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**Abstract:** In this paper, we present some new variants based on the Weil pairing for efficient pairing computations. The new pairing variants have the short Miller iteration loop and simple final exponentiation. We then show that computing the proposed pairings is more efficient than computing the Weil pairing. Experimental results for these pairings are also given.
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1. Introduction

The Weil and Tate pairings have been widely used to construct pairing based cryptosystems [19]. Many results have been focused only on speeding up the computation of the Tate pairing since the Tate pairing is computed more efficiently than the Weil pairing in general [10,1]. Some variants based on the Tate pairing are presented with great efficiency, such as the eta pairing [3], the ate pairing [12,16,22] and the R-ate pairing [15]. Zhao \textit{et al.} prove that all pairings are in a group from an abstract angle and then provide some new pairings as efficient as the R-ate pairing [23]. Vercauteren gives an efficient method to find
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an optimal pairing for fast paring computations [21] and Hess states an integral framework that covers all known efficient pairing functions [11].

Compared to the computation of the Tate pairing or its variants, the major advantage of computing the Weil pairing is that it has no time-consuming final exponentiation. However, it involves two different Miller iteration loops. Motivated by reducing the Miller iteration loops in the computation of the Tate pairing or its variants, we first construct some new variants of the Weil pairing with short Miller iteration loops using Frobenius endomorphisms. Computing the new variants of the Weil pairing is faster than computing the standard Weil pairing. It is clear that the new variants are computed slower than the other optimal pairings based on the Tate pairing (e.g. the eta/ate/R-ate pairings). However, it is a novel approach to constructing new efficient pairings based on the Weil pairing. It is possible that further optimizations can be achieved on the basis of our observations.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces basic mathematical concepts of pairings on elliptic curves. Section 3 gives the main results. Section 4 gives some applications and provides some examples for efficiency comparisons. We draw our conclusion in Section 5.

2. Mathematical Preliminaries

This section briefly recalls the definition of the Tate pairing, the (twisted) ate pairing and the Weil pairing.

2.1. Tate Pairing

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with $q = p^m$ elements, where $p$ is a prime. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $O$ be the point at infinity. $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is denoted as the order of the rational points group $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $r$ is a large prime satisfying $r|\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Let $k$ be the embedding degree, i.e., the smallest positive integer such that $r|q^k - 1$.

Let $P \in E[r]$ and $R \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$. For each integer $i$ and point $P$, let $f_{i,P}$ be a rational function on $E$ such that

$$(f_{i,P}) = i(P) - (iP) - (i - 1)(O).$$
Let $D$ be a divisor [20] which is linearly equivalent to $(R) - (O)$ with its support disjoint from $(f_r, P)$. The Tate pairing [8] is a bilinear map
\[ e : E[r] \times E(F_{q^k})/rE(F_{q^k}) \rightarrow F_{q^k}^*/(F_{q^k}^*)^r, \]
\[ e(P, R) = f_{r, P}(D). \]
Assume that all Miller functions are normalized in this paper [21, 11]. One can define the reduced Tate pairing [4] as
\[ e(P, R) = f_{r, P}(R)^{k-1}/r \]
Notice that $f_{r, P}(R)^{a(q^k-1)/r} = f_{ar, P}(R)^{(q^k-1)/r}$ for any integer $a$ [9].

2.2. Ate pairing and Twisted Ate pairing

We recall the definition of the (twisted) ate pairing and its variants from [12, 16, 22] in this subsection. The ate pairing extends the eta pairing [3] on ordinary elliptic curves.

Let $F_q$ be a finite field with $q = p^m$ elements, where $p$ is a prime. Let $E$ be an ordinary elliptic curve over $F_q$. Let $r$ be a large prime satisfying $r \mid \#E(F_q)$. Denote the trace of Frobenius by $t$, i.e., $\#E(F_q) = q + 1 - t$. Let $T = t - 1 \equiv q^k \pmod{r}$. Let $\pi_q$ be the Frobenius endomorphism, $\pi_q : E \rightarrow E : (x, y) \mapsto (x^q, y^q)$. Denote $Q \in G_2 = E[r] \cap$ Ker$(\pi_q - [q])$ and $P \in G_1 = E[r] \cap$ Ker$(\pi_q - [1])$. Let $N = gcd(T^k - 1, q^k - 1) > 0$ and $T^k - 1 = LN$, where $k$ is its embedding degree. Then the ate pairing is defined as $f_{T, Q}(P)$ and
\[ e(Q, P)^L = f_{T, Q}(P)^{(q^k-1)/N}, \]
where $c = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} S^{k-1-i} q^i \pmod{N}$.

Let $E'$ over $F_q$ be a twist of degree $d$ of $E$, i.e., $E'$ and $E$ are isomorphic over $F_{q^d}$ and $d$ is minimal with this property. Let $m = gcd(k, d)$ and $e = k/m$. Denote $T_e = T^e \equiv q^e \pmod{r}$. Then the twisted ate pairing is defined as $f_{T_e, P}(Q)$ and
\[ e(P, Q)^L = f_{T_e, P}(Q)^{(q^k-1)/N}, \]
where $c_T = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} T^{e(m-1-i)} q^{ei} \pmod{N}$.

The ate pairing and twisted ate pairing are both non-degenerate provided that $r \nmid L$. Denote $T_i = T^i \equiv q^i \pmod{r}$ and $T_{ei} = (T^e)^i \equiv q^{ei} \pmod{N}$.
\[(q^r)^t \pmod{r}\]. Then the ate pairing and twisted ate pairing can be generalized as \(f_{T,e,Q}(P)\) and \(f_{T,e,P}(Q)\) respectively [22].

2.3. Weil Pairing

Using the same notation as previous, one may make a few slight modifications and then define the Weil pairing. Let \(k\) be the minimal positive integer such that \(E[r] \subseteq E(F_{q^k})\). According to the results in [2], if \(r \nmid q - 1\) and \((r, q) = 1\), then \(E[r] \subseteq E(F_{q^k})\) if and only if \(r|q^k - 1\), i.e., the embedding degree for the Weil pairing is equal to the embedding degree for the Tate pairing in this case.

Suppose that \(P, Q \in E[r]\) and \(P \neq Q\). Let \(D_P\) and \(D_Q\) be two divisors which are linearly equivalent to \((P) - (O)\) and \((Q) - (O)\), respectively. Let \(f_{r,P}\) and \(f_{r,Q}\) be two rational functions on \(E\) such that \((f_{r,P}) = rD_P\) and \((f_{r,Q}) = rD_Q\). Denote \(\mu_r\) by the algebraic group of \(r\)-th roots of unity. Then the Weil pairing is a bilinear map [18]

\[
e_r : E[r] \times E[r] \to \mu_r,
\]

\[
e_r(P, Q) = (-1)^r \frac{f_{r,P}(Q)}{f_{r,Q}(P)}.
\]

For good efficiency in practical implementations, one can define the powered Weil pairing [17,14] as

\[
\hat{e}_r(P, Q) = e_r(P, Q)^{q^l-1},
\]

where \(l\) is a proper divisor of \(k\). If \(k\) is even, we can take \(l = k/2\).

Notice that the denominator elimination technique can be applied when computing the powered Weil pairing.

3. Main Results

In this section, the main results of this paper are summarized in the following theorem.

\textbf{Theorem 1.} Let \(\mathbb{F}_q\) be a finite field with \(q = p^m\) elements, where \(p\) is an odd prime. Let \(E\) be an ordinary elliptic curve over \(\mathbb{F}_q\), \(r\) a large prime satisfying \(r \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)\). Assume that \(k\) is its embedding degree and \(l\) is a proper divisor of \(k\). Let \(t\) denote the trace of Frobenius,
i.e., \( \#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = q + 1 - t \). Let \( T = t - 1 \equiv q \pmod{r} \). Let \( \pi_q \) be the Frobenius endomorphism, \( \pi_q : E \to E : (x, y) \mapsto (x^q, y^q) \). Denote \( Q \in \mathbb{G}_2 = E[r] \cap \text{Ker}(\pi_q - [q]) \) and \( P \in \mathbb{G}_1 = E[r] \cap \text{Ker}(\pi_q - [1]) \). Let \( E' \) over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) be a twist of degree \( d \) of \( E \). Let \( m = \text{gcd}(k, d) \) and \( e = k/m \). Denote \( S_i = T_{ei} \equiv T^{ei} \equiv (q^e)^i \pmod{r} \), where \( 0 < i < k - 1 \). Let \( a \) be the smallest integer such that \( S_i^a \equiv 1 \pmod{r} \). Let \( L \) be an integer such that \( S_i^a - 1 = Lr \). Then for such \( P \) and \( Q \), the Weil pairing satisfies

\[
\hat{e}_r(P, Q)^L = \left( \frac{f_{S_i, P}(Q)}{f_{S_i, Q}(P)} \right)^{c(q^e - 1)},
\]

where \( c = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} S_i^{a-1-j} q^{ej} \equiv aq^e(a-1) \pmod{r} \).

**Proof:** Since \( p \) is an odd prime, \( q^e - 1 \) must be even. Then \((-1)^{r(q^e - 1)}\) is equal to 1. It is obvious from the definition of the Weil pairing that

\[
\hat{e}_r(P, Q)^L = (-1)^{r(q^e - 1)} \left( \frac{f_{r, P}(Q)}{f_{r, Q}(P)} \right)^{L(q^e - 1)} = \left( \frac{f_{Lr, P}(Q)}{f_{Lr, Q}(P)} \right)^{q^e - 1}.
\]

Applying the identity \( Lr = S_i^a - 1 \) into the above equation, we obtain

\[
\hat{e}_r(P, Q)^L = \left( \frac{f_{S_i^a, P}(Q)}{f_{S_i^a, Q}(P)} \right)^{q^e - 1} = \left( \frac{f_{S_i^a, P}(Q)}{f_{S_i^a, Q}(P)} \right)^{q^e - 1} \tag{3.1}
\]

The second equality in the equation (3.1) holds since both \( P \) and \( Q \) belong to \( E[r] \) [7]. By Lemma 2 in [3,12], we see that

\[
f_{S_i^a, P} = f_{S_i^{a-1}, P} f_{S_i^{a-2}, P} \cdots f_{S_i, P}
\]

Lemma 5 in [12] and the discussions in [16,22] yield that \( f_{S_i, S_i^{j}, P}(Q) = f_{S_i, P}(Q)^{q^e} \) with \( 0 \leq j \leq a - 1 \). Then

\[
f_{S_i^a, P}(Q) = (f_{S_i, P}(Q)) \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} S_i^{a-1-j} q^{ej} \tag{3.3}
\]

By using the same argument for \( f_{S_i^a, Q}(P) \), we have

\[
f_{S_i^a, Q}(P) = (f_{S_i, Q}(P)) \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} S_i^{a-1-j} q^{ej} \tag{3.4}
\]

Substituting (3.3) and (3.4) into the equation (3.1), we have

\[
\hat{e}_r(P, Q)^L = \left( \frac{f_{S_i, P}(Q)}{f_{S_i, Q}(P)} \right)^{c(q^e - 1)},
\]
where \( c = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} S_i^{a-1-j} q^e j \equiv aq^{e(a-1)} \pmod{r} \). This completes the whole proof.

According to the results in Theorem 1, we can define the new pairing as \( \tilde{e}(P, Q) = \left( \frac{f_{S_i, P}^i(Q)}{f_{S_i, Q}^i(P)} \right) (q^d - 1) \). Since \( r > k \geq a \) and \( r \) is a prime, the new pairing is equal to \( \hat{e}_r(P, Q)^M \) with \( M \equiv Lc^{-1} \pmod{r} \). This also shows that the new pairing keeps bilinearity indeed using the observations in [23]. Some remarks on Theorem 1 are given as follows.

**Remark 1.** If \( r \nmid L \), then the new pairings are non-degenerate. If the curve has a quadratic twist, i.e., \( d = 2 \), then it indicates that \( m = 2 \) and \( e = k/2 \). So we have \( q^e = q^{k/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{r} \) since \( q^k \equiv 1 \pmod{r} \), i.e., \( S_i = \pm 1 \pmod{r} \). It also shows that \( L = 0 \) and \( r \mid L \), which implies that the new pairing becomes trivial in this case.

**Remark 2.** A series of the variants based on the Weil pairing can be obtained as \( i \) varies. Also, the length of the Miller loop for the new pairing depends on the bit-length of \( S_i = T^{ei} \equiv q^{ei} \pmod{r} \).

**Remark 3.** When \( k \) is an even integer, \( l \) can be chosen to \( k/2 \). Then the new pairing is defined as \( \tilde{e}(P, Q)^q^{l-1} = \left( \frac{f_{S_i, P}^i(Q)}{f_{S_i, Q}^i(P)} \right)^{q^{l-1}} \) which enables the denominator elimination technique in practical implementations.

### 4. Applications and Efficiency Comparisons

#### 4.1. Applications on Pairing-friendly Curves

In this section, we apply Theorem 1 for obtaining some new variants with short Miller iteration loop on pairing-friendly curves.

**Cyclotomic family with \( k = 8 \)** The authors give a family of curves with \( k = 8 \) and \( D = 1 \) which makes the quartic twist possible [13]. Its parametrization is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
 p &= (125 - 82x - 15x^2 + 8x^3 - 3x^4 + 2x^5 + x^6) / 180 \\
 r &= (25 - 8x^2 + x^4) / 450
\end{align*}
\]

Notice that this family of elliptic curves has a quartic twist, i.e., \( d = 4 \). Since \( k = 8 \), we have \( e = \frac{k}{(k, d)} = 2 \). Thus, we can choose \( S_i = (p^2)^3 \equiv (x^2 - 4)^3 / 3 \pmod{r} \) for defining the new variants of the Weil pairing with short Miller loop. In practical implementations, \( \tilde{e}(P, Q) = \left( \frac{f_{S_i, P}^i(Q)}{f_{S_i, Q}^i(P)} \right)^{p^{l-1}} \)
can be used for good efficiency. The Miller loop of the new variants will only be half of that required for the Weil pairing.

**Cyclotomic family with** \( k = 18 \) The authors give a family of curves with \( k = 8 \) and \( D = 3 \) which makes the sextic twist possible [13]. Its parametrization is given by

\[
p = \frac{(2401 + 1763x + 343x^2 + 259x^3 + 188x^4 + 37x^5 + 7x^6 + 5x^7 + x^8)}{21}
\]
\[
r = \frac{(343 + 37x^3 + x^6)}{343}
\]

Notice that this family of elliptic curves has a sextic twist, i.e., \( d = 6 \). Since \( k = 18 \), we have \( e = \frac{k}{(k,d)} = 3 \). Thus, we can choose \( S_i = (p^3)^5 \equiv x^3 + 18 \mod r \) for defining the new variants of the Weil pairing with short Miller loops. In practical implementations, \( \tilde{e}(P, Q) = \left( \frac{f_{S_i,P}(Q)}{f_{S_i,Q}(P)} \right)^{p^d-1} \) can be used for good efficiency. Similar to the previous examples, the Miller loop of the new variants only will be half of that required for the Weil pairing.

**Barreto-Naehrig curves** The authors give a family of curves with \( k = 12 \) [5]. There exists a twist of degree \( d = 6 \) for the family. Its parametrization is given by

\[
p = 36x^4 + 36x^3 + 24x^2 + 6x + 1
\]
\[
r = 36x^4 + 36x^3 + 18x^2 + 6x + 1
\]

Since \( k = 18 \) and \( d = 6 \), it follows that \( e = \frac{k}{(k,d)} = 2 \). Thus, we can choose \( S_i = (p^2)^4 \equiv 36x^3 + 18x^2 + 6x + 1 \mod r \) for defining the new variants of the Weil pairing. \( \tilde{e}(P, Q) = \left( \frac{f_{S_i,P}(Q)}{f_{S_i,Q}(P)} \right)^{p^d-1} \) can be used for good efficiency. The bit length of \( S_i \) for the new proposed pairing is \( 3/4 \) of that of \( r \) which provides a faster pairing than the Weil pairing.

### 4.2. Efficiency Comparisons

This subsection will give the implementation of the proposed pairing and the Weil pairing on Barreto-Naehrig curves and show that computing the proposed pairing is faster than computing the Weil pairing.

For \( x = 448873741399 \) as a parameter, the computations of the new proposed pairing and the Weil pairing are implemented by Magma online demo [6]. Table 1 indicates that computing the proposed pairing \( \tilde{e}(P, Q) \) is faster than computing the Weil pairing \( \tilde{e}_r(P, Q) \) indeed.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pairing</th>
<th>Miller Iteration Loop</th>
<th>Timing (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\tilde{e}(P, Q)$</td>
<td>$36x^3 + 18x^2 + 6x + 1$</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\hat{e}(P, Q)$</td>
<td>$36x^4 + 36x^3 + 18x^2 + 6x + 1$</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we provide a novel approach to constructing the new variant with short Miller loop based on the Weil pairing using Frobenius endomorphisms and show that the computing the new one is faster than computing the Weil pairing indeed. Although the new variant of the Weil pairing are less efficient than the other optimal pairings (e.g. the eta/ate/R-ate pairings), these are the first steps towards new efficient constructions of the variants based on the Weil pairing. It is possible to further optimize these results.
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