002 to illustrate the flaw.

4.1 Modifying the Microsoft Excel document

In this subsection, we investigate how the modification of an Excel document would affect its binary format. For the binary format of that document, when some cells get modified, the modified cells would be relocated after those unmodified cells. The modification is thus different from that in Microsoft Word.

We create an Excel document that is shown in Fig. 4. When we are creating this document, the mouse is applied to locate each cell from left to right, top to bottom (i.e., following the order '1a', '1b', '1c', '1d', '2a', \cdots , '3c', '3d'), and there is no error correction when typing those data. We save this document, and the binary format of the saved file is shown in Fig. 5.

	A	В	С	D
1	1a	1b	1c	1d
2	2a	2b	2c	2d
3	За	3b	3c	3d

Fig. 4. The original Excel document

000780	FC	00	44	00	0C	00	00	00	0C	00	00	00	02	00	00	31	D1
000790	61	02	00	00	31	62	02	00	00	31	63	02	00	00	31	64	a1b1c1
0007a0	02	00	00	32	61	02	00	00	32	62	02	00	00	32	63	02	2a2b2c.
0007b0	00	00	32	64	02	00	00	33	61	02	00	00	33	62	02	00	2d3a3b
0007c0	00	33	63	02	00	00	33	64	FF	00	12	00	08	00	8C	05	.3c3d

Fig. 5. Binary format of the original Excel document

Then we change the content '1c' to 'c1', and save the file. The modified document is shown in Fig. 6 and its binary format is shown in Fig. 7.

	A	В	С	D
1	1a	1b	c1	1d
2	2a	2b	2c	2d
3	За	Зb	3c	3d

Fig. 6. The modified Excel document

000780	FC	00	44	00	0C	00	00	00	0C	00	00	00	02	00	00	31	D
000790	61	02	00	00	31	62	02	00	00	31	64	02	00	00	32	61	a1b1d2a
0007a0	02	00	00	32	62	02	00	00	32	63	02	00	00	32	64	02	2b2c2d.
0007b0	00	00	33	61	02	00	00	33	62	02	00	00	33	63	02	00	3a3b3c
0007c0	66	33	64	62	AA	66	63	31	FF	66	12	66	68	ดด	80	85	.3d1

Fig. 7. Binary format of the modified Excel document

Comparing Fig. 5 with Fig. 7, we notice that the data 'c1' in the modified cell is relocated to the end of the data, and those unmodified data ('1d', '2a', '2b', '2c', '2d', '3a', '3b', '3c', '3d') proceeded by the modified cell are moved forward.

4.2 Evidence that RC4 is misused in Microsoft Excel

In this subsection, we show that RC4 is misused in Microsoft Excel. We select the 'Microsoft Strong Cryptographic Provider' that supports the 128-bit RC4 encryption. After observing the encrypted versions of a number of files, we notice that the encrypted data does not start from a fixed position (different from that in Microsoft Word). Normally the encrypted data starts 31 bytes after the data 0x8c000400 and ends before the data 0xff001200.

We create an Excel document with content as that in Fig. 4 (with binary format as that in Fig. 5). We choose the 'Microsoft Strong Cryptographic Provider', set a password and the document gets encrypted. Then we save the file twice. (Saving the file once would not affect the result of the attack, but the illustration of our attack becomes complicated. The reason is that the location of the encrypted data after the first saving is different from the locations of the encrypted data after saving the file more than once.) The binary format of the encrypted file is shown in Fig. 8.

000e20	11	91	18	F1	5D	BA	A7	A4	1E	FD	<u>8C</u>	00	04	00	F5	E1]
000e30	EF	05	C1	01	08	00	2E	9F	ØD	34	28	9A	47	68	FC	00	4(.Gh
000e40	44	00	7C	18	A6	D9	8F	F9	AD	Fő	7B	DD	92	8B	4E	49	D. {
000e50	DA	26	22	CO	B8	38	F8	BE	4A	98	75	26	8D	C8	71	70	.&"8J.u&q
000e60	4B	15	D4	70	1D	87	ØD	E1	30	28	40	9D	39	58	02	F4	Кр0(@.9Х
000e70	68	58	2F	EC	28	5D	76	7E	51	07	56	A8	3F	90	DD	3F	hX/.(]v~Q.V.??
000e80	D4	61	5D	6F	30	04	FF	00	12	00	83	7E	02	B1	A9	79	.a]o<~y

Fig. 8. Binary format of the original Excel document (encrypted)

Then we modify the content '1c' to 'c1' and save the file. Its binary format is shown in Fig. 9.

000e20	-11	91	18	F1	5D	BA	A7	A4	1E	FD	8C	00	04	00	F5	E1]
000e30	EF	05	C1	01	08	00	2E	9F	ØD	34	28	9A	47	68	FC	00	4(.Gh
000e40	44	00	7C	1A	A6	D9	8F	F9	AD	Fó	7B	DD	92	8B	4E	49	D. {
000e50	DA	26	22	CØ	B8	38	F8	BE	4D	98	75	26	8E	CD	71	70	.&"8M.u&q
000e60	4B	15	D7	70	1D	87	ØD	ΕØ	30	28	40	9D	3E	58	02	F4	Кр0(@.>Х
000e70	69	5D	2F	EC	28	5D	75	7E	51	07	56	A9	3F	90	DD	3F	i]/.(]u~Q.V.??
000e80	D3	61	5D	6F	6C	51	FF	00	12	00	83	7E	02	B1	A9	79	.a]o1Q~y

Fig. 9. Binary format of the modified Excel document (encrypted)

In Fig. 8 and Fig. 9, the encrypted data both start from the address 0xe4d and ends at the address 0xe85. Comparing Fig. 5 with Fig. 7, we know that the first ten bytes of the unencrypted data are the same. Comparing Fig. 8 with 9, we notice that the first ten bytes in the encrypted files are the same. It shows that the first ten bytes of the keystream being used in those two files are the same.

We demonstrate another two examples below. The data at the address 0xe57 and 0xe58 are 0xbe4a and 0xbe4d in Fig. 8 and 9, respectively. From the Fig.

5 and 7, we know that the unencrypted data there are '1c' (0x3163) and '1d' (0x3164) respectively. It becomes clear that the keystream with value 0x8f29 is used to encrypt the data at the address 0xe57 and 0xe58. The data at the address 0xe5c and 0xe5d are 0x8dc8 and 0x8ecd in Fig. 8 and 9, respectively. From the Fig. 5 and 7, we know that the unencrypted data there are '1d' (0x3164) and '2a' (0x3261) respectively. It becomes clear that the key stream with value 0xbcac is used to encrypt the data at the address 0xe5c and 0xe5d.

The examples above show that the same keystream is used to encrypt both the original and the modified Excel documents. The attack to retrieve the information from the different versions of the Excel documents is similar to that being applied to the Word document (illustrated in Subsection 3.2).

5 The Countermeasures

For the protection of documents, we assume that a user (or many users) would use one password to protect many documents and each document may be edited many times. It is the multi-user, multi-document and multi-edition environment.

When we apply a stream cipher to encrypt a document, we should ensure that a different initialization vector is generated whenever the stream cipher is invoked for encryption in these applications.

We provide here a very simple way of using stream cipher in the document protection. Whenever a stream cipher is invoked, the HMAC [1], with the password as the key and the document as the message, is applied to generate a random number (256 bits if SHA-256 [7] being used) and that random number is used as the initialization vector in the stream cipher. Note that we must use the HMAC instead of the hash; otherwise, some information of the document could be retrieved from the hash output (if the attacker happens to know most of the content of a document). This method does not require random or pseudorandom source, and it ensures that the same initialization vector would not be used for different documents. At the decryption stage, one can easily check the integrity of the document. The drawback of this scheme is that the same message is always encrypted to the same ciphertext (with the same password), and the document is accessed twice in the encryption process. However, we believe that this method would be suitable for almost all the document protection applications.

If the initialization vector is generated independent of the document, it must be generated from random (or pseudorandom) source. It is difficult to maintain a counter in the multi-user environment. We can hash the current time (as accurate as millisecond), the number of clock cycles that has passed since the operating system being started, the number of clock cycles that has passed since the document processing application program being started, and the previous initialization vector (if it exists) together to generate a new initialization vector for the stream cipher. All these parameters are used to minimize the chance that the collision of the initialization vectors could occur. An alternative approach is to use block cipher, instead of stream cipher, for document protection. We can use some secure block cipher (such as AES [8]) in CBC mode [6] to encrypt the documents. The damage is not very severe if the same initialization vector is used for different documents.

6 Conclusion

RC4 is misused in the Microsoft Office (Word and Excel). The initialization vector remains the same when an encrypted document gets modified and saved. The consequence is that the same keystream is used to encrypt the different versions of a document and a lot of information could be retrieved from those encrypted files. If anyone has used the encryption in the Microsoft Office in the way similar to that described in this report, then it is time for him/her to assess the damage that has been caused.

The security flaw reported in this report emphasizes that using a secure cipher does not automatically guarantee that the data could be securely protected. The design and implementation of encryption software should be treated seriously.

References

- M. Bellare, R. Canetti, and H. Krawczyk, "Keying Hash Functions for Message Authentication", in Advances in Cryptology - Crypto 96, LNCS 1109, pp. 1-15, Springer-Verlag, 1996. See also RFC 2104, "HMAC: Keyed-Hashing for Message Authentication", February 1997.
- E. Dawson and L. Nielsen. Automated cryptanalysis of XOR plaintext strings. Cryptologia, (2):165-181, April 1996.
- S. Fluhrer, I. Mantin, and A. Shamir. "Weaknesses in the Key Scheduling Algorithm of RC4", in *Selected Areas in Cryptography (SAC 2001)*, LNCS 2259, pp. 1-24, Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- 4. I. Goldber, and D. Wagner, "Randomness and the Netscape Browser". Dr. Dobb's Journal, January 1996, pp. 66-70.
- 5. Microsoft Office. At http://office.microsoft.com/home/default.aspx
- 6. National Institute of Standards and Technology, "DES Modes of Operation", Federal Information Processing Standards Publication (FIPS) 81. Available at http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/
- 7. National Institute of Standards and Technology, "Secure Hash Standard (SHS)", Federal Information Processing Standards Publication (FIPS) 180-2. Available at http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/
- 8. National Institute of Standards and Technology, "ADVANCED ENCRYPTION STANDARD (AES) ", Federal Information Processing Standards Publication (FIPS) 197. Available at http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/
- R.L. Rivest, "The RC4 Encryption Algorithm". RSA Data Security, Inc., March 12, 1992.

A Encryption in Microsoft Word 2002

To encrypt a Word document, from the menu 'Tools', choose 'Options', then 'Security'. We only set the 'Password to open'. From the 'Advanced', select the 'RC4, Microsoft Strong Cryptographic Provider'. The default key length is 128 bits. Set a password. The window for the encryption is given in Figure 10.

Options ?X	
User Information Compatibility File Locations	
View General Edit Print Save	
Security Spelling & Grammar Track Changes	
File encryption options for this document	
Password to open: Advanced	
Encryption Type	×
Choose an encryption type:	
 RC4, Microsoft Base Cryptographic Provider v1.0 RC4, Microsoft Base DS5 and Diffie-Hellman Cryptographic Provider RC4, Microsoft DH SChannel Cryptographic Provider v1.0 RC4, Microsoft Enhanced Cryptographic Provider v1.0 RC4, Microsoft Enhanced DS5 and Diffie-Hellman Cryptographic Provider RC4, Microsoft Enhanced RSA and AES Cryptographic Provider (Prototype) RC4, Microsoft RSA SChannel Cryptographic Provider RC4, Microsoft Schanced RSA and AES Cryptographic Provider RC4, Microsoft Schannel Cryptographic Provider 	•
Choose a key length: 128 🚔	
Encrypt document properties OK Cancel	
trusted macro developers.	
OK Cancel	

Fig. 10. Setting the Micorsoft Word Encryption